



This electronic version (PDF) was scanned by the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) Library & Archives Service from an original paper document in the ITU Library & Archives collections.

La présente version électronique (PDF) a été numérisée par le Service de la bibliothèque et des archives de l'Union internationale des télécommunications (UIT) à partir d'un document papier original des collections de ce service.

Esta versión electrónica (PDF) ha sido escaneada por el Servicio de Biblioteca y Archivos de la Unión Internacional de Telecomunicaciones (UIT) a partir de un documento impreso original de las colecciones del Servicio de Biblioteca y Archivos de la UIT.

(ITU) للاتصالات الدولي الاتحاد في والمحفوظات المكتبة قسم أجراه الضوئي بالمسح تصوير نتاج (PDF) الإلكترونية النسخة هذه والمحفوظات المكتبة قسم في المتوفرة الوثائق ضمن أصلية ورقية وثيقة من نقلًا.

此电子版（PDF版本）由国际电信联盟（ITU）图书馆和档案室利用存于该处的纸质文件扫描提供。

Настоящий электронный вариант (PDF) был подготовлен в библиотечно-архивной службе Международного союза электросвязи путем сканирования исходного документа в бумажной форме из библиотечно-архивной службы МСЭ.

EUROPEAN BROADCASTING CONFERENCE

COPENHAGEN

1948

DOCUMENTATION

General Secretariat
of the International Telecommunication Union
Geneva, 1949

EUROPEAN BROADCASTING CONFERENCE

COPENHAGEN

1948

DOCUMENTATION

General Secretariat
of the International Telecommunication Union
Geneva, 1949



EUROPEAN BROADCASTING CONFERENCE (CER)

Copenhagen 1948DocumentationTable of contents and Index of Specific Subjects of discussion.

	<u>Page</u>
<u>Introduction</u>	1
<u>I. Preliminary information</u>	3
a) Decision to convene the CER	3
b) The Committee of Eight countries	3
c) Documents of the Committee of Eight countries	3
<u>II. General information</u>	4
a) Duration of the CER and Report on the action taken by the Danish Administration (See Annex 5)	4
b) Participation (List of countries, signatory and non-signatory, and list of Observers	4
c) Chairmanship, Vice-Chairmanship and Secretariat	5
d) Meeting of the Heads of Delegations, inauguration and closure, Sessions of Plenary Assembly	6
e) Committees, Sub-Committees and Working Groups	6
f) Documents of the CER	6
g) Press release	6
h) Acts of the CER	6
<u>III. Documents of general scope</u>	9
a) Rules of Procedure	9
b) Working methods	19
c) Distribution of expenses	21
d) Establishment and membership of Committees	25
<u>IV. Meeting of Heads of Delegations</u>	27
Working Group (Voting procedure)	28
<u>V. Formal inauguration</u>	29
<u>VI. Sessions of the Plenary Assembly</u>	
Committee on Reservations (See under XV)	29
<u>VII. Formal closure</u>	47
<u>VIII. Committee 1 (Executive)</u>	49
Mixed Working Group RD/MAR (Derogations) (See Committee 5) . . .	53
Mixed Working Group RD/MAR (Protection) (See Committee 5) . . .	53
Mixed Working Group 5A-3B (Date of entry into force) (See Committee 5)	53
Meeting of Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Committees 3, 4 & 5) . .	53
Joint Committee RD/MAR (Apportionment of expenditure) (See under XIV)	

II

	<u>Page</u>
IX. <u>Committee 2</u> (credentials)	55
X. <u>Committee 3</u> (Organisation)	59
<u>Working Group</u> (Draft texts of certain Articles of the Convention)	71
"Revision of the Convention and of the Plan"	
"Modification of the Plan"	
"Abrogation of the Convention and of the Plan"	
<u>Working Group 3A</u> (Organisation and structure) (called 1st Group)	72
"Preamble" (to the Convention)	
"Execution of the Convention and of the Plan"	
"Definitions"	
"Ratification of the Convention"	
"Accession to the Convention"	
"Revision of the Convention and Plan"	
"Denunciation of the Convention"	
"Application of the International Telecommunication Convention"	
"Frequencies for stations of non-signatory countries"	
"Opinion on the conditions of the Secretary General's intervention"	
<u>Working Group 3B</u> (Technical questions)	73
"Notification of frequencies"	
"Quality of emissions"	
"Harmful interference"	
<u>Working Group 3C</u> (Financial questions)	74
"Conference Expenses"	
<u>Mixed Working Group 5A - 3B</u>	74
"Date of entry into force of the Convention and of the Plan"	
<u>Small Drafting Group</u> - Draft text for para. 1 of the Article	
"Revision of the Convention and of the Plan"	75
<u>Small Group</u> - Draft text of para. 2 of the Article	
"Revision of the Convention and of the Plan"	75
<u>Small Working Group</u> - Indirect formula of accession. Non-autonomous territories	75
<u>Working Group</u> (called 2nd Group)	75
"Accession to the Convention"	
<u>Special Working Group</u>	76
"International expert organisation"	
XI. <u>Committee 4</u> (Technical)	
<u>Working Group</u> (Programme of Work)	77
<u>Working Group</u> (Revision of <u>RD93</u>)	81
<u>Working Group</u> (Frequency allocations for Germany)	81
<u>Working Group</u> (Propagation)	82
<u>Working Group</u> (Technical role of expert)	82
<u>Working Group</u> (Date of entry into force)	82

<u>Committee 4 (contd.)</u>	
<u>Sub-Committee 4A (Supplementary technical standards)</u>	83
Working Group (Field values on reception)	85
Working Group 1 (Secondary zone. Frequencies in day and night time. Field strength of interference)	85
Working Group 2 (Protection ratios. Shared frequencies. Separation. Frequency tolerances for exclusive and shared frequencies. Difference in frequencies for transmitters using the same channel)	86
Working Group 3 (Synchronisation)	87
Working Group 4 (Directional aerials)	87
Working Group 5 (Power limitation in the long wave band. International common frequencies. Limitation of power for shared medium waves. Maximum power for medium frequencies. Common national waves. Facsimiles.)	88
<u>Sub-Committee 4B (Technical analysis)</u>	88
<u>Sub-Committee 4C (Technical drafting)</u>	90
<u>Committee 5 (Frequency allocation)</u>	91
Group 1 (Study of the requirements of each country)	97
Group 2 (Preparation of the Plan)	97
Planning Group	97
Mixed Working Group RD/MAR (Derogations in maritime bands 415-485 and 515-525 kc/s)	98
Group 3 (Shared frequency band 255-285 kc/s)	99
Joint Meeting of Groups 2 & 3 (Frequency 272 kc/s. Derogations in aeronautical bands 325-365 and 395-405 kc/s)	99
<u>Sub-Committee 5A (Preamble to the Plan)</u>	100
Working Group (Possible derogations in aeronautical bands below 250 kc/s)	100
Mixed Working Group RD/MAR (Protection of coast stations in the bands 415-490 and 510-525 kc/s)	100
Mixed Working Group 5A-3B (Date of entry into force)	101
 <u>XIII. Committee 6 (Drafting)</u>	 103
 <u>XIV. Joint Committee RD/MAR (Apportionment of expenditure)</u>	 105
 <u>XV. Committee on Reservations</u>	 107
 <u>Annex 1. List of participants.</u>	
A. in alphabetical order	109
B. by delegations	122
 <u>Annex 2. Bureau of the Conference</u>	 135

	<u>Page</u>
Annex 3. <u>List of documents of the CER</u>	
A. in numerical order.	137
B. by Committee.	147
Annex 4. <u>List in brief form of documents of the Committee of Eight Countries.</u>	149
Annex 5. <u>Denmark. Report on invitation to the European Broadcasting Conference and the Maritime Regional Radio Conference (Document RD7/MAR 9 of 22 June 1948).</u>	151

Index of specific subjects of discussion
(See page V & ff)

Index of specific subjects of discussion

Supplementing the table of contents

Note. This index refers solely to discussion in Meetings of Heads of Delegations, Plenary Assembly and Committees. For subjects discussed in Sub-Committees, Working Groups, etc., see contents.

The numbers in this Index are those of documents RD or RD/MAR.

Abbreviations used: Heads - Meetings of Heads of Delegations
Pl.A. - Sessions of Plenary Assembly
Col, Co2, Co3, Co4, Co5, Co6, Joint Committee -
 Meetings of these Committees.

Rules of procedure and organisation.

Rules of procedure (See under III a in the contents)
 Admission of the U.S.A. Observer. Heads 10/13, 15/17, 18/18.
 Admission of countries. Heads 10/13, Pl.A. 117, 118, 119.
 Admission of organisations. Heads 10/13, Pl.A. 30, 112, 117.
 Representation of the zones of occupation of Germany: Heads 18/18,
Pl.A. 26, 30.
 Character and title of the Conference. Pl.A. 30, 183, Co2 37. Co3 50,
 58, 60.
 Working Languages. Heads 10/13, 15/17. Pl.A. 26, 29, 30.
Joint Co. 244/117.
 Memorandum from the Head of the Linguistic Service. Col 154/78, 307/149.
 Secret votes. Pl.A. 117, 118.
 Publicity of the Minutes. Pl.A. 119.
 Amendment to Minutes requested by a Delegate who was not present at the
 Meeting. Pl.A. 360.
 Minutes of Committees Meetings not yet approved. Pl.A. 402.
 Minutes of Plenary Assembly not yet approved. Pl.A. 403.
 Preliminary Reports by Working Groups. Col 134/47.
 Participation of Observers in Working Groups. Col 218/109. Co3 206,
Co4 327.
 Presence in Working Groups of Delegates who have not asked to be included
Col 218/109.
 Vote for the admission of a Delegate to a Committee. Co3 305.
 Temporary replacement of a Chairman. Col 250/120.
 Permanent replacement of a Chairman. Col 250/120, 273/129, 307/149.
 Working methods. Pl.A. 104.
 Press release. Pl.A. 403.
 Transfer of the Conference from Copenhagen to Elsenaur. Pl.A. 30.
Col 218/109.
 Closure of the Conference (prospects). Col 218/109, 250/120, 273/129,
 307/149.
 Publication of Final Acts and of a Set of Documentation. Pl.A. 362, 370.

Financial questions.

Apportionment of expenditure. Exemption Pl.A. 360. Col 186/96, 273/129.
Joint Co. 205/103, 244/117.
 Expenses accruing from the use of working languages. Pl.A. 360.
Joint Co. 244/117.
 Classes of contribution. Joint Co. 244/117.

Credentials and signature.

Credentials applying only to the right to participate. Co2 57, 149.
Can a Government accredit a Delegate of another country? Co2 149, 202.
Powers to **sign sent by telegram**. Co2 202, 388.
Signature for Morocco and Tunisia. Co2 343, 388.
Rules governing the signing of Acts. Co2 343. Pl.A. 402, 411.
Signature for non-autonomous territories. Co2 343.

Committee of Eight countries.

Report by the Committee of Eight countries. Heads 15/17. Pl.A. 26, 31, 167. Co4 192.
Remarks on the variants of the Brussels Plan. Col 39/24.
Divergence of opinion in the Committee of Eight countries. Co4 91.
Study of annexes to Brussels Document 284 Co4 193 (19 July).
Analysis of Brussels documentation. Co4 194.

Technical questions and frequency assignment

Technical minimum for Germany. Pl.A. 167, 182, 183. Col 95/41. Co3 42, 50. Co5 252.
Frequencies to be assigned to Germany. Co4 194.
Programme of work in Committee 4. Co4 148, 91, 192.
Technical expert opinion on the Plan by Sub-Committee 4B. Co4 91, 193.
Recommendations to Committee 5. Co4 193, 194.
Propagation curves. Co4 193, 255, 311.
Use of metric waves (Recommendation). Co4 405. *)

-
- *) The recommendation on the use of metric waves was accepted by Committee 4 at its 10th Meeting (RD 405). It was not referred to the Plenary Assembly for approval, and therefore is not included in the pink pamphlet containing the Final Acts of the Conference. For documentation purposes, we reproduce its text, which has been published in RD 404:

"Recommendation on the use of metre waves

"The constant development of broadcasting in all the countries of the European Area leads to the observation that the frequency bands allocated to broadcasting in the whole range of the medium and long waves, even if it should subsequently prove necessary to widen these bands, are not adequate to meet the majority of the needs of the European broadcasting services.

"In consequence, the European Conference recommends the countries of the European Area to endeavour to facilitate research for satisfactory solutions in the bands concerned, having recourse, in the greatest degree permitted by economic and technical conditions, to the possibilities offered by new technical means (frequency modulation or pulse modulation), and thus to develop, in so far as possible, the use of frequencies higher than 40 mc/s for a considerable part of the broadcasting service to be carried out."

Technical questions and frequency assignment (continued)

Programme of work in Committee 5. Co5 92, 141.
 Directives to Groups 1 & 2. Co5 141, 252, 257, 258, 328.
 Work of Groups 1 & 2. Co5 141, 252, 258, 328.
 Work of Planning Group. Co5 340, 358, 400, 407.
 Special case of the State of Vatican City. Co5 258, 328.
 Derogations in the aeronautical bands 325-365 and 395-405 kc/s. Co5 328, 329, 407.
 Possible derogations for aeronautical bands below 250 kc/s. Co5 328, 329.
 Protection of stations in derogation. Co5 340, 384.
 Cuts in frequency requirements Consultations. Co5 340, 384.

Miscellaneous.

List of countries in alphabetical order. Co5 252, 257.
 Map of the European Broadcasting Area. Co3 282.
 Press Articles. Pl.A. 112, 117, 118, 119.
 Conference of technicians envisaged. Co5 407.
 Problem of using the channels "by day" possibly solved by bilateral agreements. Co5 407.

Questions relating to the Convention and to the Preamble to the Plan.

Differentiation between questions relating to the Convention and to the Plan.
Col 186/96, 218/109, 307/149.
Co3 187, 206.
 Basic principles governing the Convention. Co3 60, 184, 187.
 Working methods in Committee 3. Co3 184, 187.
 Rights of countries not included in the European Area. Co3 206.
 Questions concerning countries (USSR, Egypt) whose territories do not lie **entirely within the** European Broadcasting Area. Pl.A. 360.
 Territorial application of the Convention. Co3 282, 314.
 Desire expressed by the Maritime Conference to see incorporated in the Convention certain Atlantic City provisions. Col 348/173, Co3 316.
 Reference to Atlantic City provisions. Col 307/149, 348/173. Co3 60, 187, 270, 282, 314.

Index drawn up on the basis of the Final Acts of Copenhagen

Discussion on the Articles of the Convention: (Reading and final draft:
 { Co3 2nd reading 316
 { Pl.A. 1st " 360, 362, 370
 { Pl.A. 2nd " 402
 { Co6 Final draft 386

Discussion on the Articles of the Convention (continued)

List of signatory countries	<u>Pl.A.</u> 360, 402. <u>Co6</u> 320.
Preamble	<u>Pl.A.</u> 360, 402. <u>Co3</u> 270, 263. <u>Co6</u> 320.
Art. 1. Execution of the Convention and the Plan	<u>Pl.A.</u> 360, 402. <u>Co3</u> 270, 263. <u>Co6</u> 320.
" 2. Definitions	<u>Pl.A.</u> 360, 402. <u>Co3</u> 270, 263, 282. <u>Co6</u> 294.
" 3. Ratifications of the Convention	<u>Pl.A.</u> 362, 402. <u>Co3</u> 270, 282, 283, 297, 339. <u>Co6</u> 294, 320
" 4. Accession to the Convention	<u>Pl.A.</u> 362, 402 (11 Sept.), 402 (13 Sept.), <u>Co3</u> 270, 282, 283, 297, 305, 339. <u>Co6</u> 294, 320.
" 5. Denunciation of the Convention	<u>Pl.A.</u> 362, 402. <u>Co3</u> 270, 282, 339. <u>Co6</u> 294, 320.
" 6. Abrogation of the Convention of the Plan	<u>Pl.A.</u> 360, 402. <u>Co3</u> 60, 187, <u>Co6</u> 294.
" 7. Revision of the Convention of the Plan	<u>Pl.A.</u> 360, 402. <u>Co3</u> 60, 184, 187, 270, 263, 285, 280, 282, <u>Co6</u> 294.
" 8. Modification of the Plan	<u>Pl.A.</u> 360, 402. <u>Co3</u> 60, 184, 187, 316, 339.
" 9. Notification of frequencies	<u>Pl.A.</u> 360, 402. <u>Co3</u> 206, 270, 263, 283, 316, 339, 367.
" 10. General technical provisions	<u>Pl.A.</u> 360, 362, 370, 402. <u>Co3</u> 270, 263.
" 11. International expert organisation	<u>Pl.A.</u> 402. <u>Co1</u> 307/149, <u>Co3</u> 187, 206, 339, 367. <u>Co6</u> 356.
" 12. Conference expenses	<u>Pl.A.</u> 362, 402. <u>Co3</u> 270.
" 13. Entry into force of the Convention	<u>Pl.A.</u> 370, 402. <u>Co1</u> 307/149. <u>Co3</u> 206, 283, 314, 339, 367. <u>Co4</u> 405. <u>Co5</u> 400, 407. <u>Co6</u> 320, 356.

Final formula and signatures.

Co3 283.Discussion on the Copenhagen PlanPreamble Chapter I. General provisions:

<u>Reading and Final draft:</u>	
(<u>Co5</u>)	258, 399 (7 Sept.)
(<u>Co6</u>)	356 (8 Sept.)
(<u>Pl.A.</u> 1st reading)	(9 Sept.)
(<u>Pl.A.</u> 2nd reading)	(11 Sept.)
(<u>Co6</u> Final draft)	356, 386 (12 Sept.)

Discussion on the Copenhagen Plan (cont.)

Art. 1. Definitions	<u>Pl.A.</u> 370,402	} See also discussion in Com.4.
" 2. Power	<u>Pl.A.</u> 370,402	
" 3. Frequency tolerances	<u>Pl.A.</u> 370,402	
" 4. Use of frequencies	<u>Pl.A.</u> 370,402	
" 5. Directional aeriels	<u>Pl.A.</u> 370,402	
" 6. Interference between stations	<u>Pl.A.</u> 370,402	
" 7. Synchronised network	<u>Pl.A.</u> 370,402	
" 8. Functions of the expert	<u>Pl.A.</u> 370,402.	

Col 307/149, Co3 187,
206. Co4 327, 345.

<u>Preamble Chapter II. Table showing distribution of frequencies:</u>	<u>(Reading and final draft:</u>
	<u>Variants: No.1 Co5 358.;</u>
	<u>No.2 Co5 384.;</u>
	<u>No.3 Co5 400.</u>
	<u>(1st reading of the Plan: Co5 407</u>
	<u>(13 Sept.)</u>
	<u>(2nd reading of the Plan: Pl.A.403</u>
	<u>(14 Sept.)</u>

Table showing distribution of frequencies Pl.A.370. Col 307/149, Co3 187, 270,
282.

Long waves, 150 to 285 kc/s band Pl.A.403. Co5 252, 328, 329, 359, 400.

Derogations, 415 to 490 kc/s and 510 to 525 kc/s Co5 328, 359.

Medium waves, 525 to 1605 kc/s band Pl.A.403.

Final Formula and Signatures.

Discussion on the Final Protocol: Reservation by Portugal

Pl.A.402 (11 Sept.)
402 (13 Sept.), 403

Discussion on Declarations

Pl.A.402 (11 Sept.), 402 (13 Sept.)
403. Co3 314.

Discussion on:

Recommendation on broadcasting stations within
the bands for the maritime and aeronautical services Pl.A.403. Co5 407

Resolution on the entry into force of the Plan,
addressed to the Provisional Frequency Board Pl.A.370, 403.
Co.5 400, 407.

Resolution on the notification of the Plan to
the Provisional Frequency Board and to the Secretary
General of the Union, and Dates of Notification Pl.A.402. Co3 270,
263, 283, 314, 367.
Co6 320, 356.

Opinion on steps to facilitate the speedy routing
of communications to Administrations Pl.A.370, 402. Co3
187, 339, 367.
Co6 320, 356.

EUROPEAN BROADCASTING CONFERENCE (CER)

Copenhagen, 25 June-15 September, 1948DocumentationIntroduction

The European Broadcasting Conference of Copenhagen has decided to forgo the considerable expense of publishing all its Documents, which would have to be done in the three working languages - French, English and Russian - although in a limited number of copies for each language.

It has, however, requested the Chair to publish, with the assistance of the Secretariat a multigraphed set of documentation, in a form permitting speedy reference to any document and discussion.

Instructions were given to that effect at the 6th session of the Plenary Assembly, on September 9, 1948.

Having prepared the above mentioned set of documentation, the Chair and the Secretariat have the pleasure to present it to the participants in the European Broadcasting Conference.

The summaries of the most important Minutes and Reports represent an abridged review of all debates of the Conference. The account of each session - Chiefs of Delegations, Plenary Assembly, Committees or Working Groups - is accompanied by references to relevant documents and their contents, which dispenses with the need for a general index. Such an index, however carefully compiled, can rarely contain everything to suit the purpose of each and all; often it causes waste of precious time if an item has numerous page references and the right one has to be found.

The table of contents permits to find rapidly, at the appropriate pages, all general subjects of discussion.

There is also an index of specific subjects of discussion which - owing to their nature - would not be easily traced in the table of contents.

In addition, to facilitate the search, the list of CER documents (Annex 3) shows against each document number the number of the page which it is referred to in this set of documentation.

The Annex 5 contains, as of documentation interest, the report drawn up by the Danish administration, dated 22 June 1948, giving an account of the task set before the CEP.

We hope that the present set will be as serviceable as it was intended to be.

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

European Broadcasting Conference (CER)

I

Preliminary Information

a) Decision to convene the CER.

At the International Radio Conference of Atlantic City (1947), the 33 countries of the European Broadcasting Area recommended, in the Additional Protocol to the Acts of the Conference, that a new European Broadcasting Conference should be convened to draw up a new Regional Broadcasting Agreement and a new Frequency Allocation Plan for the broadcasting stations of the European Area, to replace the Acts of Montreux (1939) which could not be implemented on account of circumstances and those of Lucerne (1933) which did not meet existing needs. The Government of Denmark was requested to convene the Conference.

b) The Committee of Eight countries.

At the same time, the 33 countries entrusted a Committee composed of the delegates of the following eight countries: Belgium (Chairmanship), France, the Netherlands, the FPR of Yugoslavia, the United Kingdom, Sweden, Switzerland and the U.S.S.R., with the task of preparing a preliminary draft Plan for the allocation of frequencies to broadcasting stations of the European Area and of submitting it, not later than March 15th, 1948, to the Government of Belgium, requested to communicate it to the Government of Denmark and, through the Bureau of the International Telecommunication Union, to all the Governments of the European broadcasting Area. This Committee, generally called the Committee of Eight countries, met in Brussels from 15 January to 20 March, and from 11 May to 9 June 1948.

As it was not possible to draw up the draft Plan during the first session of the Committee, it was decided to forward to the countries of the European Area two variants (one with a frequency separation of 9 Kc/s, and the other with a separation of 10 kc/s) of a preliminary document for the Plan.

During its second session, the Committee heard the Delegates of 22 countries of the European Area and studied the written remarks submitted by the 33 countries. As it was not possible to reach an agreement on a single preliminary draft Plan, the Committee decided to present, with the Final Report, two variants of a preliminary document, one with a frequency separation of 9 kc/s, the other with a separation of 10 kc/s. These variants had been revised to the greatest extent possible so as to take into account the remarks of the Administrations.

c) Documents of the Committee of Eight countries.

During its 1st session, the Committee published the documents numbered 1 to 163 and during its second session, those numbered 201 to 294. The 9 kc/s variant is published in Doc. No. 279, that of 10 kc/s in Doc. No. 281, and the Final Report - in No. 284. Those three documents are all dated 9 June 1948. To avoid confusion, Nos. 279, 281 and 284 have not been used to number the documents of the Copenhagen Conference.

On the request of the European Broadcasting Conference, the Secretariat published on 7 July 1948, in French only, Doc. RD 53, with an alphabetical table of contents of the Documents of the Committee of Eight countries. A list of all the Documents of the Committee of Eight countries is annexed to the present set.

II

General Information on the European Broadcasting Conference

a) General

The Conference met in Copenhagen, on the 25th of June 1948, in the Christiansborg Castle. Its headquarters were transferred on the 15th of August to the Marienlyst Hotel at Elsenaur. The Conference completed there its work on the 15th of September 1948.

In order to facilitate the work of the European Broadcasting Conference, the Danish Administration published a Report (RD 7/MAR 9) on the 22 June 1948 on the action taken by the Danish Government and Administration in regard to invitations issued for the Conference and to the tasks of the Conference (see Annex 5)

b) Participation

With the exception of Lebanon, the 33 countries of the European broadcasting Area were represented at the Conference. Below is the list of countries of the European Broadcasting Area, and the list of Observers admitted to the Conference.

In this list are specified the countries signatory and non-signatory to the Convention and of the Plan. Except for the Roumanian PR, whose official denomination has been altered since the Atlantic City Convention, the countries have been listed in the order of the signatures on the Additional Protocol to the Acts of the International Radio Conference of Atlantic City (1947).

Countries (in brackets: abbreviations or customary denominations)

+ = signatory to the Convention and to the Plan

0 = non-signatory to the Convention and to the Plan

- + 1. People's Republic of Albania (PR of Albania)
- 0 2. Austria
- + 3. Belgium
- + 4. The Bielorussian Soviet Socialist Republic (Bielorussian S.S.R.)
- + 5. People's Republic of Bulgaria (PR of Bulgaria)
- + 6. State of Vatican City (Vatican City)
- + 7. Denmark
- 0 8. Egypt
- + 9. Finland
- + 10. France
- + 11. Greece
- + 12. Hungary
- + 13. Ireland
- 0 14. Iceland
- + 15. Italy
- 16. Lebanon (did not participate in the Conference) (See R.D.67)
- 0 17. Luxemburg

- + 18. Monaco
- + 19. Norway
- + 20. Netherlands
- + 21. Republic of Poland (R. of Poland)
- + 22. Portugal
- + 23. French Protectorates of Morocco and Tunisia (Morocco and Tunisia)
- + 24. Federal People's Republic of Yugoslavia (FPR of Yugoslavia)
- + 25. Roumanian People's Republic (Roumanian PR)
- + 26. The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic (Ukrainian SSR)
- + 27. United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland (United Kingdom, UK)
- 0 28. Sweden
- + 29. Swiss Confederation (Switzerland)
- 0 30. Syria
- + 31. Czechoslovakia
- 0 32. Turkey
- + 33. Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (U.S.S.R.)

Observers

a. Countries

San Marino (Republic of)
United States of America

b. International Organizations

International Frequency Registration Board (IFRB)
United Nations (UN), was invited, but did not participate.
United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)
International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO)
International Chamber of Shipping
International Maritime Radio Committee (CIRM)
International Broadcasting Organization (OIR)
International Broadcasting Union (UIR)

c) Chairmanship, Vice-Chairmanship and Secretariat

The Chairmanship and Vice-Chairmanship of the Conference were assumed respectively by Mr. N.E. Holmblad, Chief Engineer, Chief of the Technical Division of the Danish Administration of Posts and Telegraphs, Head of the Danish Delegation, and by Mr. Gunnar Pedersen, Chief of the Technical Broadcasting Section, Danish Administration of Posts and Telegraphs, Deputy-Head of the Danish Delegation.

The Secretariat of the Conference, including members of the staff of the General Secretariat of the ITU, seconded by members of the Danish Administration, performed its duties under the directorship of Mr. W.F. Studor, Councillor of the ITU, Secretary-in-Chief of the Conference. Mr. Gerald C. Gross, Deputy Secretary-General, represented the Secretary-General of the Union during the first days of the Conference.

d) Meeting of the Heads of Delegations, inauguration and closure, sessions of Plenary Assembly

The Heads of Delegations met on 24, 25 and 26 June, 1948.

The formal inauguration of the Conference took place on June 25th, in the presence of Mr. Carl Petersen, Minister of Public Works of Denmark, and the closing session, on September 15th, in the presence of Mr. K.J. Jensen, Director-General of Posts and Telegraphs of Denmark, representing the Minister of Public Works.

Nine sessions of the Plenary Assembly, with 20 meetings as a whole, were held between the 28th of June and the 14th of September.

e) Committees, Sub-Committees and Working Groups.

Six committees were appointed. The denomination of each Committee, Sub-Committee and Working Group, the date of their setting up, their terms of reference and membership, as well as the names of the Chairmen, Vice-Chairmen and Rapporteurs are mentioned in the Sections devoted to the work of each.

f) Documents of the CER.

The Conference published 410 documents, numbered 1 to 413, Nos. RD 279, RD 281 and RD 284 having been omitted on purpose to avoid confusion with Documents of the Committee of Eight Countries bearing the same numbers. With the exception of a few, all documents have been published in French, English and Russian, the three working languages of the Conference. A certain number of documents have been marked RD and MAR; RD for the European Broadcasting Conference and MAR for the Maritime Regional Radio Conference, both having held their Meeting at the same time. In the present set of documentation, this double numbering has been maintained to facilitate research: should a double-numbered document be missing in a delegate's "RD" set, it could perhaps be found in a "MAR" set.

g) Press Release.

A Press release, approved at the 9th session of the Plenary Assembly, has been published under N° RD 408.

h) Acts of the CER.

The European Broadcasting Conference has drawn up a new European Broadcasting Convention and a new Frequency Allocation Plan for the broadcasting stations of the European Area, annexed to the Convention, as well as a Final Protocol which includes a reservation by Portugal. Those three Acts have been signed by the representatives of the 25 countries whose names bear the mark + in the list above. They will enter into force on the 15th of March 1950, at 0200 hours (Greenwich Mean Time).

The Secretariat of the European Broadcasting Conference has published the Acts of the CER in a pamphlet, called "pink pamphlet", in English, French and Russian, separately. *)

*) This pamphlet is obtainable from the General Secretariat of the International Telecommunication Union, Palais Wilson, Geneva.

Price per copy, package and postage included :

French edition	-	Sw.frs.	4.-
English "	-	" "	4.-
Russian "	-	" "	7,50

The following supplementary information relating to the Acts of the CER was published later by the General Secretariat of the Union :

Notification N° 563 of November 16, 1948 :

"At the request of the Head of the Belgian Delegation to the European Broadcasting Conference in Copenhagen, and with the agreement of the Chairman of the Conference, the statement reproduced in Doc. RD 394 of September 14, 1948 has to be inserted at page 43 of the pamphlet containing the Final Acts of this Conference.

"The General Secretariat has published the said statement in a loose leaflet to be interpolated in the copies of the Final Acts. It will be sent separately to Administrations and private persons who have already received the pink pamphlet, in which it is to be inserted."

Notification N° 565 of December 16, 1948 :

"With the agreement of Mr. Holmblad, Chairman of the European Broadcasting Conference of Copenhagen, the following communication from the Director of the ICAO Bureau for Europe-Africa, is notified to the Administrations for information :

With reference to the Copenhagen Plan, issued by the General Secretariat of the International Telecommunication Union in 1948, Article 6, paragraph 3, b), page 15 of the pink pamphlet. The CER not having authorized any derogation in the bands 325-365 kc/s and 395-405 kc/s, it would be advisable to delete the said paragraph which, if retained in spite of the CER's decision to cancel derogations in these bands, might lead to some confusion."

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

Documents of general scopeIII a) Rules of Procedure.

22 June 1948, Denmark. Draft Rules of Procedure RD 4

The Draft was considered by the Meeting of Heads of Delegations (RD 18/MAR 18) which introduced therein amendments (RD 12 of June 27, 1948) and set up a small Working Group entrusted with the drawing up of a text amending Para 6 of Rule 17 (Voting procedure).

The Plenary Assembly (RD 26, RD 29 and RD 30) considered in its turn the Draft Rules of Procedure RD 4 amended by RD 12.

It was decided (RD 29) that, temporarily, the 33 countries of the European area would be permitted to vote on questions under discussion (a comment on that decision is to be found in N° 227 of RD 182). Following that decision RD 76, replacing RD 4, was published on July 12, 1948.

RD 76 contains the Rules of Procedure of the Conference, with the exception of Rule 17 not yet adopted at that time.

The Working Group (Voting procedure) issued a Report on the work accomplished-RD 19, a document in 4 parts :

- RD 19 of 30 June - Item 1 : Admission of new Members with voting rights (majority required)
- RD 19 of 8 July - Item 2 : Plan for allocation of frequencies (majority required for decisions to be taken on the Plan)
- RD 19 of 1st July - Item 3 : Rules of Procedure (majority required for acceptance of decisions to be taken by a 2/3 majority)
- RD 19 of 8 July - Item 4 : Convention (majority required for acceptance of decisions on the Convention).

The following documents should also be noted :

- RD 28 of 2 July, United Kingdom : Proposed Amendment to Item 1
- RD 27 of 1st July, France : Proposed Amendment to Item 3

The following documents were considered by the Plenary Assembly :

RD 104 (Item 3), RD 112 (Items 1 & 2, with a new U.S.S.R. proposal (Morocco and Tunisia) distributed during the session and directives to the Working Group for reconsidering Item 2). The Soviet Delegation was asked to draw up a list of questions to be adopted by a 2/3 majority.

In the meantime, a draft of Rule 17 was published on 29 July 1948 by the Danish Delegation (RD 169). RD 169 was first discussed by the Plenary Assembly (RD 183). The Soviet Delegation proposed an amendment published in RD 176. On the 30 July 1948 the same Delegation published the list of questions (RD 173) which, in its opinion, should be adopted by at least a 2/3 majority.

The Plenary Assembly, at its session on 2 August 1948 (RD 210) adopted the final text of Rule 17 of the Rules of Procedure (Voting procedure) published in RD 198, thus supplementing RD 76.

RULES OF PROCEDURE

for the European Broadcasting Conference
(Kobenhavn, 1948)

(Documents RD 76 of 12 July 1948 and RD 198 of 6 August 1948)

Rule 1

Definitions

§ 1. In these Rules, the term "delegation" denotes a group of delegates from the same country.

- a) Only delegations from countries within the European Broadcasting Area x) have the right to vote.
- b) Persons representing extra-European countries have the rights of observers only.

§ 2. Each delegation may be assisted by one or more aides, by one or more advisers, and by one or more interpreters.

§ 3. The term "observer" shall denote :

- a) Persons representing countries outside Europe which have signed or adhered to the International Telecommunications Convention of Atlantic City, 1947;
- b) Persons representing the United Nations who are present at the Conference;
- c) Persons representing the International Frequency Registration Board (I.F.R.B.);
- d) Persons representing international bodies who have asked to be admitted to the Conference and whose request has been approved by a plenary meeting of the Conference.

Rule 2

Admission to the Conference

§ 1. As a general rule, only the following shall take part in all the deliberations of the Conference : delegations from countries within the European Broadcasting area, observers from countries outside Europe, from the United Nations, and from the I.F.R.B.

x) Definition of the European Broadcasting Area : The "European Area" is bounded on the West by the Western boundary of Region 1, on the East by the meridian 40° East of Greenwich and on the South by the parallel 30° North so as to include the Western part of the U.S.S.R. and territories bordering the Mediterranean, with the exception of the parts of Arabia and Saudi-Arabia included in this sector.

§ 2. The first Plenary Assembly shall lay down the limits within which observers from the bodies cited in Rule 1, para 3 d) may attend, and take part in, in an advisory capacity, the deliberations either at sessions of the Plenary Assembly, or at all or some of the Committees.

Rule 3

Order of Seating

At sessions of the Plenary Assembly, delegates, aides, advisers, interpreters, and observers shall be grouped by delegation and by country and agency. These delegations and observers shall be seated in the alphabetical order of French names of the countries and agencies represented.

Rule 4

Election of Chairman and Vice-Chairman

The Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Conference shall be elected at the first session of the Plenary Assembly of the Conference.

Rule 5

Presentation of Credentials

§ 1. Each delegation shall present to the Secretariat of the Conference credentials giving it authority to sign all the agreements entered into by the Conference.

§ 2. These credentials shall be examined by a committee during the first week of the Conference.

§ 3. No delegation shall enjoy the right of vote under Rule 17 unless and until the above committee has declared its credentials to be in order.

§ 4. Observers shall present to the Secretariat an official note from their organization accrediting them to the Conference.

Rule 6

Powers of the Chairman

The Chairman shall open and close the sessions of the Plenary Assembly of the Conference, direct the deliberations, and announce the results of the voting.

He shall also have the general direction of all the work of the Conference.

Rule 7

Secretariat of the Conference

At the first session of the Plenary Assembly a Secretariat of the Conference shall be constituted. It shall be composed of personnel of the Secretariat of the International Telecommunications Union and, if necessary, of personnel of the Danish Government's administration.

12 Rules of Procedure

Rule 8

Appointment of Committees

The Plenary Assembly may appoint committees to examine questions submitted for the consideration of the Conference. These committees may in their turn appoint sub-committees or working groups.

Rule 9

Composition of Committees

§ 1. Committees shall be composed of delegations from countries in the European Broadcasting Area which have made known their intention to participate.

§ 2. The following may be present at, and take part in, the deliberations of the committees, in a consultative capacity :

- a) Observers from non-European countries.
- b) Representatives of the United Nations.
- c) Representatives of the International Frequency Registration Board (I.F.R.B.)
- d) Representatives of international organizations, as laid down by the first Session of the Plenary Assembly.

Rule 10

Chairmen, Vice-Chairmen, and Reporters of Committees and Sub-Committees.

The Chairman of the Conference shall submit for the approval of the Plenary Assembly the choice of chairman and of vice-chairman or vice-chairmen of each committee.

The Chairman of each committee shall propose to his committee the nomination of the reporters and the choice of the chairmen, vice-chairmen and reporters of the sub-committees.

Rule 11

Summons to Sessions

The sessions of the Plenary Assembly and of the committees and sub-committees shall be announced either by letter or by notice posted in the meeting place of the Conference. This information should be given at the earliest moment and as a general rule at least two days before the meeting takes place.

Rule 12

Order of Discussion

§ 1. Persons desiring to speak may do so only after having obtained the consent of the Chairman. As a general rule, they shall begin by announcing the name of their country or of their organization.

§ 2. Any person speaking must express himself slowly and distinctly, separating his words and pausing frequently, so that all his colleagues may be able to follow his meaning, and so that the interpreters can translate his speech.

Rule 13

Proposals presented before the opening of the Conference.

Proposals presented before the opening of the Conference shall be allocated by the Plenary Assembly to the appropriate committees.

Rule 14

Proposals submitted during the Conference.

§ 1. No proposal or amendment may be submitted unless it is countersigned or supported by the Head of the Delegation of the country from which the proposal or amendment originated, or by his deputy.

§ 2. The Chairman of the Conference shall decide whether the proposal or amendment shall be announced to all delegations by distribution of copies or merely by oral statement. Should the delegation from which the proposal or amendment originates wish copies of it to be distributed, this shall be done.

§ 3. At sessions of the Plenary Assembly, any authorized individual may read or request to be read any proposal or amendment presented by him during the Conference, and may be allowed to explain his reasons therefor

Rule 15

Proposals presented to Committees during the Conference.

§ 1. Proposals or amendments submitted after the Conference has opened must be delivered to the Chairman of the appropriate committee, or, in case of doubt as to the appropriate committee, to the Chairman of the Conference.

§ 2. Every proposal or amendment shall be submitted in the definitive form of words to be included in the documents.

§ 3. The Chairman of the committee concerned shall decide whether the proposal or amendment shall be announced to all members of the Conference or committee by distribution of copies or merely by oral statement to the members of the committee. Should the delegation from which the proposal or amendment originates wish copies of it to be distributed, this shall be done.

Rule 16

Postponed proposals.

When a proposal or amendment has been reserved or when its examination has been postponed, the delegation sponsoring it shall be responsible for seeing that it is not subsequently overlooked.

Rule 17

Voting procedure.

All questions - with the exception of the Frequency Allocation Plan and the Convention - on which unanimous agreement on the part of the Delegates to the Conference cannot be reached, shall be resolved by a vote conforming to the undermentioned rules.

It is understood that the Plan and the Convention in their final form shall not be voted upon, but shall be unanimously or quasi-unanimously signed, and then ratified and put into effect by all the signatories.

§ 1. Only delegations of governments in the European area may vote, each delegation having one vote.

§ 2. A duly accredited delegation may give a mandate to another duly accredited delegation to exercise its vote at one or more sessions at which it is unable to be present. In no case may one delegation exercise more than one such proxy vote.

§ 3. At sessions of the Plenary Assembly, each proposal or amendment shall be submitted to a vote after discussion.

§ 4. For a valid vote to be taken at a session of the Plenary Assembly, at least one half of the delegations accredited to the Conference and having the right of vote shall be present or represented at the session during which the vote is cast.

§ 5. Voting shall take place by a show of hands. If a majority is not clearly apparent, even after a recount has been taken, or if an individual count of the votes is requested, there shall be a roll-call in the alphabetical order of the French names of the delegations.

§ 6. In sessions of the Plenary Assembly, no proposal or amendment (save only the exceptions mentioned in paragraph 7 and 8 below) shall be adopted unless it is supported by a majority of the delegations present and voting. In determining the number of votes required for a majority, abstentions shall not be taken into account. In case of a tie, the measure shall be considered rejected.

§ 7. In the case of questions of admission to the Conference with voting rights, no proposal in favour of the admission of a country shall be accepted unless it secures the approval of two-thirds of the countries of the European Broadcasting Area which have already been invited to the Conference in accordance with Article 1, para.1 of the Document annexed to the Additional Protocol to the Acts of the International Radio Conference of Atlantic City, 1947.

§ 8. If the number of abstentions exceeds one-half of the number of delegations present and voting, the measure shall be reconsidered at a subsequent session, at which time the abstentions shall not be taken into consideration.

§ 9. If five or more delegations, present and entitled to vote, request, when a vote is about to be taken, that it shall be taken by secret ballot, this shall be done. The necessary steps shall be taken to guarantee secrecy.

§ 10. Voting procedure within Committees shall be governed by the provisions of paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 and 8 and 9 of this Rule.

Rule 18

Minutes of the Sessions of the Plenary Assembly

§ 1. The minutes of the sessions of the Plenary Assembly shall be drawn up by the secretariat of the Conference.

§ 2. 1) The minutes shall contain only the proposals and conclusions with the chief reasons for them in concise terms.

2) However, each delegate or observer shall have the right to require the insertion in the minutes, either summarized or in full, of any statement which he has made. In such case, he must himself supply its text to the secretariat of the Conference within two hours after the end of the session. It is recommended that this right shall only be used with discretion.

Rule 19

Reports of Committees

§ 1. (1) The debates of the committees and sub-committees shall be summarized, session by session, in reports in which shall be brought out the essential points of the discussion, the various opinions which are expressed and which it is desirable that the Plenary Assembly should know and the proposals and conclusions which emerge.

(2) However, each delegate or observer shall have the right to require the insertion in the report of any statement which he has made (either summarized or in full). In such a case, he must himself supply to the reporter the text to be inserted within two hours after the end of the session. It is recommended that this right shall only be used with discretion.

§ 2. If circumstances warrant, the committees, sub-committees or working groups shall prepare at the end of their work a final report in which they shall recapitulate in concise terms the proposals and conclusions which result from the studies which have been entrusted to them.

Rule 20

Adoption of Minutes and Reports

§ 1. (1) As a general rule, at the beginning of each session of the Plenary Assembly or of each session of a committee or of a sub-committee, the minutes, or the report, of the preceding session shall be read.

(2) However, the Chairman may, if he considers such procedure satisfactory and if no objection is raised, merely ask if any member of the Plenary Assembly, the Committee or the sub-committee, have any remarks to make on the contents of the minutes or of the report.

§ 2. The minutes or the report shall then be adopted or amended in accordance with the remarks which have been made and which have been approved by the Plenary Assembly, or by the Committee or sub-committee.

§ 3. Any final report must be approved by the respective committee or sub-committee.

§ 4. (1) The minutes of the closing session of the Plenary Assembly shall be examined and approved by the Chairman of the Conference.

(2) The report of the last session of a committee or of a sub-committee shall be examined and approved by the Chairman of the committee or sub-committee.

Rule 21

Languages

The final documents of the Conference shall be drawn up in the languages mentioned below, in versions equivalent both in form and content :

English, French and Russian.

In case of dispute, the French text shall be authentic. All other documents shall be drawn up in :

English, French and Russian.

At meetings, there shall be an efficient system of interpretation in :

English, French and Russian.

Other languages may be used in the debates provided that the delegations using them make arrangements themselves for oral translation into any one of the languages mentioned in the first sub-paragraph above. Similarly, delegates may, if they wish, arrange for speeches to be translated orally into their own languages from one of the languages mentioned above.

As to the share to be taken by each country in the expenses attributable to the use of these languages, the provisions of the Atlantic City Convention, Article 15, paragraph 5, shall apply, by courtesy of the I.T.U.

Rule 22

Editorial Committee, Numbering

§ 1. The texts of the agreement or of the frequency allotment plan, which shall be worded so far as practicable in their definitive form by the various committees, following the opinions expressed, shall be submitted to an editorial committee charged with perfecting their form without altering their sense, and with combining them with those parts of the former texts which have not been altered.

§ 2. The whole of the revised texts shall be submitted for the approval of the Plenary Assembly of the Conference, which shall decide on them, or refer them back to the appropriate committee for further examination.

§ 3. The numbers of the chapters, articles and paragraphs of the texts subjected to revision shall be preserved until the first reading at a session of the Plenary Assembly. The passages added shall bear provisionally the numbers bis, ter, etc., and the numbers of deleted passages shall not be used.

§ 4. The definitive numbering of the chapters, articles, and paragraphs, shall be entrusted to the Editorial Committee after their adoption following the first reading.

Rule 23

Final Approval

The texts of the agreement and of the frequency allotment plan shall not acquire final status until they have been read a second time and approved.

Rule 24

Signature

The final texts approved by the Conference shall be submitted for signature to the delegates provided with the necessary powers in the alphabetical order of the French names of the countries.

Rule 25

Publicity

§ 1. Plenary meetings of the Conference shall be open to the public unless otherwise decided by a majority vote.

§ 2. Official releases to the press about the work of the Conference shall be issued only as authorized by the Chairman or Vice-Chairman of the Conference. However the official release at the end of the Conference shall require the approval of a Plenary Meeting.

Rule 26

Documents

The General Secretariat of the Internationale Telecommunication Union shall be entrusted with the publication of all documents relative to the Conference, and with their distribution to all the delegations and observers taking part in the Conference.

Rule 27

Franking Privileges

§ 1. The delegates and observers, as defined in Rule 1, shall be entitled to postal, telegraph and telephone franking privileges to an extent arranged by the Danish Government in agreement with contracting governments and the private operating agencies concerned. These franking privileges shall start two days before the opening of the Conference, and shall come to an end two days **after** the Conference ends.

§ 2. Telegraph and telephone franking privileges shall be limited to communications exchanged between delegates and observers and their respective governments, administrations, and agencies, and between them and their families.

§ 3. The staff of the Conference Secretariat shall also benefit by these privileges.

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

WORKING METHODS

to be followed in Committee Meetings

approved

by the Plenary Assembly of the Broadcasting Conference (9 July 1948 - RD Doc. 104) and
by the Plenary Assembly of the Maritime Conference (21 July 1948 - MAR Doc. 71)

(Document RD 144/MAR 76 of 22 July 1948)

Rules of Procedure

- a) Committees are guided in their work by the Rules of Procedure of the Conference.

Designation of Proposals and Amendments

- b) In order to facilitate the work of the Conference, as well as the drafting of the final documents and reference to them, the Rapporteurs shall always designate proposals or amendments by the number of the document in which they have been published.

Reports shall bear at the top of the page the number of the Committee which has drafted them.

Inclusion of additional proposals in the reports

- c) The Rapporteurs shall be responsible for inserting in their reports the text of additional proposals, the examination and discussion of which are related thereto.

Numbering, mimeographing and distribution of reports

- d) The Rapporteurs shall submit the first draft of their reports to the Secretariat of the Conference.

The Secretariat shall be responsible for their numbering, mimeographing and distribution.

Corrections

- e) Requests that corrections be made in a report may be addressed either to the Secretariat or to the meeting responsible for the adoption of the report. In the former case, they shall be accompanied by the endorsement of the responsible Rapporteur, numbered, and published immediately. They shall show clearly upon whose request the correction has been made.

Time-table of Meetings

- f) Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of the Committees of the Conference shall meet on Friday to fix the time-table for the meetings of the following week.

Allocation of Rooms. Summonses to Meetings

g) The rooms required for the meetings fixed by the weekly time-table shall be reserved, in agreement with the Reception Committee, at the weekly meeting of Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Committees. In the case of meetings outside the time-table, the Rapporteurs shall apply to the Reception Committee for reservations of the necessary rooms. The Committee shall also be responsible for the posting of the date, time and place of meetings, it will be appreciated if the Rapporteurs will inform the Reception Committee. As far as possible, summonses to meetings shall be posted at least 24 hours in advance.

Views and Opinions

h) The views and opinions which the Sub-Committees or Working Groups may be asked to formulate shall be submitted for ratification to the Committee concerned, and then, should the occasion arise, to the Plenary Assembly. The decisions involving the Conference are obligatorily taken by the Plenary Assembly.

Application of the Working Methods to Committees

i) The Chairmen of the various Committees may complete the stipulations of the present Document in accordance with the special needs of their Committees.

DISTRIBUTION OF EXPENSES

arising from the RD and MAR Conferences
and the Committee of Eight Countries, Brussels.

(Document RD 363/MAR 203 of 11 September 1948)

On the basis of the decisions taken by the European Broadcasting Conference in its Plenary Assembly, on 4 September 1948, and by

the Maritime Regional Radio Conference in its Plenary Assembly on 9 September 1948,

the distribution of the expenses of the above mentioned Conferences shall be made in the following manner :

1° the expenses attributable to the European Broadcasting Conference (C.E.R.) shall be 3/4 (three-quarters), and

the expenses attributable to the Maritime Regional Radio Conference (C.MAR) 1/4 (one-quarter) of the total expenses arising from the meetings at Copenhagen, account being taken of Resolution N° 20 of the Administrative Council of the I.T.U. concerning detachment of members of the permanent staff of the Union to regional conferences :

2° The expenses arising from the Committee of Eight Countries, at Brussels, shall be audited and settled separately, following the decision to exempt the United States of America from any contribution to the expenses of the Committee of Eight Countries:

3° the distribution of the expenses shall be effected in conformity with the Table contained in Annex I for the C.E.R. and for the Committee of Eight Countries, taking into account, in the case of the Committee of Eight Countries, the exemption of the United States of America (see I, 2)¹; in Annex II for the C. MAR.

4° the RD and MAR Conferences have adopted the principle of contributions by the participants in general, i.e. countries (including Observer countries) and organisations, with the exception of the following organisations, which are exempted :

- a) I.F.R.B., I.T.U. organisation; ²
- b) U.N.E.S.C.O.)
- c) I.C.A.O.) specialised agencies of United Nations.
- d) O.I.R.
- e) U.I.R.

1. The RD Conference has decided to exempt the United States of America from contributing to the expenses of the Committee of Eight Countries. In addition, when the distribution of the expenses of the Conference was being discussed, the United States Observer stated that this question was still under consideration by his Government.

2. The U.N. did not participate in the Meetings.

22 Distribution of Expenses

f) Since the Conferences have adopted French, English and Russian as working languages, on an equal footing, it has been decided that the expenses arising from the use of the various languages shall be pooled and distributed without regard to language. The reservation has, however, been made and accepted that this proceeding shall not constitute a precedent.

5° the classes of contribution shall be those which result from the situation as of 1 September 1948:

Annex I

Classification based on I.T.U.
Notification 558 of 1 Sept. 1948

Contribution to the expenses of the European Broadcasting Conference
and the Committee of Eight Countries.

<u>Country</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Units</u>
People's Republic of Albania	8	1
Austria	8	1
Belgium	5	10
Bielorussian Soviet Socialist Republic	6	5
People's Republic of Bulgaria	7	3
State of the Vatican City	8	1
Denmark	5	10
Egypt	5	10
Finland	6	5
France	1	30
Greece	6	5
Hungary	8	1
Ireland	6	5
Iceland	8	1
Italy	2	25
Luxembourg	7	3
Monaco	8	1
Norway	5	10
Netherlands	5	10
Republic of Poland	3	20
Portugal	4	15
French Protectorates of Morocco and Tunisia	(7	3
	(7	3
Federal People's Republic of Yugoslavia	6	5
Roumanian People's Republic	6	5
Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic	4	15
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	1*	30**
Sweden	5	10
Swiss Confederation	5	10
Syria	7	3
Czechoslovakia	5	10
Turkey	5	10
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	2	25
<u>Observers.</u>		
<u>a) Countries</u>		
San Marino	8	1
United States of America	1	30**
(does not contribute for Committee of Eight Countries)		
<u>b) Organisations.</u>		
I. International Frequency Registration Board (I.F.R.B.)	-	-
United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (U.N.E.S.C.O.)	-	-
International Civil Aviation Organisation (I.C.A.O.)	-	-
II. International Chamber of Shipping	8	1
International Maritime Radio Committee (C.I.R.M.)	5	10
International Broadcasting Organisation (O.I.R.)	-	-
International Broadcasting Union (U.I.R.)	-	-
Total :		<u>343</u>

* General Secretariat Note : The original document published in Copenhagen (RD 363/MAR 203) showed here, Class 2, Units 25. This was changed to Class 1, Unit 30 at the request (effective from 1 January 1948) of the United Kingdom (Notification 559 dated 16 September 1948).

** See Note 1 under I (3), p.21.

Annex II
Classification based on
I.T.U. Notification 558
of 1 September 1948.

Contribution to the expenses
of the Maritime Regional Radio Conference

<u>Country</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Units</u>
People's Republic of Albania	8	1
Belgium	5	10
Bielorussian Soviet Socialist Republic	6	5
People's Republic of Bulgaria	7	3
Denmark	5	10
Egypt	5	10
Finland	6	5
France	1	30
Greece	6	5
Hungary	8	1
Ireland	6	5
Iceland	8	1
Italy	2	25
Monaco	8	1
Norway	5	10
Netherlands	5	10
Republic of Poland	3	20
Portugal	4	15
French Protectorates of Morocco and Tunisia	(7)	3
	(7)	3
Federal People's Republic of Yugoslavia	6	5
Roumanian People's Republic	6	5
Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic	4	15
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	1*	30*
Sweden	5	10
Swiss Confederation	5	10
Czechoslovakia	5	10
Turkey	5	10
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	2	25
<u>Observers</u>		
<u>a. Countries</u>		
United States of America	1	30**
<u>b. Organisations</u>		
I. International Frequency Registration Board (I.F.R.B.)	-	-
International Civil Aviation Organisation (I.C.A.O.)	-	-
II. International Chamber of Shipping	8	1
International Maritime Radio Committee (C.I.R.M.).	5	10
Total		334

* See General Secretariat's note, p. 23.

** See Note 1 under I (3), p.21.

III d) Establishment and membership of Committees

Establishment

22 June 1948 - Denmark - Draft RD 2

This draft has been considered by the Heads of Delegations Meeting. (RD10/MAR 13 and RD15/MAR 17) and by the Plenary Assembly (RD 26). The Plenary Assembly slightly modified RD2 and took note of the U.S.S.R.'s reservation - mentioned in the Minutes - relating to the number and terms of reference of the various Committees.

Chairmanship and Vice-Chairmanship

An unnumbered confidential document, containing suggestions made by the Danish Administration, was distributed at the Meeting of Heads of Delegations and considered by them (RD10/MAR 13 and RD15/MAR 17). The Plenary Assembly (RD26) ratified these proposals.

Membership of Committees

27 July 1948

RD151 amended in RD286 and RD289

Membership of Sub-Committees and Working Groups

13 August 1948

RD236 (continuing RD151)

<p><u>Note.</u> Information on the <u>establishment</u>, <u>terms of reference</u> and <u>membership</u> of Committees, Sub-Committees and Working Groups is given at the beginning of each appropriate set of documentation.</p>

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

Meeting of Heads of Delegations of
European Broadcasting and Maritime Regional Radio Joint Conference

Three Meetings : RD 10/MAR 13, RD 15/MAR 17, RD 18/MAR 18 + RD 25/MAR 19.

Agenda for the Meeting RD 8 : cancels RD 1. Supplemented by RD 9.

1. Appointment of the Secretariat
2. Establishment of Committees (RD 2)
3. Chairmanship and Vice-Chairmanship of Committees
4. Linguistic arrangements
5. Rules of Procedure (RD 4)
6. Working methods (RD 6/MAR 8)
7. Admission of the State of Israel and the Republic of San Marino
8. Admission of international organizations (RD 7/MAR 9)
9. Miscellaneous

1st Meeting Thursday 24 June 1948 RD 10/MAR 13 : Supplemented by RD 11/MAR 14
(consecutive interpretation) : Approved and amended in
RD 104 (2nd Pl.Ass.)

See RD 109

Summary of RD 10/MAR 13. - Address of welcome. - Request for the U.S.A. Observer to attend the Meeting. - Roll call of Delegations present. - Proposal to adjourn the meeting. - Proposal for the election of the Secretariat. - Establishment of Committees. - Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Committees. - Information on linguistic arrangements and on requests for admission of countries (Israel, San Marino) and international organizations (OIR, UIR, CIRM).

2nd Meeting Friday 25 June 1948 RD 15/MAR 17 : Approved and amended in
(consecutive interpretation) RD 104 (2nd Pl.Ass.) See RD 109.

Summary of RD 15/MAR 17. - Roll call of Delegations present. - Request from the U.S.A. Observer. Questions addressed to the Representative of the Secretary-General. - Vote: admission of the U.S.A. Observer. - U.S.S.R. reservation. - Setting up of Committees. - Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Committees. - Linguistic arrangements. - Report of the Committee of Eight countries, first item to be considered by Plenary Assembly, whether on the basis, or not, of the Rules of Procedure. - New printing of the Variants and of the Report of Eight countries. - Proposal to adjourn the meeting.

3rd Meeting Saturday 26 June 1948 RD 18/MAR 18 : Approved and amended in RD 104.
+ RD 25/MAR 19 : (2nd Pl.Ass.) See RD 109.
(simultaneous interpretation until 11 September)

Summary of RD 18/MAR 18. - Rules of Procedure: definition of the term "delegation"; discussion on the character of the representation of the U.S.A. and on the representation on the CER of the zones of occupation of Germany; vote on rejection of request to adjourn the meeting; question addressed to the Representative of the Secretary-General; written statement by the U.S.A. Observer that he represented neither Germany, nor one of the zones of occupation; consideration (continued) of the Rules of Procedure; United Kingdom proposal: admission of new delegations should be supported by at least 2/3 of the votes; U.S.S.R. proposal: voting on important questions should require a 2/3 majority; setting up of a working group to draft a new text for Para.6 of Rule 17. - U.S.S.R. request for simultaneous interpretation in Russian. - Statement by the Assistant Secretary-General. - The existing provisional linguistic arrangement was maintained.

28 Heads of Delegations
Meeting (cont.)

Working Group
of the Heads of Delegations Meeting

Working Group (Voting procedure)

Set up on 26 June 1948 - 3rd Meeting of the Heads of Delegations - RD18

Terms of reference: To work out a draft text to amend Rule 17, Para.6 of the Rules of Procedure.

Membership: Chairman: H.W.A. Freese-Pennefather (United Kingdom); P.R. of Albania, P.R. of Bulgaria, Vatican City, Egypt, France, USSR.

Item 1 : Admission of new participants with voting right (majority required for the admission)

RD19: Considered in RD112, RD183 of 30 June 1948:

Item 2 : Plan (majority required for taking decisions relating to the preparation of the Plan)

RD19: Considered in RD112, RD183 of 8 July 1948:

Item 3 : Rules of procedure (majority required to introduce a 2/3 majority vote)

RD19: Considered in RD104, RD183 of 1 July 1948:

Item 4 : Convention (majority required for taking decisions relating to the preparation of the Convention)

RD19: Considered in RD183 of 8 July 1948:

United Kingdom. Amendment to Item 1

RD28: Considered in RD112

France. Amendment to Item 3

RD27: Considered in RD104

See under heading "Documents RD of general scope" in this set of Documentation.

Formal inauguration
of the European Broadcasting and Maritime Regional Radio Joint Conference

22 June 1948

Agenda

RD 9 : cancels RD 3

25 June 1948

Opening Meeting

RD 14/MAR 16

Summary of RD 14/MAR 16. - Address by Mr. Carl Petersen, Minister of Public Works of Denmark. - Reply by M. René Corteil, Head of Belgian Delegation.

VI

Sessions of the Plenary Assembly of the Conference

1st Session (4 meetings) RD 26, RD 29, RD 30, RD 31
 2nd Session (5 meetings) RD104, RD112, RD117, RD118, RD119
 3rd Session (3 meetings) RD167, RD182, RD183
 4th Session (1 meeting) RD210
 5th Session (1 meeting) RD360 + RD363
 6th Session (1 meeting) RD362
 7th Session (1 meeting) RD370
 8th Session (3 meetings) RD402
 9th Session (1 meeting) RD403

1st Session of the Plenary Assembly (4 meetings : RD 26, RD 29, RD 30, RD 31)

Agenda for the 1st Session

RD 13

1. Opening Address by the Head of the Danish Delegation
2. Election of the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Conference
3. Appointment of the Secretariat
4. Establishment of Committees (RD 2)
5. Nomination of Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Committees
6. Linguistic arrangements
7. Rules of Procedure (RD 4)
8. Working methods (RD 6/MAR 8)
9. Admission of International Organizations
10. Working hours of the Conference
11. Report on the work of the Committee of Eight countries
12. Miscellaneous

30 Plenary Assembly

1st Session, 1st Meeting, Monday, 28 June 1948 RD 26 : Approved and amended
: in RD 119,
: See RD 109.

Summary of RD 26. - Opening address. - Interpretation in Russian. - Election of the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Conference. - Request to consider in the first place the Report of the Committee of Eight countries. - Vote against French and USSR proposals to modify the Agenda. - Appointment of the Secretariat. - Consecutive interpretation: English and French into Russian. - Establishment of Committees. - USSR reservation. - Rules of Procedure: amendment to the definition of the term "delegation", proposed by the U.S.A. Observer and ensuing discussion on the meaning of the term "delegation of observers". - Amendment proposed by the French delegation. - Postponement of discussion on representation of the zones of occupation. - Postponement of vote on the amendments proposed.

1st Session, 2nd Meeting, Tuesday, 29 June 1948 RD 29 : Approved and amended
: in RD 104.
: See RD 109.

Summary of RD 29. - Simultaneous interpretation in Russian. - Rules of Procedure: publication of Agendas, Minutes and Reports (postponement of the approval of the Minutes on account of their distribution too short a time before the meeting); final reports by Working Groups; publicity of plenary meetings; official press releases; authorization to vote provided credentials were approved (decision to follow the usual procedure and permit the 33 countries of the European area to vote *). - Was it necessary to have another meeting of Heads of Delegations to consider the Rules of Procedure? - Vote in the negative.

1st Session, 3rd Meeting, Wednesday, 30 June 1948 RD 30 : Approved and
: amended in RD 119.
: See RD 109.

Summary of RD 30. - Renting the Marienlyst Hotel at Elseneur. - Consideration (continued) of the Rules of Procedure : working languages : English, French and Russian on equal footing. - The publication in Russian of final documents adopted without objection. - Vote in favour of publication in Russian of the working documents of the Conference. - The use of Russian as a spoken working language adopted without objection. - Definition of the term "delegation". - Withdrawal of the amendment proposed by the U.S.A. Observer. - Adoption of the amendment proposed by the French delegation. - Press releases. - International organizations: admission of the OIR, reservation by the Swiss delegation. - Postponement of discussion on UIR, CIRM and the International Chamber of Shipping until these organizations stated whether Spain was one of their members. - Exchange of views on the UIR. - Working hours. - Numbering of unofficial documents. - Was the Conference a Plenipotentiary or an Administrative one ? The 3rd Committee was instructed to draw up a report on the character of the Conference. - Time-table. - List of Committees.

*) See comment in No 227 of RD 182.

1st Session, 4th Meeting, Thursday July 1st 1948 RD 31 : Approved and amended
: in RD 119.
: See RD 109.

Summary of RD 31. - Report on the work of the Committee of Eight countries : statement by Mr. René Corteil, former Chairman of the Committee and discussion : why two variants ? why such a large number of frequencies allotted to Germany ? Usefulness of an analytic table of the Brussels documents; distribution, as soon as possible, of the Brussels documents to delegates who had not received them; interests of war-devasted countries; reasons for the difference of opinion between the supporters of the 1st and 2nd variants; why had the OIR not been admitted ? Measures proposed by the Delegate of Morocco and Tunisia; the Technical Committee should prepare directives for the Frequency Allocation Committee; correction of the misleading title "Netherlands Draft"; the Technical Committee should draw up a report as a basis for directives. What were the documents used as a basis for drawing up the variants ? No objection to OIR making an analysis of the variants to be submitted to the Conference by the Delegates of countries members of OIR.

2nd Session of the Plenary Assembly (5 meetings : RD 104, RD 112, RD 117, RD 118, RD 119)

Agenda for the 2nd Session
RD 47 and RD 63

1. Approval of the Minutes of :
 - a) meetings of Heads of Delegations RD 10/MAR 13, RD 11/MAR 14, RD 15/MAR 17, RD 18/MAR 18.
 - b) formal inaugural meeting of the Conference RD 14/MAR 16
 - c) meetings of the First Plenary Assembly RD 26, RD 29, RD 30, RD 31
2. Working methods RD 6
3. Rules of Procedure, Rule 17, Voting procedure RD 4 and RD 12, RD 19 (in four parts)
4. Admission of International Organizations : International Chamber of Shipping RD 40/MAR 25,
CIRM RD 41/MAR 26,
UIR RD 56/MAR 33,
IACO RD 54/MAR 32 and
RD 61/MAR 37, UNESCO RD 62*)
5. Admission of countries : a) Latvia S.S.R. RD 20, b) Moldavia S.S.R.
c) Estonia S.S.R. RD 22, RD 21,
d) Lithuanian S.S.R. RD 23,
e) Finno Carelian S.S.R. RD 24
f) State of Israel RD 46, RD 16 and RD 34,
RD 17 and RD 35,
g) Republic of San Marino RD 52 and RD 75

2nd Session, 1st Meeting, Friday 9 July 1948 RD 104 : Approved and amended
: in RD 167
: See RD 291

Summary of RD 104. - Adoption of Agenda. - Approval of the Minutes. - Approval of Working methods. - Rules of Procedure, Rule 17 : statement by the Chairman of the Working Group "Voting procedure" and discussion : unanimous agreement reached tacitly that certain questions should be adopted by a two-thirds majority;

*) The OIR (RD 44) has already been admitted (See RD 30)

32 Plenary Assembly

Summary of RD 104 (continued). -

it is recalled that the 33 countries of the European Area had been permitted to vote; Yugoslav proposal to consider the four following questions : 1. Plan and Convention - 2. Basic principles for the working out of the Plan - 3. Admission of new members - 4. General questions. Divergence of opinion as to the order to be followed in the discussion of those four points. No objection that the Convention and the Plan must command quasi unanimity. Vote on item 3 of RD 19. Text of right hand column accepted : the rules for the acceptance of a two-thirds majority cannot be submitted to the Plenary Assembly, save by a simple majority only (the Yugoslav delegation did not participate in the vote). It was recalled that the voting procedure was legal.

2nd Session, 2nd Meeting, Monday July 12, 1948 RD 112 : Approved and amended
: in RD 210.
: See RD 291.

Summary of RD 112. - Yugoslav statement on the illegality of the last vote and on the tendentious interpretation, given by the press, of the Yugoslav attitude towards the U.S.S.R. - It was recalled that voting procedure was correct. - Rules of Procedure, Rule 17 : divergence of opinion as to the order of discussion. - Vote by show of hands : priority for item 1 of RD 19 (admission of new Members with a right to vote). - Vote for admission by a 2/3 majority. Discussion on item 2 of RD 19 (majority required to take decisions concerning the drawing up of the Plan). - Two new divergent proposals : the U.S.S.R.'s, distributed during the meeting (in favour of the 2/3 majority) and RD 27 by the French Delegation (alternative votes with 2/3 majority, followed by a simple majority vote). - Discussion on RD 27. - What were the basic principles to be adopted by a 2/3 majority and who would draw up their list ? - The Working Group on "Voting procedure" could, perhaps, reexamine the question. - Proposal, rejected, for a provisional adoption of Para. 6 of Rule 17 (RD 4). - Morocco and Tunisia : draft directives for Working Group for reconsideration of the question. - The Soviet Delegation was entrusted with drawing up a list of questions to be solved by a 2/3 majority. - The Delegate of Morocco and Tunisia introduced an amendment to his draft. - Postponement of discussion. - Item 4 on the Agenda : Admission of international organisations. - International Chamber of Shipping. - Spain being a member of that Chamber, the Soviet Delegation opposed its admission, on account of the United Nations Resolution of 12 December 1946. - Vote favourable to admission. - Statement of the Soviet Delegation contesting the legality of the decision taken and refusing to co-operate with the International Chamber of Shipping.

2nd Session, 3rd Meeting, Tuesday July 13, 1948 RD 117 : Approved and amended
: in RD 360.
: See RD 287, RD 321, RD 361.

Summary of RD 117. - N° 3 - Declaration of the Roumanian Delegation concerning the International Chamber of Shipping. N° 4 - Requests for admission : the C.I.R.M. N°s 5-7 Divergence of opinion. N°s 8-12 - Vote favourable to admission. N°s 13-17 - Discussion on the majority required for the admission of Observers. N°s 18-19 - Application of the 2/3 rule to Observers not having been requested, the rule of simple majority was adopted. N° 20 - The Chairman, nevertheless consulted the Assembly. N°s 21-22 - Should the Assembly decide in favour of the 2/3 majority, the votes already taken by a simple majority would have to be taken again. - N°s 23-25 - Divergence of opinion on the unanimous vote for the admission of the OIR - N°s 26-27 - Reservations did not affect the result of a vote, but another Plenary Assembly might revert to the question. N°s 28-30 - Vote in favour of the admission of Observers by a simple majority vote. N° 31 - The U.I.R. N°s 32-33 Errors in the telegram sent ! the U.I.R. N°s 34-51 - Statements by those Delegates who vote for admission, against it and those who would abstain. N° 46 - The Delegate of Finland asked U.I.R. whether it would be prepared to exclude Spain.

Nos. 52-64 - Discussion on the promise of the U.I.R. made at Atlantic City to reconsider the question of Spain. Nos. 65-68 Vote by show of hands: 12 votes for, 11 votes against asking the U.I.R. to reconsider the question. The result not being very clear as some Delegates voted by proxy, the Chairman thought it necessary to proceed to a roll call verification of the vote, in accordance with Rules of Procedure. Nos. 69-70 - Protest by the Soviet Delegate and answer to it. Nos. 71-72 - If the Finnish proposal was accepted, the vote on U.I.R.'s admission would have to be postponed. Nos. 73-81 - Roll call verification of the vote: 12 votes for, 13 votes against. Nos. 83-85 - Divergence of opinion on the vote. No. 86 - One Delegation suggested a secret vote to avoid any hint at an attempt to exert pressure. Nos. 89-90.- The French Delegate explained why he thought that the U.I.R.'s reply would be so long in coming. Nos. 91-95.- Roll call vote in favour of admission of the U.I.R. Nos. 96-110.- Various protests. No.111.- I.C.A.O. and U.N.E.S.C.O. admitted without discussion. Item 5 on the Agenda. Nos. 112-113.- Admission of countries No.114.- Latvian S.S.R. correction to RD 20.- Nos. 115-178.- Divergence of opinion: on the one hand the U.S.S.R.'s point of view: the five Soviet Socialist Republics concerned were sovereign and should be admitted with Voting Rights; on the other hand U.K.'s point of view: these countries did not hold independent status and could therefore be admitted only as observers.- No.179- The discussion was adjourned. Nos.180-182.- Press interviews, Chairman's wish to accelerate the work of the Conference.

2nd Session 4th Meeting, Wednesday 14 July 1948 RD 118: Approved and amended in RD 360 See RD 287, RD 361

Summary of RD 118.- Nos. 1-5.- Congratulations to the French Delegation on the National Holiday of the French Republic. Nos. 6-9.- Protest against the Press and explanation given by the Chairman. No.10.- Postponement of adoption of the Minutes. Nos. 11-54.- Discussion (continued) on the admission of the Latvian S.S.R. Nos.55-57.- Suggestion, rejected, to vote for the three Baltic Republics together. Nos. 58-73.- Proposal of a secret ballot and discussion. Nos 74-75.- Suggestion, rejected, to adopt Para. 8 of Rule 17 of the Rules of Procedure, which provided for secret voting. Nos. 76-78.- A vote would be taken, for each application for admission, to determine whether a secret vote would take place. Nos. 79-83.- A secret vote was asked on the admission of the Latvian S.S.R. Nos. 84-94.- Secret vote taken. Latvian S.S.R. not admitted. No. 95.- The Moldavian S.S.R. Nos. 96-108.- Divergence of opinion and discussion; Soviet reservation on the secret vote. Nos. 109-111.- Secret vote taken; application rejected. No.112.- Estonian S.S.R. Nos. 113-118.- Divergence of opinion. Proposal, rejected, of an open vote. Nos. 119-120.- Secret vote: application rejected. No.121.- Lithuanian S.S.R. Nos. 122-125.- Divergence of opinion. Nos. 126-127.- Secret vote: Lithuanian S.S.R. not admitted. No. 128.- Finno Carelian S.S.R. Nos. 129-130.- Divergence of opinion. Nos. 131-133. Secret vote: application rejected. Nos. 134-136.- The Chairman's suggestion, rejected, to invite the five Republics as Observers. Nos 138-150.- Protests against the votes taken. Nos. 137, 151-160.- The Assembly, consulted, voted by show of hands in favour of adjourning the discussion.

2nd Session 5th Meeting, Thursday 15 July 1948 RD 119: Approved and amended in RD 360 See RD 287, RD 331, RD 361.

Summary of RD 119.- Nos. 1-3. The State of Israel. Nos. 4-48.- Divergence of opinion. Nos. 49-56. A secret vote asked and accepted. Nos. 57-58. Secret vote: application for admission rejected. Nos. 59-64.- Statement by the Delegate of Egypt. No.65.- Publicity of the Minutes.- Nos. 66-77.- Request by Soviet Delegate to forward the Minutes to the State of Israel and the U.N.O. Discussion.

34 Plenary Assembly

The reply given by the Assembly to the applications for admission would be transmitted by the Chairman to the countries concerned, as well as the Minutes of the Meeting. No. 78 Republic of San Marino. Nos 79-90. Application for admission without the right to vote. No opposition. Nos 91-94. Approval of the Minutes RD26, RD30, RD31. Nos 95-96. Observation addressed to the Secretariat. Nos 97-99. Request, impossible to grant, for press releases about the Conference to be translated into the three working languages and distributed. No.100. Praise of Danish Press. Nos 101-102. The question of the character of the Conference to appear on the Agenda for the next Meeting.

3rd Session of Plenary Assembly (3 Meetings: RD167, RD182, RD183)

Agenda for the 3rd Session RD 122

1. Confirmation of the Minutes of the 2nd Session, 1st Meeting (RD104)
2. Report by Committee 4 on the work of the Committee of Eight Countries (RD132, RD137, RD143)
3. Report by Committee 3 on the nature of the Conference (RD58)
4. Rules of Procedure, Rule 17 (Voting procedure) (RD166)
5. Miscellaneous.

3rd Session, 1st Meeting Friday 23 July 1948 RD167: Approved and amended in RD360 See RD178, RD331, RD361.

Summary of RD167. No.2. The Agenda is adopted.- Nos 3-11. Approval of and amendments to RD104. Nos 12-99. Item 2 on the Agenda. Reasons for divergent views on Germany discussion. Divergence of opinion on the definition of the technical minimum. British view: Variant 279 (Brussels), i.e. 11 frequencies (4 exclusive, 5 shared and 2 international); Soviet view: Variant 281, i.e. 4 exclusive frequencies; France view: to give as terms of reference to Committee 5 to allocate to Germany the minimum technical means to ensure a single programme for each zone, the real technical minimum being fewer frequencies than in 279, fewer exclusive frequencies than in 281, no exclusive frequency for the French zone.- Nos 19-20. The United States Observer is reserved his comments. Nos 46-47, 79. French proposal: directives to be given to Committee 5.- No.100. Postponement of the discussion.

Allocation of frequencies to Germany. 4 proposals: 1. France, 2. USSR, 3. Republic of Poland, 4. Morocco and Tunisia RD150: Considered in RD182.

3rd Session, 2nd Meeting Tuesday 27 July 1948 RD182: Approved and amended RD360. See RD262, RD271, RD331, RD361, RD219. (RD219 is separate from RD182. See para.4 of RD360)

Summary of RD182.- Under Item 2 on the Agenda. The Meeting continued its discussion on allocation of frequencies to Germany.- Nos 5-13. The British Delegation supported the French proposal in RD150.- Nos 14-22. The Delegations of the USSR and of Morocco and Tunisia upheld their proposals RD150.

Nos.23-32. The French Delegate stated that there seemed to be unanimous agreement on the following points: that one programme per zone was necessary and sufficient, that the number of frequencies and the total power assigned to Germany should be below the corresponding values in 1939 and that Germany should be given the technical means appropriate to the above conditions. The French Delegation was prepared to submit to Committee 5 a Plan of these technical means. A European frequency should be allocated to the American zone. Nos. 33-40. (Text amended in RD262). The Delegation of United States observers commented on the directives to be given to Committee 5. Briefly, they were not aware that the Conference was entitled to determine forthwith and as an isolated question the final treatment to be applied to German broadcasting, as a consequence of the occupation.- Nos.46-53. The Soviet Delegation asked the Assembly to give its estimate of Variants 279 and 281 (Brussels) relating to the problem of Germany; this called forth a new French proposal, replacing the one in RD150, with the addition of that part of the USSR proposal which concerned occupation troops, and which the French Delegation supported.- No.54. The British Delegate disagreed with this new French proposal.- No.55. The Polish Delegate withdrew his proposal in RD150 and supported that of the USSR.- Nos.56-60. The Delegate of Morocco and Tunisia submitted a new proposal.- Nos.61-72. Wishing to provide a solution, the Chairman thought the Assembly should decide that neither the 279 nor the 281 Brussels plan was suitable, and that Committee 5 should be requested to allocate to Germany a technical minimum of frequencies, taking into account the remarks made in Documents RD137 and RD143.- No.73. The Delegation of United States Observers informed the Conference that it was not in a position to commit the U.S. Government to accepting the principle of one programme per zone, nor to agreeing that a shared frequency would be sufficient to satisfy the U.S. troops in their areas of occupation in Germany.- Nos.74-80. The Chairman stated that the Assembly must take a decision, as neither Doc. RD137, nor Doc. RD143 contained any concrete proposal.- No.92. The Delegate of Yugoslavia, commenting the first para. of the French proposal in RD150, considered that the expression "Minimum of technical means" was too vague.- Nos.94-97. The Soviet Delegate thought that decisions concerning Germany formed one whole, and could not be taken separately. He did not agree that the Assembly should decide that both the 279 and the 281 plans were unsuitable; neither could he admit the allocation of a technical minimum of frequencies to ensure one programme for each zone, as this would mean allocating too many frequencies, as was apparent from plan 279.- Nos.98-101. The Chairman thought an agreement had been reached on a single programme for each occupation zone. If Document 279 gave too many frequencies to Germany, it was for Committee 5 to say so. Nos.102-107. The Delegate of the USSR wanted clear and concrete directives for Committee 5. The Chairman's proposal, which was not a complete proposal submitted by any Delegation, was not acceptable.- No.108. The Chairman remarked that his proposal could be made complete.- Nos.109-118. Having summarized the debate, the French Delegate asked the Assembly to agree on the Polish proposal of RD150, of which he approved the first paragraph. He would accept the second paragraph too, provided there were added the part of the French text in RD150 concerning the American zone.- Nos.119-123. The Delegate of the USSR said that the Polish proposal provided for a technical minimum for the whole of Germany, which was methodically opposed to the proposals of the USSR and French Delegates, including the modifications suggested by the Delegate of Morocco and Tunisia. Nevertheless if the Polish & French Delegates agreed on this point, the USSR Delegate would reconsider his proposal. Nos.124-126. The French Delegate acknowledged that progress was being made, but he did not wish his words to be misinterpreted. It had always been a question of one programme per zone, and not one programme for the whole of Germany, which would be a chimera.

Nos. 127-132. The Polish Delegate explained that there was no error in the text of his proposal in RD150: it did suggest one programme for the whole of Germany, the essentials of which could be settled by the Allied Control Council in Germany. - Nos.133-134. The French Delegate considered this statement to bring an end to the debate. - Nos.137-150. The Chairman's opinion was that if the Meeting wished to reach a decision, it would have to vote. He summarized the two proposals left: the French proposal in RD150, and the second U.S.S.R. proposal, made during the Meeting and repeated at dictation speed. - Nos.151-154. The French Delegate agreed to replace the part of his proposal concerning the forces of occupation by the corresponding part of the Soviet proposal. - Nos.155-165. The British Delegate asked that the question of the frequencies envisaged for the American Zone, shared by bilateral agreement, should be clearly stated in the Plan. - Nos. 166-171. The Assembly approved a recommendation to Committee 5 concerning the allocation of frequencies to forces of occupation in Germany, with the United States Observer's reservation recorded in the Minutes. Discussion was resumed on the first part of the proposals of France and the U.S.S.R. - Nos. 172-179. The U.S.S.R. Delegate refused to accept the Chairman's proposal for a vote, but the Chairman saw no other solution. - No.180. The Delegate of Czechoslovakia thought the technical minimum insufficiently clearly expressed and defined. - Nos.181-183. The Delegate of Yugoslavia stated that the Meeting was facing two new proposals, the contents of which were not exactly known. He proposed to postpone the discussion. - Nos.184-185. 15 Delegates were in favour of a vote, 9 in favour of continuing the discussion. - Nos.190-192. The U.S.S.R. Delegate was strongly opposed to a vote. - Nos.193-196. The Delegate of France protested against the statements that the text of the French proposal under discussion was unfamiliar to the Delegates and asked the Soviet Delegation how a meeting could arrive at a decision when agreement had not been reached. - Nos.197-199. The Chairman gave his point of view on the legality of the vote and reminded the Assembly that it had decided to take a vote. - Nos.200-205. - The Delegates of France and U.S.S.R. expounded again their points of view. - Nos.206-217. - New discussions on the legality of voting which was not admitted by the U.S.S.R. Roumanian, Yugoslav and Albanian Delegates. The last suggested that the discussion be postponed until a decision had been taken on Rule 17 of the Rules of Procedure. - Nos.218-219. The Chairman recalled the decision taken concerning the provisional voting right conceded to the 33 countries of the European area. - No.220. Remarks of the U.S.S.R. Delegate on the irregular manner in which the debates were conducted. Nos.222-223. The Delegate of Bielorussia recalled that the object of the Conference was to make a single plan, the separate elements of which should meet with unanimous approval. The technical minimum for Germany was one of the foundations of the Plan. He supported the U.S.S.R. proposal which was clear, and proposed continuing the discussion. - No.224. The Chairman closed the discussion and asked the Assembly if they were prepared to vote at a 2/3 majority. - Nos.225-226. The Delegate of Yugoslavia and other Delegates objected to the procedure of voting since at the Meeting of June 29 (RD29) it had been decided that the 33 countries should be authorized to vote "unless there were objections to so doing". - Nos.227-235. The Chairman specified that the reservation applied to that same Meeting of June 29. There had been no objection and it was on the basis of that decision that voting had taken place since and that he would proceed to the vote on the first paragraph of the French proposal which he read out. - Nos.236-246. The U.S.S.R. Delegate asked for a decision on the proposal in its entirety, and not on a part of it. The U.S.S.R. Delegation would not take part in the vote. The Chairman stated that the 2nd paragraph of the French proposal had already been replaced by the 3rd and 4th sentences of the U.S.S.R. proposal. 18 Delegations voted in favour of the French proposal, 10 did not participate in the vote, 3 abstained 2 were

absent.-Nos.247-270. Comments on the categories of votes and specially on the category of those who do not participate in the vote. Delegates commented on their votes and praised or criticised the conduct of the debates. The U.S.S.R. Delegate considered the decision taken illegal and deserving of blame and the way in which the Meeting had been conducted as flagrantly opposed to the most elementary democratic rights. The Delegate of Yugoslavia pointed out that the Meeting had proceeded to a vote without prior discussion as to whether the vote should be taken at a 2/3 or at a simple majority. The Chairman, in answer to praise and criticism, said he had done his best in conducting these lengthy debates, and was not at all convinced that he had acted against democratic principles.

3rd Session. 3rd Meeting Friday 30 July 1948 RD183: Approved and amended in RD360.
: See RD361.

Summary of RD183.- Item 2 on the Agenda (continued). Nos.2-7. RD143 and RD137 (Report No.1 of Committee 4) adopted as directives for Committee 5. The decision taken in RD182 was to serve as a directive on the question of Germany.- Item 3. Nos.8-14. Statement by the Chairman of Committee 3 on the nature of the Conference (of plenipotentiaries) and on its title (European Broadcasting Conference - CER). The Plenary Assembly adopted the recommendations of Committee 3. The Chairman requested Committee 2 to draw up a list of countries which were entitled to the vote. - Item 4. Nos.15-19. The Chairman summarized the question: the U.S.S.R. Delegation was to submit a list of questions for which a 2/3 majority vote was desirable; it had already been decided that a simple majority vote should be taken to settle whether a vote on a given question should be taken at a simple or at a 2/3 majority; a provisional decision granted the right to vote to the 33 countries of the European broadcasting area; the Danish Administration submitted a revised draft for Rule 17. (RD169).-Nos.20-21. The U.S.S.R. Delegation submitted a Preamble, recalling the decision taken on the unanimity or quasi-unanimity to be reached on the proposals for the Plan and the Convention.- Nos.22-28. The Delegate of France agreed but - supported by the Delegate of Vatican City - he thought that if all preliminary questions had to be agreed unanimously, it would be impossible to draw up a Plan.- Nos. 29-37. The U.S.S.R. Delegation submitted the list of questions which should be adopted by a 2/3 majority and agreed to postpone the discussion. At the next Meeting discussion would be on RD169, on the list of questions submitted by the Soviet Delegation, on the Soviet draft preamble to Rule 17 and on RD27 and RD19.

4th Session of Plenary Assembly (1 Meeting : RD210)

Agenda for the 4th Session

RD177

1. Approval of Minutes (RD112, RD117, RD118, RD119)
2. Rule 17 of the Rules of Procedure (Voting procedure):
 - RD19. Report in 4 parts by the "Voting procedure" Working Group.
 - RD27. France. Amendment to part 3 of RD19.
 - RD169. Denmark. Proposed text for Rule 17.
 - RD173. U.S.S.R. List of questions to be solved by a 2/3 majority.
 - RD176. U.S.S.R. Amendment to RD169. Proposed Preamble.
3. Miscellaneous.

4th Session Monday 2 August 1948RD 210: Approved and amended in RD 360
See RD 361.

Summary of RD 210.-- Nos. 2-20. Item 1 on the Agenda. Only RD 112 was considered. As Delegates made remarks that the statements of certain Delegates were sometimes excessively curtailed, the Chairman recalled Rule 18 of the Rules of Procedure, according to which each Delegate had the right to require the insertion in the Minutes, in full, of any statement made at the Meeting, provided he supplied to the Secretariat the text of the statement within two hours after the end of the Meeting.-- Nos. 21-119. Item 2. Long discussion on the proposals submitted, which ended in a proposal to set up a Working Group for amending Doc. RD 173. The setting up of the Group was rejected by 18 votes against 10.-- Nos. 120-131. Consequently, the procedure laid down in paragraph 8 of Document RD 169 should be applied, unless the Assembly modified its decision taken on July 9th (RD 104) that certain questions should be settled by a 2/3 majority vote. Several Delegates proposed to add RD 27 to para. 8 of RD 169. A show of hands gave 17 votes in favour of this solution.-- Nos. 141-148. The adoption of RD 173 - the last amendment submitted - was put to a vote: 12 votes for adoption, 19 against, 1 abstention, 1 absent. - Nos. 149-167. RD 27 was put to a vote: 19 votes for adoption, 12 against, 1 abstention, 1 absent. - Nos. 168-175. A vote was taken on para. 8 of RD 169: 12 for adoption, 18 against, 2 abstentions, 1 absent.-- Nos. 177-181. This vote resulted in the rejection of RD 27.-- Nos. 182-205. Consideration of RD 169 (except para. 8 which had been rejected) with the preamble RD 176 amended at the Meeting.-- Nos. 206-217. RD 169 was adopted with some amendments and became the final text of Rule 17 of the Rules of Procedure (RD 198).

5th Session of Plenary Assembly (1 Meeting RD 360)

Agenda for the 5th Session
RD 317 which replaces RD 213. See RD 216.

1. Approval of Minutes and Reports:
 - a) Plenary Assembly Minutes:
 - 2nd Session (3 last Meetings): RD 117, RD 118, RD 119, with amendments in RD 287.
 - 3rd Session (3 Meetings): RD 167+RD 178, RD 182 and RD 219+RD 262 and RD 271, RD 183, and RD 331 (which amends RD 117, RD 119, RD 167, RD 182).
 - 4th Session (1 Meeting) : RD 210.
 - b) Reports of Joint RD/MAR Committee entrusted with the study of the apportionment of expenses: RD 205/MAR 103, RD 244/MAR 117, RD 249/MAR 118. The last document is the Report to Committee 1. Its approval has been referred to the Plenary Assembly.
2. Exemption of certain organisations from expenses: a) IFRB, a body of the ITU, b) UNESCO and ICAO, specialized agencies, c) OIR (RD 235), d) UIR (RD 256).
3. Adoption of texts at 1st reading: series No. 1 (blue) RD 295 (annex to RD 294).
4. Reports by Committees.
5. Miscellaneous.

5th Session Saturday 4 September 1948 RD 360(+RD 363/MAR 203): Approved in RD 403
: Amended in RD 409

Summary of RD 360: Nos. 1-4. Item 1 (a) on the Agenda. Amendments adopted are given in RD 361. RD 219 should be separated from the Minutes, as it contained a statement by the Bulgarian Delegation which was not made during the Meeting.- Nos. 5-59. Item 1 (b). Two corrections to RD 244/MAR 117, consideration of the 6 paras. of RD 249/MAR 118 and adoption of para. 1.- Paragraph 2. The United States Observer explained on what grounds the U.S. Government had refused to contribute to the expenses incurred by the Committee of Eight.- Paragraph 3. The United States Observer stated that his Government was studying the question of contribution to the expenses of the two conferences.- Paragraph 4. The Conference was empowered to decide on exemption from expenses of organisations requesting it. Items 1 (b) and 2. Paragraph 5. The IFRB and the UNESCO were exempted from contribution to the expenses. Concerning the ICAO, the Soviet Delegate had received information that this organization still included among its members representatives of Franco Spain. The ICAO Observer thought that this was based on a misunderstanding. The ICAO was exempted from contribution to the expenses, the Soviet Delegate reserving the right to review his position if his original information was confirmed.- The Delegate of Belgium asked that the OIR be exempted from participation in the expenses.- The USSR Delegate asked that the cases of the OIR and the UIR be dealt with separately, while the Chairman thought they should be considered together. Long discussion. According to the Agenda, the two cases had to be dealt with separately. Could the Agenda be modified? The Delegate of Ireland asked that the UIR be dealt with first. Was the UIR owner of the monitoring centre in Brussels? Divergent opinion. The Delegate of Ireland reiterated his proposal. The Chairman considered the Irish proposal as an amendment to the Russian proposal and put it to the vote: 17 votes against, 10 for, 5 abstentions. The case of the OIR would be examined first, the UIR later.- Nos. 60-69. Vote on the exemption of the OIR: 22 votes for, none against, 10 abstentions.- Nos. 70-76. Vote on the exemption of the UIR: 13 votes for, 11 against, 8 abstentions.- Nos. 77-93. Paragraph 5 (d) of RD 249/MAR 118 (distribution of expenses arising from the use of the three working languages). The Delegate of the United Kingdom stated that the Conference should follow the procedure laid down in Article 15, para. 5 of the Atlantic City Convention, according to which "each Member shall share the expenses attributable to the use of the authorized languages.....". Any decision contradictory to Article 15 was a violation of the Atlantic City stipulations. The British Delegation had not objected to the uniform distribution of these expenses, but had requested the Joint Committee to insert in the Report a statement to the effect that it should not constitute a precedent. The Secretary-in-Chief stated that the Administrative Council of the ITU was about to draw up the rules for the distribution of expenses attributable to the use of languages. The "pooling" of these expenses at Copenhagen was the solution recommended. Paragraph 5 (d) was adopted, and so was Para. 6.*) - Item 3. Nos. 94-120. 1st reading, Series No. 1 (RD 295). Implementation of the Convention and of the Plan. It was decided that the reservation "..... except under the conditions provided for in Article " would only apply to the last sentence of Para. 2, as the frequencies of the channels mentioned in the Plan could, on no pretext, be modified. An amendment proposed by the Delegate of Egypt was not accepted. It concerned the case of countries like Egypt and the USSR, whose territories were not entirely within the European Broadcasting Area.

*) The text relating to the distribution of expenses, amended and approved in RD 360 is given in RD 363/MAR 203.

It might arise that such countries would wish to serve a part of the territory included in the said area by means of a station outside the Area. The Delegate of Egypt declared himself satisfied by the assurance that the Copenhagen decisions would, in no case, apply to territories outside the European Broadcasting Area.- Modification of the Plan. An amendment proposed by the IFRB Observer was considered as superfluous. He had proposed to develop para. 2, b) to make it clear that a proposed modification might come into force only if the clauses of Article 11, Section II of the Radio Regulations were duly observed.- The ICAO Observer proposed an amendment which was not accepted. He had proposed to add the following foot-note: "It is understood that sub-paragraph b) of para. 1 may not be interpreted as constituting a previous arrangement, in the sense of N° 247 of the Radio Regulations." The Delegate of France thought it superfluous to stipulate an obvious thing. There was no objection to that statement. The ICAO Observer considered it satisfactory that the statement be recorded in the Minutes.- Notification of frequencies. The IFRB Observer raised the question of the suitability of the text of para. 1 for stations operating in derogation or in a shared band. The Delegate of Czechoslovakia considered that the question should be postponed until a second reading, as the number of stations in question was not yet known. If the number was small, it would not be necessary to make a special provision. Committee 3 should formulate a resolution thereon for submission to the Plenary Assembly.- General Technical Provisions. On the proposal of the Delegate of Norway, supported by the Delegate of Ireland, it was decided to stipulate that the spurious frequencies cited in para. 1, 2) should be understood to mean harmonics.- A certain number of other amendments were made in the texts of Series N°1 RD during this Meeting.

6th Session of Plenary Assembly (1 Meeting: RD 362 + RD 217)

Agenda for the 6th Session

approved at the end of the 5th Session RD 360

Adoption of texts at first reading: Series N° 2 (blue) RD 321.

6th Session Tuesday 7 September 1948

RD 362 : See N°2 of RD 403

with RD 217 which is an annex to RD 362 :

Summary of RD 362.- Review of the general situation of the Conference. Study of Series N° 2 RD.- Conference expenses. Report of the Working Group 3 C (RD 217) was annexed to RD 362. Ratification of the Convention. The Chairman of Committee 3 explained the two variants and a discussion ensues. Variant I was adopted.- Accession to the Convention. The only article which had given rise to a vote in Committee 3. The USSR Delegate pointed out that it was in the interest of all countries and of the Conference that the circle of contracting countries be widened. The Delegate of Czechoslovakia favoured the adoption of texts similar to those of Lucerne and Montreux and considered that the case of Spain should be dealt with in an Additional Protocol. The Chairman and the Delegate of the United Kingdom thought that all the arguments for or against inserting the words "and Members of the International Communication Union" had been exhausted in Committee 3. The Albanian Delegate proposed to add a clause to the effect that countries not Members of the I.T.U. should conduct their relations with the I.T.U. through the intermediary of the Government of Denmark. The Delegate of Morocco and Tunisia recalled a proposal made in the Working Group by the Delegate of Portugal. He had proposed that an Article be added to the effect that countries not Members of the I.T.U. could accede to the Convention if such accession was accepted by 2/3 of the Members of the European Broadcasting Area.

Following a question from the Delegate of Roumania whether the United Kingdom Delegate was really the only one to be in favour of retaining the words "and Members of the I.T.U.", the Chairman consulted the Assembly which, by a clear majority decided in favour of retaining the text. The Delegate of the USSR was unable to accept the decision, as it was in reality a vote. A compromise might perhaps be found. The Delegate of France was not averse to that. The Delegate of the United Kingdom said that the instructions he had received from his Government did not permit any alteration in his position. It was decided that the question would be discussed privately between Delegates.- N° 45.

Publication of a booklet containing the Convention and the Plan, similar to what had been done after Lucerne and Montreux. A volume containing all the documents of the Conference would be too expensive. The publication might be limited to the following: a list of Documents, an index showing in which Documents any particular subject was dealt with, a list of participants and a list of Committees, Sub-Committees and Working Groups with their Chairman, Vice-Chairmen and Members. The stencils would be kept at Copenhagen for six months, so that Delegates might obtain any documents they required.

7th Session of the Plenary Assembly (1 Meeting: RD 370)

Proposal from the Chair. Final publication of RD and MAR Conferences
RD 354/MAR 178.

Agenda for the 7th Session
RD 355

1. First reading of the texts of Series N° 3 (blue) RD 357
2. Publication of the Final Documents RD 354/MAR 178
3. Miscellaneous

7th Session Thursday 9 September 1948 RD 370: Approved in RD 403
: Amended in RD 393

Summary of RD 370.- Good wishes to the Delegation of Bulgaria on her National Holiday.- Item 1 on the Agenda. Consideration of Series N° 3 RD.- International Expert Organization. The Delegate of the United Kingdom proposed the deletion of paragraph 3 relating to the repayment of the expert's expenses. The Delegate of France disagreed. The Delegate of the United Kingdom would be satisfied with the deletion of the first sentence, or with the addition of the words "in principle" at the beginning of the Article. The two first proposals were rejected by two votes by show of hands. A vote by roll call for the third proposal gave 16 for and 15 against, with one abstention.- Entry into force of the Convention. The Delegate of the United Kingdom requested that the following be added to the first paragraph: ".....between the Governments of countries whose instruments of ratification or accession have been deposited before that date." The Delegate of France considered this addition superfluous, as the practical application of the Plan was a result of ratifications, an instance of law being subordinated to circumstances. By a show of hands the proposal was rejected (13 for, 16 against).- Interference between stations. The Delegate of Sweden requested that the following statement be inserted in the Minutes: "If Sweden receives frequencies in derogation, it will under no circumstances accept the Frequency Allocation Plan, unless the present Conference provides satisfactory guarantees that these stations in derogation will be able to continue to operate in the conditions determined by the Plan and accepted by Sweden, until the convening of the next European Broadcasting Conference."- The Chairman regretted not to be in a position formally to commit the Conference

on this point.- A certain number of further amendments were made during this Session in the texts of Series N° 3 RD.- Item 2. The Chairman commented on Doc. RD 354/MAR 178.- The Delegate of Egypt asked whether the Minutes of Plenary sessions of the Assembly and the final decisions of the Committees could not be published. The Delegate of the USSR would prefer all documents of the Conference to be published in one volume. Three Delegations only pronounced themselves in favour of this proposal.

8th Session of the Plenary Assembly (3 Meetings: RD 402)

8th Session Saturday 11, Sunday 12 and Monday 13 September 1948 RD 402: See N°2 (consecutive interpretation) of RD 403.

Summary of RD 402.- Saturday 11 September 1948.- Nos. 1-5. Statement of the Chairman on the final Plan. Delegates could make statements on Variant N° 3, and submit them in writing to the Secretariat by 10 a.m. on Sunday 12 September.- The Delegate of France recalled that Committee 3 had decided to classify the final reservations into 2 categories: 1) those which might, as far as their author was concerned, restrict the scope of the Convention, 2) those which amounted merely to a request for a specific interpretation.- A Committee was set up for the examination of reservations.- Nos. 6-8. The Delegate of Sweden would present his observations as soon as the question of derogations was settled.- The Maritime Conference made recommendations on broadcasting stations in derogation. The CER had to take the decisions having regard to the interests of the two services in question. The Broadcasting Conference was the only one to decide the technical and operating characteristics of stations in derogation.- N° 9. Decision about the approval of Committee Reports not yet approved.- Nos. 10-74. Second reading of the Convention (pink proofs RD 372).- Accession to the Convention. Discussion on the possibility of accession of countries not Members of the Union, on a proposal of the USSR Delegate.- The Delegate of France submitted a compromise text for Article 4 bis: "1. A country, not a Member of the I.T.U., which wishes to inform the Secretary General of the ITU of its decision to apply the Convention and the Plan without reservation, shall do so through the intermediary of a member of the ITU. 2. Such information shall be circulated to all the contracting Governments by the Secretary General of the ITU. 3. The procedure outlined in the first paragraph shall be followed in any subsequent relations between the Secretary General of the ITU and the country in question, non-Member of the ITU." - This text was unacceptable to the Delegate of the United Kingdom who mentioned the case of colonies.- The Delegates of France and of the USSR gave their points of view.- During the tea interval, Mr. Pennetta was to contact Mr. Freese-Pennefather, Mr. Makarov and Mr. Meyer with a view to reaching agreement.- Revision of the Convention and of the Plan. The Delegate of Denmark proposed to specify that the ten governments requesting a revision would have to be contracting governments. The Delegate of the USSR opposed this proposal. The Chairman consulted the Assembly: 13 votes for the addition of the word "contracting", 14 against. The text to remain unchanged. The Delegate of the United Kingdom thought however that the text proposed by Committee 3 contained the word "contracting".- Long discussion. Committee 3 had requested Committee 6 to examine this question, the latter however had not considered itself competent to deal with a matter of such fundamental importance.- The Delegate of the United Kingdom insisted on the question being reconsidered and requested a roll-call vote.- The Delegate of Switzerland proposed that the right to request revision be not limited to contracting governments only, but should be extended to all those who had participated in the Copenhagen Conference.- The Delegate of the United Kingdom proposed the text ".....ten Governments invited to the Copenhagen Conference".- The Delegate of France, referring to the Swiss proposal thought it more correct to say ".....ten Governments which have participated".

The USSR Delegate considered that the Assembly was not bound by Committee Reports having no legal force; it should take into account the Danish proposal only. The Danish proposal having been rejected, the Assembly should now pass to the next Article.- The Delegate of Morocco and Tunisia requested however that the Minutes bring out clearly that a non-contracting Government should be able to instigate revision through the intermediary of ten Governments which had participated in the Conference.- The discussion started again, arduous, confused, full of misunderstandings. It resulted in 1) the French proposal, supported by the USSR Delegate, i.e. the insertion in the Minutes of the draft text proposed by the Delegate of Morocco and Tunisia, substituting "ten of the 33 invited governments" for "ten governments which have participated"; 2) British proposal to correct the text properly instead of inserting explanations in the Minutes. This proposal was not accepted by the USSR Delegate, who proposed a vote 1) on the text of RD 372, 2) on the French proposal and 3) on the British proposal.- The Chairman pointed out that the first vote was not possible since, if its result were negative, there would be no longer an Article 7. He re-read the French proposal, which was not accepted now by the USSR Delegate, who found this text too similar to the British proposal. The Soviet Delegate explained that he was opposed to the word "contracting" when it referred to Governments wishing to instigate a revision, but that the ten Governments presenting the request for revision should be contracting governments. He requested the retention of the submitted text.- A show of hands gave 15 votes for the Swiss proposal and 13 against. Accordingly, a non-signatory country might request revision on the same footing as a signatory country.- A roll-call vote was then taken on the addition of the word "invited" after the word "Governments": 14 for, 11 against, 4 abstentions, 3 absent.-

Sunday 12 September 1948.- Notification of frequencies.- The Delegate of Egypt requested that there be noted in the Minutes that, in his opinion, the date of notification should be that of the entry into force of the Convention.- General technical provisions.- It was decided to mention harmonics among spurious frequencies.- Entry into force of the Convention.- The Delegate of the USSR asked that it be noted in the Minutes that he and other delegates were in favour of an earlier implementation (in any case before 1 January 1950).- The IFRB Observer proposed to settle also the hour of entry into force, and the OIR Observer suggested 0200 hrs., Greenwich Mean Time, which was accepted.- The Delegate of the United Kingdom requested that a note be made in the Minutes to the effect that the Convention was binding on contracting governments which had deposited their instruments of ratification or of accession.- Except for the Article "Accession to the Convention", which remained in abeyance, the Convention was adopted at 2nd reading with the amendments mentioned in this summary and other amendments approved without discussion.- Nos. 75-97.

Second reading of the Preamble to the Plan, of the Resolution and of the Opinion (pink proofs RD 372).- Power. Discussion on stations utilising international common frequencies, (art. 2, para. 2, c). Should there be risk of interference, the stations to which the frequency was assigned would have to be specifically mentioned in the Plan; if there was no chance of harmful interference, the frequency could be allocated to a country; this last clause was inserted.- Use of frequencies. A proposal to replace "transmissions of sounds" by "broadcasting transmissions" was not adopted on account of the definition of a Broadcasting Service in the Atlantic City Regulations.- Resolution. Discussion on the subject of retaining or deleting the 3rd para. relating to the date of notification. A vote by show of hands gave 11 votes for the retention of para. 3 and 11 votes against.- A roll call vote gave 15 votes for, 11 against, with 6 abstentions. - All the texts of Doc. RD 372 were adopted, with the exception of Article 4 of the Convention - in abeyance.

Monday 13 September 1948. Nos 93-106. Accession to the Convention (art. 4).- The Group set up on Saturday had not succeeded in finding a solution satisfactory to all. A decision had to be taken on the proposal of the USSR Delegate to delete the words ".... and Members of the ITU". By a roll call vote, the proposal was rejected by 20 votes to 11, with 1 abstention.- No 107. The Chairman of the Reservations Committee made a brief statement. The Reservations and Statements should be classified in two groups: a) those relating directly to the Convention and the Plan, to be contained in a Final Protocol, which would be signed; b) those to be annexed after the signatures. The Conference would not have to pronounce any decision on them.

9th Session of the Plenary Assembly (1 Meeting: RD403)

IFRB. Letter on the subject of Notification of Frequency Assignments RD387/MAR208
 Belgium. Statement RD394
 Turkey. Statement RD395
 USSR. Reservation RD396
 France. Statement concerning the French occupation Zone in Germany RD397
 The Chair. Programme suggested for 13 and 14 Sept. 1948 RD382/MAR206

Agenda for the 9th Session RD393

1. Approval of Minutes RD360, RD370
2. Recommendation, Resolution RD390
3. Second reading of the Plan RD392
4. Report by the Reservations Committee
5. Press release
6. Miscellaneous

9th Session Tuesday 14 Wednesday 15 September 1948 RD403* : Amended in RD412, RD413
 : See No 2 of RD403

Summary of RD403.- No 2, Item 1 on the Agenda. Approval of RD360 F and RD370 F. Observations on the English and Russian texts were to be submitted to the Secretariat. The Chairman himself would approve the Minutes of all the other Meetings. - Item 2. Several corrections.- Item 3. The first reading of the Plan having been carried out in Committee 5, this was the second reading of the text.- Band 67. The Bulgarian Delegate announced that his Administration agreed to lower the power of Varna to 5 kW. The Delegate of Belgium thanked the Bulgarian Delegate and his Government, for their decision which would enable the Belgian Delegation to sign the Plan, as the Belgian station of Marche-en-Ardenne would thus be able to continue its transmissions in a satisfactory manner.- Band 77 b). The Delegate of the United Kingdom requested the insertion of a note to indicate the maximum power of the synchronised network for the special case of the British troops in Germany.

* Note of the Secretariat. No 5 of RD403 should read : Point 3.- Reading of the Plan (RD392)

Summary of RD 403.~(continued)

The Delegate of France agreed that this be made applicable to the French network (Band 98). The Delegate of the U.S.S.R. requested that Russian troops might have the benefit of this measure (Band 29). See under N° 50 the solution adopted.~ Discussion on the note relating to the small transmitters of the valleys of Switzerland and Austria. The Delegates of the U.S.S.R. and of Switzerland to collaborate in drawing up a compromise text. See under N° 52 the solution adopted.~ The proximity of Band 29 (782 kc/s - Soviet troops in Germany) and of that of Rennes (791 kc/s) worried the Delegate of France.~N°s 24-29. The Chairman of the Planning Group notified several modifications to the Plans in the long waves, Oslo was replaced by Lahti on 254 kc/s, which was to the benefit of aeronautical services working on frequencies neighbouring 254 kc/s. 227 kc/s was satisfactory for Warsaw I. These modifications were approved.~ N°s 30-34. The ICAO Observer expressed his thanks for the effort made although, in practice, it gave a gain of one kc/s only for the aeronautical services. He requested the insertion of the following statement :

31. "The ICAO, which represents the aeronautical interests of 22 countries in the European Area, considers that the presence of three broadcasting stations and the side-band of a fourth station in the band of 255 to 285 kc/s will deprive the Aeronautical Radionavigation Services of the use of 80% of this band.
 32. "Furthermore, the ICAO notes - a fact which came to light in Committee 5 only at the first reading of the Plan - the additional presence of 3 coast stations of 1 kW of the British Maritime Service (RD 365/MAR 187) which would, in the end, reduce the number of kilocycles available to these services, at night, to 6. The ICAO takes note of the fact that the Copenhagen Conference has not deemed possible to take into account, in the preparation of the Plan, the statements made by the representatives of the Aeronautical Administrations and by their Observer. Moreover, the ICAO deems the Plan to be in formal contradiction with numbers 89 and 121 of the Atlantic City Radio Regulations.
 33. "The ICAO considers that this Plan does not leave the countries of western Europe the possibility of working the radio installations in such a manner as to fulfil the requirements of Aeronautical Navigation in the low frequency range, as recognized by the Conference of Atlantic City.
 34. "Consequently, the ICAO feels that this Plan will constitute a very grave danger to the safety of life in the air in Europe, and that it is such as to compromise to a considerable extent the development of civil aviation in this region which will find itself at a great disadvantage by comparison with regions 2 and 3, which have at their disposal 85 exclusive kilocycles in the same part of the frequency spectrum".
- N°s 35-37. The Delegate of Italy requested that a note be added stating that 5 coast stations of 1 kW of the Italian Maritime Services shall use the following frequencies : 3 of them - 153,8 kc/s, and 2 - 157,9 kc/s (RD 32/MAR 112). This was approved.~N°s 38-43. The Delegate of France thanked the Delegate of Finland who had made possible an improvement of the situation of aeronautical services. He withdrew the 3rd paragraph of the French statement relating to the shared band 255-285 kc/s.~N° 44. Correction of the note concerning the British coast stations, RD 365/MAR 187. N°s 45-60. Reading of the Long Wave Plan. Comment on the alphabetical order of countries.~N°s 49-50. Changes to be made (which were accepted) with regard to channels 29, 77 and 98 for occupation troops in Germany.~ N° 52. Compromise solution on the note concerning the small transmitters of the valleys in Switzerland and Austria : in the French text only, the words "maximum 150 kW" to be deleted and the word "partagées" added after "fréquences". See below, under N° 63, another modification. See also N° 111.~ N°s 54-60. The U.S.S.R. Delegate made a proposal with a view to making the Plan acceptable for Iceland : to replace

Summary of RD 403. (continued)

Lulea (Sweden) in derogation in the band, this station sharing the band of 182 kc/s with Reykjavik (Iceland). The Delegate of Sweden recalled his dissatisfaction at the frequency of 420 kc/s in derogation having been assigned to Oestersund; he therefore could accept Lulea in derogation.-N°s 61-63. Soviet proposal to delete the words "et de l'Autriche" (French text) in the note on the subject of the small transmitters of the valleys in Switzerland. The Delegate of Austria did not insist on retaining "et de l'Autriche".- N° 66. General remarks by the Soviet Delegate : "The power of various stations, in the opinion of a large number of Delegates, was generally higher than that required for a normal service. This increase in power might result in interference to all the European stations. To avoid this the Soviet Delegation makes a general appeal to the effect that powers exceeding those actually required for covering the respective territories should not be used".

Item 4. Report by the Committee on Reservations.- The Committee had classified the statements submitted by 27 countries in reservations to be included in a signed Final Protocol, and statements to be appended after the signatures. There would be three groups of statements : 1. statements by signatory countries, 2. statements by non-signatory countries, 3. statements by occupying countries in Germany. The notes of the following 24 countries had been considered as statements : Austria, Belgium, Bielorussian S.S.R., Rep. of Bulgaria, Denmark, Egypt, Finland, France, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Iceland, Italy, Norway, Netherlands, Rep. of Poland, French Protectorates of Morocco and Tunisia, PFR of Yugoslavia, Rumanian FR, Ukrainian S.S.R., United Kingdom, Sweden, Syria, Turkey. A note by Switzerland was considered as a reservation. The Delegate of Czechoslovakia asked that this note should be considered as a reservation. The note submitted by Portugal, being a very important reservation, was to be discussed in Plenary Assembly. N°s 83-88. The note submitted at the Meeting by the Bielorussian S.S.R. concerning long waves would be inserted as a statement in the pink booklet.- N°s 89-96. Four notes, received since the last Meeting of the Committee, were classified as statements : Belgium RD 394 (to be inserted in the pink booklet) see RD 413. Turkey RD 395 (to be inserted in the Minutes), U.S.S.R. RD 396 (see below N°s 101-109), and France RD 397 (to be inserted in the pink booklet).- N°s 97-100. The Delegate of Turkey read a statement which seemed unacceptable to the Chairman, since one could not sign the Convention and declare at the same time that Article I would not be applied.- N°s 101-109. The Delegate of the United Kingdom, on the subject of the statement of the U.S.S.R. Delegate (RD 396), reserved the right to make a counter-statement to be published in the pink booklet (see below N°s 151-160).- N°s 110-111. On account of the agreement reached on the small transmitters in the valleys in the Alps, the Delegate of Switzerland withdrew his reservation. N°s 113-116. The note of the Czechoslovak Delegation, which made no reference to any chapter or Article of the Convention or the Plan, was classified as a statement, after the insertion of the words "within the framework of international agreements". N°s 117-120. A note by the Delegate of the Rep. of Poland was also classified among the statements, after the addition of the words "in conformity with the stipulations of international agreements". N°s 121-132. The reservation of Portugal was accepted by the Assembly, after a modification to Para 4 introduced by the Delegate of that country.- N°s 135-150. The Delegate of Luxemburg, replying to the U.S.S.R. Delegate, explained why he was not in a position to sign the Plan and the Convention. He reserved the right to accede thereto at a later date. The U.S.S.R. Delegate then submitted three statements relating respectively to Germany, Spain and Luxemburg. The Delegate of Italy explained the statements from the legal and the practical points of view.- N°s 151-160. The Delegate of the United Kingdom read his counter-statement to the U.S.S.R. statement (RD 396). The Delegate of the U.S.S.R. said

Summary of RD 403. (continued)

he was prepared to withdraw his statement relating to Germany, should the Delegate of the United Kingdom withdraw his, as both were in all respects identical. The Delegate of France requested that all the statements made be noted, that the Assembly proceed with the Agenda, and that the Meeting be closed.- The Assembly agreed. - Item 5. Nos 161-163. The draft press release was slightly modified. At 1.30 a.m. the Chairman invited the Delegates to the closing meeting.

Press release

RD 408

VII

Formal closure
of the European Broadcasting Conference

Closing Meeting Wednesday 15 September 1948

RD 411

Summary of RD 411.- Ceremony of signing the Acts of the Conference : Convention, Plan, Final Protocol. Closing addresses by Mr. N.E. Holmblad, Chairman of the Conference, by Mr. K.J. Jensen, Director-General of the Post and Telegraphs of Denmark, and by Mr. René Corteil, Head of the Belgian Delegation. Closure,

Telegram from UNESCO and reply.

RD 410

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

Committee 1 (Executive)

Set up on 28 June 1948 1st Session, 1st Meeting of Plenary Assembly - RD26

Terms of reference : To settle all questions relating to the time-table of Conferences* and to coordinate the work of the Committees.

Membership : The Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of the Conference and of the Committees.

Chairman : N.E. Holmblad (Chairman of the Conference) ;

Vice-Chairman : G. Pedersen, (Vice-Chairman of the Conference)

Rapporteur : H. Voutaz (ITU).

*) The Committees N° 1 of the two Conferences always held joint meetings.

Meetings	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th
Docs.RD/MAR	39/24	95/41	134/47	154/78	186/96	218/109	250/120	273/129
	9th	10th						
	307/149	348/173						

Agenda for the first Meeting RD5/MAR7

Programme of the Meetings to be held in the following week.

1st Meeting Saturday 3 July 1948

RD 39/MAR24 : Amended in RD95/MAR41
: Approved in RD186/MAR96

Summary of RD39/MAR24.- All the Members of Committee 1 were present or represented. Discussion on the time-table of the Meetings.- Committee 5 would not be able to go forward in its work until it had received additional information on the Variants and particulars from the technical Committee relating especially to the 9 kc/s or 10 kc/s separation, to interference and to the quality of broadcasting in the various areas. Discussion on the duties of Committees 4 and 5. Agreement on the following points : to send an invitation to all Delegations to submit their comments on the 2 variants of the Brussels Plan before July 12 ; to distribute copies of the observations received to all Committees. The comments being mainly of interest to Committee 4, the latter would analyse the work of the Committee of Eight Countries and report on it to the Plenary Assembly.

2nd Meeting Friday 9 July 1948

RD95/MAR41 : Amended in RD139/MAR69
: Approved in RD186/MAR96

Summary of RD 95/MAR41.- Drawing up of the time-table. Corrigendum to RD39/MAR24. Names of the Rapporteurs of Committees RD 4 & 5 and MAR 3.

Supplementary Agenda for the 2nd Session of Plenary Assembly. On the subject of Germany, the USSR Delegate believed that Germany's case had already been studied at Atlantic City, where certain directives had been given concerning allocations for minimum technical needs, and that, consequently, there was no need to refer the question to the Plenary Assembly before Committees 4 and 5 had made concrete proposals which would be discussed on a general level. The Chairman of Committee 3 urged that the question be submitted to the Plenary Assembly to decide who would represent Germany. The decision to be taken not being urgent, the discussion was adjourned.

3rd Meeting - Friday 16 July 1948 RD 134/MAR 47: Approved in RD 186/MAR 96

Summary of RD 134/MAR 47.- Drawing up of the time-table. Can a Working Group produce Reports prior to the Final Report ? The Chairman said that according to the Rules of Procedure the answer seemed to be No. The Chairman of a Working Group might well think it necessary to produce an preliminary Report, but from the point of view of the Secretariat, it was preferable to avoid extra work.

4th Meeting - Friday 23 July 1948 RD 154/MAR 78: Approved in RD 218/MAR 109

Summary of RD 154/MAR 78.- Drawing up of the time-table.- Memorandum by the Head of the Linguistic Service concerning the organisation of the Interpreting Service.- The Chairman of RD Committee 3 had been asked to report the unanimous wish of the MAR Conference to accelerate its work. The MAR Conference asked whether, to that end, it might be possible for the RD Conference to grant priority to certain questions, such as the derogation in maritime bands 415-485 and 515-525 kc/s. Proposal to set up a mixed Working Group RD/MAR to draw up a combined Plan of derogations. Suggestion that the Maritime Conference should establish standards to be taken into consideration by the Broadcasting Conference in the distribution of frequencies in derogation.- Establishment of the mixed Working Group RD/MAR, under the Chairmanship of A. Shchetinine (USSR). This Group is mentioned in the present set among the Groups of Committee 5.

5th Meeting - Friday 30 July 1948 RD 186/MAR 96 : Amended in RD 220/MAR 110
: Approved in RD 250/MAR 120

Summary of RD 186/MAR 96.- Approval of RD 39/MAR 24, RD 95/MAR 41 (amended in RD 139/MAR 69 and RD 134/MAR 47.- Drawing up of the time-table. The Chairman of Committee 3 asked for a meeting of the Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Committees 3, 4 and 5 to specify what should be included in the Convention and in the Preamble to the Plan. This proposal was accepted. Mr. Shchetinine, Chairman of the mixed Group RD/MAR for derogations in the maritime bands 415-485 and 515-525 kc/s, stated that the Group had assembled data on broadcasting stations operating on bands of the maritime service and that he was going to examine them. An urgent matter had been raised, that of shared bands of 150-160 and 255-285 kc/s. Should this be studied by the Working Group on derogations, or should a new Working Group be constituted ?- The Chairman of Committee 5 thought it desirable to submit the question to Committee 5, which was more competent to discuss it and could set up a Joint Working Group.- This point of view was adopted. A combined RD/MAR Committee was set up to consider the problem of the apportionment of expenses resulting from the two Copenhagen Conferences and the Committee of Eight Countries.- Progress reports of the Committees.

6th Meeting - Friday 6 August 1948 RD 218/MAR 109 : Approved in RD 307/MAR 149

Summary of RD 218/MAR 109.- Approval of RD 154/MAR 78.- Notice about the transfer of the Conference to Elseneur - Marienlyst.- Drawing up of the time-table.- The Soviet Delegate suggested that the requests for meetings be handed in to the Secretariat before the Meetings of the Combined Committees 1, in order that a draft time-table could be submitted already during the meeting.-

The Chairman of Committee 3 asked who should approve the Report of the Meeting of Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Committees 3, 4 and 5 (RD 188). He thought the Executive Committee could do it. On behalf of Committee 3, its Chairman raised the question of participation of Observers in Working Groups. There were several possibilities : 1° they are not admitted, 2° they are admitted as of right, at their request, and 3° they are admitted only at the invitation of the Chairman of the Group, when their presence is needed.- The Chairman of the Committee thought the Observers should be admitted to the Meetings. There was nothing to prevent a Working Group from admitting an Observer who could have the floor only when requested to speak. The Group was free to ask him to withdraw. The Chairman of Committee 3 raised another point about the presence in a Working Group of Members of the Committee who have not asked to be included.- The Chairman of Committee 1 considered that a Working Group had to be kept reduced in number in order to expedite the work. It is not expedient that many people should participate. Mr. Holmblad saw no objection however to other Delegates being present at Meetings if they took no part in discussion and considered themselves as Observers. The Chairman of the Group could always confine the discussion to the actual Members of the Group. This general principle should be followed. The Delegate of Belgium said he was always in favour of the principle that all Delegates should be allowed to speak in Working Groups. However, he admitted that it would be an impediment for the work of a Working Group of 4 or 5 Delegates in charge of the preparation of the Plan, should 100 people participate in the Meetings. The Chairman of Committee 1 thought the idea of communicating the time of Meetings of Working Groups only to those directly concerned could not be entertained. Information on the progress of the work of the Committees.- Attempt at fixing a date for the closure of the Conference. In no case beyond September 10. There was no reason why August 25 should not be fixed as the date for the examination of the Plan and September 4 as the date of signature. The Chairman of RD Committee 4 thought the work could be speeded up by avoiding ambiguous statements presented under the guise of scientific arguments, which could be rapidly invalidated but which all too often led to interminable discussions.

7th Meeting Friday 13 August 1948 RD 250/MAR 120 : Approved in
: RD 307/MAR 149

Summary of RD 250/MAR 120.-Approval of RD 186/MAR 96 (amended in RD 220/MAR 110.- No advance requests for meetings have been handed in. Mr. Makarov announced that Mr. Chichétinine, Chairman of Committee 3 MAR, being ill, he would take his place as Chairman at the next Meeting of Committee 3.- The Chairman of Committee 1 and the Delegate of Great Britain considered that the current practice, in such cases, was that the Vice-Chairman should preside over Meetings ; otherwise there was no point in designating a Vice-Chairman since he would have no function to discharge. Mr. Makarov replied that according to custom and following the Danish proposal, Chairmanship and Vice-Chairmanship should be attributed to countries rather than to individuals. He had received instructions from his Government to replace Mr. Shchetinine. He would, however, accept the decision of the Chairman of the Conference. The Chairman replied that if Mr. Shchetinine had to be replaced permanently, the Soviet Delegation could designate another Chairman; if, on the other hand, it was a question of temporary replacement, he proposed that the Vice-Chairman assume the Chairmanship. Mr. Makarov agreed, but maintained his point of view that a Delegation had the right to replace one of its members by another as Chairman of a Committee. Drawing up the timetable. Mr. Kessenikh, Chairman of Committee 4 RD, asked Mr. Makarov to assume the permanent Chairmanship of that Committee in his place. Mr. Makarov agreed. Information on the progress of the work of the Committees. Remarks on the lack of precision in certain translations.

8th Meeting Friday 20 August 1948 RD 273/MAR 129: Approved in RD 348/MAR 173

Summary of RD 273/MAR 129.- Approval of RD 188 (Report of the Meeting of Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Committees 3, 4 and 5).- It was impossible for the MAR Conference to finish the Plan until certain problems concerning derogations had been solved by Committee 5 RD.- The Final Report of the Joint RD/MAR Committee on the apportionment of expenses (RD 249/MAR 118) was referred for discussion to the Plenary Assembly.- The Chairman of Committee 3 RD wished Committee 6 to return the texts to Committee 3 for revision as a whole, before passing them to the Plenary Assembly.- The Chairman of Committee 3 MAR on behalf of that Committee thought the question of the character of the MAR Conference must as soon as possible be referred to the Plenary Assembly for consideration.- Mr. Lhermite, Chairman of Committee 5 (drafting) MAR, having left, Mr. Biensen would assume Chairmanship.- the final date for closing the MAR Conference, fixed for September 1, was still considered as valid. Drawing up of time table.

9th Meeting Friday 27 August 1948 RD 307/MAR 149: See RD 402 (Nº9) (8th Sess. Pl. Ass.)

Summary of RD 307/MAR 149.- Drawing up of time table.- The Chairman of Committee 3 RD made a statement about two problems which Committee 3 asked to be referred to Committee 5: the reference to the Atlantic City provisions to be included in the Preamble of the Plan, should the Planning Committee so decide; a simple statement of fact, to appear in the Preamble and not in the Convention, to the effect that "the Plan includes frequencies for non-signatory countries". The third question - the date of implementation of the Plan could not be settled by Committee 3 alone. Committee 3 RD asked for the setting up of a mixed Group of Committees 5 and 3. This was approved.- Discussion on the question of the expert. The Soviet Delegate thought it would be logical to consider the list of technical questions for the expert, prepared by a Working Group of Committee 4, along with the question of the expert himself.- In fact Committee 3 would examine the question of the expert, without taking a final decision until the technical functions had been clearly defined. The ultimate decision rested with the Plenary Assembly. The Chairman of Committee 2 was asked to prepare a concise Final Report.- Setting up of a mixed Working Group RD/MAR to study the protection of coast stations in the bands 415-490 and 510-525 kc/s.- Mr. Gnome having left, the Vice Chairman of Committee 2 MAR, Mr. K. Wolowski, would preside at this Committee.- Statement by the Head of the Linguistic Service about the task of interpreters, translators and typists.- Subsequent changes in the time-table should be reduced to the minimum, but a certain elasticity was necessary, during the final stage of the Conferences. The Postponement of scheduled meetings should be avoided.- Time-table.- What would be the situation on the 10th of September if the work was not brought to a successful conclusion? - the question was not considered, as the situation was justifiably hopeful. - Mr. Makarov mentioned all sorts of rumours circulating among Delegates and which created an unfavourable impression as to the prospects of the Conference.- Mr. Metzler and Mr. Cortell replied. Discussion continued on the same subject.

10th Meeting Friday 3 September 1948 RD 348/MAR 173 : See RD 402 (N° 9)
(8th Sess.Pl.Ass.)

Summary of RD 348/MAR 173.- Approval of RD 273/MAR 129.- Progress reports of Committees and drawing up of time tables.- On behalf of Committee 4 MAR, Mr. Biansan made a statement about the desire expressed by the Maritime Conference to see incorporated in the Convention certain provisions of the Atlantic City texts which did not seem to have been inserted in the texts which were being prepared. Committee 1 could lay this question officially before the appropriate RD Committee.- Mr. Meyer, Chairman of Committee 3, recalled that Committee 3 RD had transmitted to Committee 5 RD the question of references to the Atlantic City texts, for insertion in the Preamble if Committee 5 thought fit. The question of these references should be discussed during the first reading of the Preamble.

GROUPS AND COMMITTEE SET UP BY COMMITTEE 1

Mixed Working Group RD/MAR

Derogations in the maritime bands 415-485 and 515-525 kc/s.
See under: Working Groups of Committee 5.

Protection of coast stations in the bands 415-590 and 510-525 kc/s.
See under: Working Groups of Committee 5.

Mixed Working Group 5A - 3B

Date of entry into force of the Plan
See under: Working Groups of Committee 5.

Meeting of Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Committees RD 3, 4 and 5.
(to distinguish what should be included in the Convention and in the Preamble to the Plan)

Appointed on 30 July 1948 - 5th Meeting of Committee 1 - RD 168/MAR 96
Terms of reference: To distinguish what should be included in the Convention and in the Preamble to the Plan.

Membership: the Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Committees RD 3, 4 and 5.

Chairman : N. E. Holmblad, Chairman of the Conference.

Rapporteur : V. Meyer, I.T.U.

Report of Meeting (August 3, 1948) RD 188 : Approved in RD 273/MAR 129
See also the discussion mentioned in RD 218/MAR 109, in RD 206 (7th Meet. of Com.3) and in RD 307/MAR 149.

RD/MAR Joint Committee Apportionment of expenditure

(see under XIV)

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

IK

COMMITTEE 2 (Credentials)

Set up on 28 June 1948 - 1st Session, 1st Meeting of Plenary Assembly RD 26.

Terms of Reference: To examine the validity of credentials.

Membership : P.R. of Albania, Belgium, Vatican City, Denmark, Egypt, France, Italy, Luxemburg, Portugal, FPR of Yugoslavia, United Kingdom, Syria, USSR.

Chairman : R. Corteil (Belgium),

Vice-Chairman : J.L. da Silva Dias (Portugal)

Rapporteur : J.N. Leproux (France).

<u>Meetings</u>	<u>1st</u>	<u>2nd</u>	<u>3rd</u>	<u>4th</u>	<u>5th</u>	<u>6th</u>
<u>Docs.RD</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>149</u>	<u>202</u>	<u>343</u>	<u>388</u>

1st Meeting Monday 5 July 1948 RD 37 : See RD 402 (N° 9) (8th Sess.Pl.Ass.)

Summary of RD 37.- Inventory of credentials received : out of the 33 countries invited, 18 have submitted credentials. To take a decision on their validity, Committee 2 had to wait for the decision to be taken on the character of the Conference.

2nd Meeting Thursday 8 July 1948 RD 57 : Approved in RD 149

Summary of RD 57 .- To date, besides 2 countries not yet represented (Greece and Lebanon), 4 countries had not submitted their credentials. The Committee drew up a preliminary list of 14 countries whose delegates had presented documents entitling them to participate in the work of the CER and to sign its Acts. A translation of the credentials of Norway and Portugal was requested. The official letter submitted by the Delegate of Egypt was sufficient to accredit him, but did not constitute full powers. The same applied to the Representative of Morocco and Tunisia, in regard to Tunisia. Discussion on the powers of the USSR and of the Ukrainian SSR, which apparently applied only to the right to participate in the Conference. Should they also apply to the signature of diplomatic Acts ?

3rd Meeting Wednesday 21 July 1948 RD 149 : Approved and amended in RD 202

Summary of RD 149 .- Approval of RD 57. 5 more countries had forwarded valid credentials, which make a total of 18 countries, plus Morocco for the Protectorates.- The Delegate of the USSR stated that the USSR and Ukrainian SSR Delegations would produce new credentials authorizing them to sign the Acts of the Conference. The Delegates of the Roumanian P.R., Syria and Turkey produced credentials to participate in the Conference, but not to sign the Acts.- The credentials of Norway and Portugal, translated, were shown to constitute full powers in good and due form, as well as those of the P.R. of Albania, Austria, Greece and Luxemburg; this made a total of 24 countries, plus Morocco for the Protectorates.- One country only, apart from Lebanon, absent, had not submitted credentials.- The Delegate of Yugoslavia asked under what conditions a country could accredit the Delegate of another country as its representative.

Summary of RD 149 (contd.)

The Committee declared itself unauthorized to decide this.- The delegate of Yugoslavia asked for exact information about the participation of Lebanon)*. The Committee was not in possession of the necessary documents to give a reply.- The same Delegate wanted to know how Observers were accredited. The Chairman said that since Observers' signatures were not required, they did not have to submit credentials.

4th Meeting Wednesday 4 August 1948 RD 202 : Approved in RD 343

Summary of RD 202.- Approval of RD 149.- The Delegate of Yugoslavia considered it difficult to justify juridically the representation of one country by a Delegate of another country. The Rules of Procedure provided for proxies for one or more Meetings, but he could not think of the system being extended to the whole length of the Conference. Other Delegates and the Chairman explained that a Government was free to give to a citizen of another country a mandate to represent it, and that on page 53 of the Atlantic City Convention (Annex 2) it was stated that each Member was free to make up its delegation as it wished. The Plenary Assembly would be asked to settle the question.- The Roumanian P.R. and Syria having produced credentials in due form, the list now comprised 26 countries plus Morocco for the Protectorates. The Bielorussian S.S.R., the U.S.S.R. and the Ukrainian S.S.R. would submit signatory powers in due course.- The Committee expressed the opinion that an official Document accrediting a Delegate to participate in the work of the Conference, entitled him to vote. - The Egyptian Delegate announced that he had been advised by telegram that full powers in due form and time would be sent to the Committee.- Another telegram advised that the Turkish Delegate was authorized to sign the Acts, and that full credentials would follow.- The Committee expressed the following opinion : "Subject to the reception of credentials in due form, telegrams received take provisionally the place of powers to sign."- Divergent opinion as to whether a telegram could be considered as provisional full powers to sign the Acts.- The Committee decided on the form to be given to the Chairman's Report on the Committee's work.- A further Report was to be made should further credentials be forwarded.

Report by the Chairman of Committee 2 RD 203 : Supplemented by RD 389
Secretariat. Note on the preparation of
signature slips RD 276 : Considered in RD 343

5th Meeting Friday 3 September 1948 RD 343 : Approved in RD 388
(with one annex)

Summary of RD 343.- Approval of RD 202. The Delegates of Egypt, of the Protectorates of Morocco and Tunisia (for Tunisia) and of Turkey having submitted credentials in due form authorizing them to sign, this made a total of 29 countries.- The Delegate of the United Kingdom remarked that his Government regarded the signature of France as pledging Morocco and Tunisia. The Chairman pointed out that Lebanon was not represented and had sent no credentials.

* Note of the General Secretariat : This probably refers to Syria, not Lebanon (see RD 202, 4th Meeting).

Summary of RD 343 (contd.)

The Delegate of the USSR stated again that the full powers for signing for the USSR, the Bielorussian SSR and the Ukrainian SSR would be forwarded in due time. The Secretariat invited Delegates to submit information for the preparation of the signature slips (RD 276). On receipt of the information, the Committee agreed 1) that the signature of one Plenipotentiary empowered to sign was sufficient to pledge his Government, 2) that the signature of a Plenipotentiary empowered to sign could be followed, at his indication, by signatures of Members of his Delegation without full powers, unless the credentials of a country expressly specified the names of Delegates empowered to sign, 3) that a list of Plenipotentiaries formally authorized to sign would be annexed to the Minutes of the Meeting. The Committee decided that it was not necessary to mention "ad referendum" or "subject to ratification" in front of the signatories, as the Convention contained an express reservation of ratification. The Delegate of the United Kingdom stated that at the time of signing, he may consider it proper to have his signature followed by the mention that it did or did not apply to this or that European territory within the jurisdiction of British sovereignty. The Committee considered that the Plenary Assembly should be informed in advance of such a stipulation. The Delegations which had not replied to RD 276 were to be requested by the Chairman to do so at once.

6th Meeting Monday 13 September 1948 RD 388 : See RD 402 (N° 9) (8th Sess. Pl. Ass.)

Summary of RD 388.- Approval of RD 343. The Delegate of France confirmed that the full powers of the Delegate of Morocco and Tunisia, which were issued by authority of the Sherif and the Beylik Governments, unequivocally pledged Morocco and Tunisia. The Delegate of the United Kingdom accepted this statement.- The Committee received three telegrams from the Ministers for Foreign Affairs of the U.S.S.R., the Bielorussian S.S.R. and the Ukrainian S.S.R., giving the names of the Delegates empowered to sign. The Committee decided that the Delegates named might sign the Acts, but it was agreed that, in accordance with custom, the telegraphic notification should be confirmed by a simple written communication addressed to the Danish Government.- The two plenipotentiary Delegates of the Rep. of Poland, empowered to sign, having already left Denmark, the Polish Legation in Denmark communicated the name of the Delegate authorized to sign, whose full credentials were on the way. This Delegate therefore might sign the Acts of the Conference.- The list annexed to RD 343 would be completed according to decisions taken at the Meeting. This supplementary list would be annexed to the Minutes of the Meeting.

Final Report of the Chairman of Committee 2 RD 389

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

X

COMMITTEE 3 (Organisation)

Set up on 28 June 1948 - 1st Session, 1st Meeting of Plenary Assembly - RD26

Terms of reference: To consider the proposals concerning problems of organisation relating to the work of the Conference and to the future implementation of the frequency Plan, also to prepare the Convention.

Membership: All the countries represented at the European Broadcasting Conference, except Iceland and Sweden.

Observers: United States of America, ICAO, OIR, UIR.

Chairman: J. Meyer (France); Vice-Chairman: J. Ehrlich (Czechoslovakia),
Deputy Vice-Chairman: J. Busak (Czechoslovakia).

Rapporteurs: J.M. Leproux (France), then J.M. Biansan (France), then L. Stellmann (France).

Meetings	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th
Docs. RD	42	50	58	60	184	187	206	270	263	285	280
	12th	13th	14th	15th	16th	17th	18th	19th			
	282	283	297	305	314	316	339	367			

1st Meeting Monday 5 July 1948 RD 42 : Approved in RD 187

Summary of RD 42.- Drawing up of the Agenda for the next Meeting. Only item: determining the character of the Conference.- The Chairman of the Committee would approach the Chairman of the Conference to determine to whom the problem of Germany was going to be referred.

2nd Meeting Tuesday 6 July 1948 RD 50 : Approved and amended in RD 187
With 1 annex: Statement by the British Delegate.

Summary of RD 50.- Concerning the problem of Germany, the Chairman of the Conference had been of the opinion that the question of procedure should be brought up at the next Meeting of the Plenary Assembly.- Character of the Conference: Statement by the Chairman of the Committee on the precedents of Lucerne and Montreux and the texts of Atlantic City. The last are not sufficiently precise to settle the matter without discussion. A table of all these texts was to be distributed. Exchange of opinion.- Difference between an Administrative and a Plenipotentiary Conference.- Suggestion by the Delegate of the United Kingdom to call the Conference "European Inter-Governmental Conference".- Adjournment of the discussion.

Atlantic City texts published as documentation for Committee 3 RD 43.

3rd Meeting Wednesday 7 July 1948RD 58 : Approved in RD 187

Summary of RD 58.- Character of the Conference (continuation).- General discussion followed by a show of hands: The Copenhagen Conference not an Administrative Conference. It was a Conference of Government Delegates with Full Powers (26 votes in favour of this formula). Eight delegations only in favour of referring the decision between the two proposed titles to the Plenary Assembly. 17 Delegations in favour of the title "European Broadcasting Conference", 8 - in favour of "Conference of Plenipotentiaries for European Broadcasting". - Agenda for next meeting: General discussion of the underlying principles of the future Convention.

4th Meeting Thursday 8 July 1948RD 60 : Approved and amended in RD 187.

Summary of RD 60.- For documentary purposes Committee 2 would be informed of the decision taken by Committee 3 on the character of the Conference.- General discussion on the principles to govern the future Convention.- The Chairman thought there was no precise differentiation between questions relating to the Convention and those relating to the Plan, and that, while a certain number of articles of the Lucerne Plan could be reproduced mutatis mutandis, others called for discussion, for example "Revision of the Convention and of the Plan", "Modification of the Plan", "Relations with the I.T.U.".- In regard to the revision of the Convention, the Committee would favour the Montreux formula. The Committee thought that the Plan could be revised independently of a revision of the Convention. Even if the Plan were to be modified separately from the Convention, the Delegates to the present Conference on the Plan should have full powers from their Governments. Discussion on the quorum required instigates a revision of the Convention and of the Plan: Montreux had fixed it at 2/3, Lucerne - at 10. The Delegate of France proposed a fixed quorum, in accordance with the Montreux formula. The Chairman proposed to adjourn discussion on the application of the Telecommunication Convention. The Soviet Delegate did not agree to the proposed adjournment. He reserved the right to returning to each one of the points discussed, and proposed that no decision should be taken until the 4-column document announced by the British Delegate was available.- By 8 votes in favour - the other delegations abstaining - the Committee approved the proposal of the Italian Delegate to appoint a Working Group entrusted with preparing a general draft of Articles for the new Convention corresponding to Articles 4 and 5 of the Lucerne Convention and Articles 6, 8 and 9 of the Montreux Convention.- Agenda for the next Meeting: Discussion on the Report of the Working Group.

United Kingdom. Draft Convention (4 columns) RD 124: replacing RD 555th Meeting Tuesday 27 July 1948RD 184 : Approved and amended in RD 270

Summary of RD 184.- Position with regard to the distribution of RD 124. Discussion of the Report of the Working Group (RD 147). Discussion on the reservation made by the Delegate of Yugoslavia that the Article on the revision of the Convention and of the Plan should be drafted after all other Articles of the Convention.- Agreement with regard to reading and discussion of RD 147.- Amendments to RD 147.- Statement by the Chairman of the Working Group. Discussion of Article "Revision of the Convention and of the Plan". Can non-contracting Governments request a revision? How many contracting Governments should support the request? Contrary to the provi

Governments should support the request? Contrary to the Montreux provisions the Working Group advocated a common procedure for the revision of the Convention and the Plan, since the Committee had decided and was still of opinion that the Plan could only be revised by a Conference of Plenipotentiaries.- The Soviet Delegate recalled the reservations contained in RD 147 and criticised the method of entrusting to a Working Group the drafting of two Articles which were not the most important. A Working Group should be set up to draw up the Convention as a whole, in order to reach quasi-unanimous decisions. This Group would determine the basic principles to be included in the Convention, and its structure, and would discuss the points on which unanimous agreement had not been reached.- The Chairman pointed out that the methods in use had been adopted unanimously; that Rule 17 of the Rules of Procedure having not yet been adopted, it was not known what majority would be required for the different questions; that if 8 Delegates only had voted for the setting up of the Group, the other Delegates had not voted against but had abstained. He doubted the ability of a Working Group entrusted with the drafting of the whole Convention to reach unanimous agreement more easily than the Committee itself. Agenda for the next Meeting: Approval of Minutes. Discussion on Working Methods and on the Report of the Working Group.

6th Meeting Wednesday 28 July 1948 RD 187 : Approved and amended in RD 263

Summary of RD 187.- Approval of RD 42, RD 50, RD 58, RD 60.- Discussion on Working Methods. The Delegate of Poland supported the proposal of the Soviet Delegate and proposed 1) that the drafting of texts for the Convention be entrusted to one or more Working Groups, 2) to entrust to another Working Group a preliminary drawing up of basic principles for the Convention and the Preamble to the Plan which might help the Working Groups, 3) to submit to Committee 3 the texts prepared.- The Delegate of the United Kingdom explained why he was against the above methods.- The Chairman was of opinion that 1) debatable points in the Report of the Working Group should be reserved, 2) Working Groups could be set up for the study of specific subjects with directives from the Committee, 3) the drawing up of the Convention should not be entrusted to a single Working Group.- The Committee agreed that a meeting of the Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Committees 3, 4 and 5 should determine the points for the Convention and for the Preamble to the Plan. The Committee approved a first reading of the Articles "Modification of the Plan" (RD 147) and "Abrogation of the Convention and of the Plan" (RD 161). With regard to the former, it was proposed to draft a recommendation relating to the rapid routing of communications addressed by the Secretary General to the various Administrations.- With regard to the expert, the Chairman thought the question should be reserved and that agreement should be sought through unofficial conversations. There were two aspects to the question: 1) the possible functions of the expert, 2) the designation of the expert. The designation should be made unanimously. That being so, was it advisable to refer the question of the functions of the expert to a Working Group? - The Delegates of Poland and of the U.S.S.R. thought not, while other Delegates thought it advisable.- The Delegate of Belgium proposed to appoint a Working Group to "clear up" the question.- Discussion on the Working Groups to be set up and establishment of Working Groups 3A (Organization and structure), 3B (Technical Questions) and 3C (Financial Questions).

7th Meeting Wednesday 4 August 1948RD 206 : Approved in RD 263

Summary of RD 206.— The Chairman gave an Account of the Meeting of the Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Committees 3, 4 and 5 who had to determine questions relating to the Convention and to the Plan (RD 188).— It was proposed to entrust the study of the Article on "Notification of frequencies" to Working Group 3B who could refer to Group 3A the possible legal aspects of the question. The Chairman said that the Meeting of Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Committees 3, 4 and 5 had decided on the technical functions of the expert to be determined by Committees 5 and 4, but that Committee 3 might nevertheless consider these questions at a first discussion.— The OIR Observer having expressed the wish to participate in the work of Group 3A, the Chairman wondered whether Observers were entitled as of right to take part in meetings of Working Groups.— The Secretary-in-Chief referred to the general practice of conferences. It seemed that Observers were admitted to meetings but could only take the floor at the request of the Chairman.— The UIR Observer also wished to participate in the work of Working Group 3A, if Observers were admitted.— Opinions were expressed on the participation of Observers in Working Groups, and it was thought that the question should be determined by Committee 1 or by the Plenary Assembly.— Membership and terms of reference of Working Groups 3A, 3B and 3C.— The Article "Rights of the countries not included in the European Area" (Art. 9 Lucerne C.) was cancelled.— The Articles "Expert" and "Implementation of the Convention" were reserved.

8th Meeting Thursday 12 August 1948RD 270 : See RD 402 (N° 9)
(8th Sess. Pl. Ass.)

Summary of RD 270.— Approval of RD 184.— Verbal reports by the Chairmen of Working Groups 3A and 3B.— Report of the Working Group 3C (RD 217).— The Chairman thought that a Drafting Group of Committee 3 might become necessary to collate the texts worked out by the various Working Groups.— RD 217 was adopted at first reading. Group 3C was dissolved.

Agenda for the 9th MeetingRD 259 : Approved and amended
in RD 263

1. Approval of the Minutes: RD 187, RD 206
2. Discussion of the following reports:
 - a) Working Group 3B : RD 245/MAR 119 and RD 247
 - b) Working Group 3A : RD 251
3. Miscellaneous.

9th Meeting Thursday 19 August 1948RD 263 : Approved and amended
in RD 339

Summary of RD 263.— Item 1 on the Agenda. Approval of RD 187 and RD 206.— Item 2a). Statement by the Chairman of Working Group 3B: text of Article "Notification of frequencies" RD 245/MAR 119 containing a draft Article for the Convention and a draft Resolution.— Discussion on the statements of the IFRB Observer.— RD 245 approved.— Statement on the Article "General technical provisions" RD 247.— RD 247 was adopted, on condition of a point of drafting being settled.— Item 2b). The Delegate of Yugoslavia (F.P.R.) asked that his proposal RD 246, circulated a few days previously, be discussed before RD 251, distributed only that morning. That entailed a

modification in the Agenda, approved at the beginning of the Meeting, and a departure from the Rules of Procedure. However, RD 251 would be discussed.- The Chairman commented on the Report of Working Group 3A: RD 251. The "Preamble to the Convention" and the Article "Implementation of the Convention and of the Plan" were adopted.- Discussion of Article "Definitions". Reservation by the Delegate of the United Kingdom on the definition of the "International telecommunication Convention". Discussion.

10th Meeting Friday 20 August 1948 (morning) RD 285 : Approved and amended in RD 339

Summary of RD 285.- Discussion of Article "Revision of the Convention and of the Plan". Reading of the text submitted by the former Working Group (RD 147) and observations by the Delegate of Yugoslavia on Doc. RD 246.- The Chairman advocated the consecutive study of the various principles at issue, those of "normal" revision and those of "incidental" revision.- Discussion.- Compromise proposal by the Delegate of Czechoslovakia.- A small drafting Group would draw up a text taking into account the proposals submitted to complete the first paragraph of the Yugoslav Delegate's proposal RD 246.

11th Meeting Friday 20 August 1948 (afternoon) RD 280 : Approved and amended in RD 339

Summary of RD 280.- New draft of paragraph 1 of Article "Revision of the Convention and of the Plan" submitted by the small drafting Group. Remarks by the Chairman and discussion.- The sentence ".... unless the Meeting decides to the contrary" referred both to the duration and to the principle itself of the Conference. The Drafting Committee would be informed of that interpretation.- To the Yugoslav Delegate's statement that non-contracting governments should be entitled to make a request for revision, the Delegate of France replied that undue emphasis need not be laid upon the origin of the request. What must be considered is the group of contracting countries supporting the request and their numerical strength.- The Delegate of Czechoslovakia recalled that, in Doc. RD 147, it had been suggested that any request for revision should be accompanied by motivated proposals, but this was omitted in RD 246.- The Delegate of the United Kingdom thought that the Secretary General should not receive requests from countries not Members of the Union.- Discussion of the relations of the I.T.U. with countries not Members of the Union. A small Group would examine this problem.- On the subject of the required minimum of countries requesting a revision, the Delegate of France thought that a minimum of 10 would be in flagrant contradiction to the principle of unanimity which had been so much fought for.- The Delegate of Albania observed that as 20 Union Members out of the 72 could obtain the convening of an extraordinary administrative conference, a request supported by 10 Governments was more than sufficient to convene a European conference of 33 countries. The Delegate of Yugoslavia insisted on his proposal being accepted.

12th Meeting Monday 23 August 1948

RD 282: Approved and amended
in RD 339

Summary of RD 282.- Consideration continued of Article "Revision of the Convention and of the Plan".- The small Group of Delegates appointed at the previous Meeting submitted a new text for paragraph 2.- The French and British Delegations withdrew their reservations with regard to the minimum of requesting countries.- The Soviet Delegate declared that the new text failed to indicate clearly that the revision was being made by a Conference of Plenipotentiaries and precluded the possibility for any country of the European Area to request a revision.- After explanations, which would appear in the Minutes, it was established that non-contracting countries would be able to instigate a revision if ten contracting countries sponsored the request. The more explicit statement requested as to the character of the Revision Conference would be put back in the text of the Article.- The Committee resumed the study of the Report of Working Group 3A (RD 251), Article on "Definitions", paragraphs 1 and 2: addition of the words: "... or any revision thereof which may be substituted therefor after its entry into force".- Discussion on the definition of the word "Administration". By show of hands, the Committee adopted the text of RD 251 subject to an editorial modification in the French text (une administration for l'administration). The Minutes would specify that "an administration" designates a "responsible administration", as in the Atlantic City text.- The Delegate of Belgium proposed that definitions should be found for "signatory countries", "acceding countries", and "contracting countries". The proposal was not seconded.- The definition of "European Area" combined the definitions scattered in various texts. The Working Group had appended a map to the text, recommending that - in the interests of clarity - it should be attached to the proposed text. The Yugoslav Delegate made a reservation on this suggestion as did the Soviet Delegate who pointed out that the map contained some errors in regard to political boundaries. The proposal of the Working Group was not adopted.- Article "Ratification of the Convention" (RD 251).- The Secretary-in-Chief, consulted, said that the procedure provided for by the Atlantic City Convention might perfectly well be applied to the Copenhagen Convention, i.e. the Convention would be deposited in the archives of the Government of Denmark and ratifications would be transmitted to the Secretary-General through the Swiss Government.- The Delegate of the USSR considered that such a decision might conflict with the Article on accession.- The Chairman suggested that an indirect formula of accession be sought through the intermediary of a contracting country, but the Soviet Delegate had, for the time being, no suggestion to make.- A small Working Group would examine the question.- Question of deletion of Article on "Application of the Telecommunication Convention", (RD 251). Mr. Pennetta recalled that Articles 25, 31, 41, 42, 44 and Annexes 1, 2, 3 to the Atlantic City Convention, as well as Articles 1 to 8, 9, Section I, 10 to 24, 46, and Annexes 3 to 7 to the Atlantic City Radio Regulations directly concerned broadcasting services and should be retained. The Italian Delegate believed that those provisions should be referred to in one form or another, unless the Conference adopted the principle that only countries members of the I.T.U. might be Members of the European Broadcasting Union, that being the opinion of the British Delegate. The decision taken by Committee 3 to delete the Article in question would be brought to the attention of Committee 5, which was dealing with the Preamble of the Plan (See RD 300).- Congratulations to the Roumanian Delegation for the anniversary of Roumania's liberation.- Article "Denunciation of the Convention" (RD 251).- The Delegate of the United Kingdom pointed out that his proposal for the insertion of an Article concerning the territorial application of the Convention had not been taken into account (RD 124).- The Chairman believed that the matter

might be examined by the new Working Group just appointed.- The question of the extension to 18 months of the period before a denunciation could take effect was held over for second reading.- Committee 5 would be informed that the provision relating to frequencies being assigned in the Plan to non-signatory countries should not be inserted in the Convention, but in the Preamble to the Plan (See RD 300).- Agenda for next Meeting: summing up the work accomplished and the work that remained to be done. Replies to questions concerning the presentation of the text of the Convention and the Plan.

13th Meeting Tuesday 24 August 1948

RD 283 : Approved in RD 339

Summary of RD 283.- Recapitulation of work done.- There remained to be examined the Article "Ratification" and "Accession" entrusted the day before to Working Group 3A, the Article concerning experts and the Article concerning the entry into force of the Convention.- As regards the Article relating to experts, the opportune moment for its consideration had not yet arrived.- Discussion of the two annexes to Document RD 245 relating to the Article "Entry into force": statements by the IFRB and ICAO observers on the date of notification of frequencies.- The appointment of a Joint Group of Committees 5, 3 and possibly 4 would be requested at the Meeting of Committee 1 to deal with that question of the date.- Working Group 3A was asked to give its opinion on the conditions under which the Secretary General could intervene in the application of the Convention and related questions.- Questions to be solved: 1. Would the Plan be considered an Annex or a separate document? 2. Should a place be left for declarations and reservations? 3. Procedure for signature.

14th Meeting Wednesday 25 August 1948

RD 297 : Amended in RD 391

See RD 402 (N°9) (8th Sess.Pl,Ass,)

Summary of RD 297.- Report by Mr. Pennetta, Chairman of Working Group 3A, on the Articles "Ratification" and "Accession" (RD 251). The Delegate of the United Kingdom, supported by the Delegates of Egypt and Syria, maintained his reservations on non-adoption of his proposal to limit the right of accession to countries Members of the I.T.U. The Delegate of France proposed a compromise solution which was not supported by a sufficient number of Delegates to merit discussion. The same applies to a proposal by the Polish Delegate that the acceptance of any accession should require the support of 10 contracting governments. Consequently, no result was achieved by Group 3A.- The Delegate of the Republic of Poland submitted a new proposal and motivated it.- The Chairman thought that this proposal was equivalent to the creation of contracting parties in a provisional capacity.- The Delegate of the United Kingdom was unable to accept the proposal.- The Delegate of the USSR protested against the unyielding attitude of the British Delegation which wanted to make the Convention a closed one, and against the compromise solution proposed by the French Delegate, which introduced an inadmissible discrimination between European States. He supported the formula in RD 251, the most practical, which had been adopted quasi-unanimously by Working Group 3A.- The Delegate of the United Kingdom explained that his attitude was the result of United Nations decisions.- The Delegate of Yugoslavia (F.P.R.) retorted that the Atlantic City text provided for the possibility of invitations being extended to other countries than the 33 countries signatory to the additional Protocol and stated that, as was the opinion of Prof. Scelle, the Broadcasting Convention was a typical open convention.- The Delegate of Morocco and Tunisia thought it advisable that the Convention should be an open one and proposed that the Working Group should meet again.- The Delegate of Czechoslovakia considered that the practical aim should not be lost sight of and that certain legal, though not

indisputable, principles should therefore be conceded.- The Chairman recalled that the question of compulsory transmission of accessions to the Union's Secretary-General had not yet been settled; ~~that~~ that ~~acceding countries~~ would be given the same rights as contracting countries; that before Atlantic City the Union was open and that since then new admissions had been subject to acceptance by two-thirds. The reservation of the British Delegate excluded certain countries from the Convention, while the French proposal gave these countries the possibility of expressing their opinion. The Chairman would like to avoid voting.- The Polish Delegate withdrew his proposal.- Discussion continued, without modifying the different points of view, on Spain, the State of Israel, the five Soviet republics, non-Members of the ITU, the decisions of the United Nations.- Finally, the Committee decided that a Working Group should consider the problem anew, and report the results as soon as possible.

15th Meeting Friday 27 August 1948

RD 305 : Amended in RD 391
See RD 402 (Nº9)
(8th Sess.Pl.Ass.)

Summary of RD 305.- Mr. Pennetta submitted the text of the Article "Accession to the Convention" prepared by the Working Group, which had not been accepted by the Polish Delegate.- The Committee had to choose now between two texts: one drawn up by the first Working Group (RD 251) and the other by the second Working Group.- The Delegate of the Republic of Poland stressed that the inclusion of the words "responsible for external relations" introduced an unacceptable requirement; "which it had entrusted with this diplomatic measure" would have been preferable.- The Committee sought a better formula.- The Soviet Delegate could not accept the text submitted by the second Working Group which was worse than the earlier so-called compromise proposals. He still favoured the text in RD 251 accepted quasi-unanimously by the first Working Group.- The Delegate of Morocco and Tunisia deplored the fact that some Delegations had spoiled the chance of certain countries not Members of the ITU acceding to the Copenhagen Convention.- Stiffening of the opposed points of view.- An amendment proposed by the Delegate of Egypt was not accepted.- The two texts - RD 251 and that of the 2nd Working Group - still remain at issue. The former text to be put to the vote first.- The Delegate of the United Kingdom declared that he would vote against the text of the second Working Group which contained concessions he no longer accepted. He would like the text of the first Working Group with the British amendment, to be put to the vote. This amendment added, after the words "European Broadcasting Area", the words "member of the International Telecommunication Union".- The Secretary read the Rules on voting procedure and ascertained that the Committee was composed of 29 countries, Iceland, Sweden and Turkey not being members of the Committee and Lebanon not being present at the Conference.- The participation of the Delegate of Turkey in the activities of the Committee was accepted by 15 votes against 10 with 3 abstentions.- Discussion on the order of voting.- The Bulgarian Delegate contested the Committee's right to consider an amendment which had not been submitted in writing.- A proposal by the Delegate of Morocco and Tunisia that the text of the 2nd Working Group should be considered as a last amendment and, as such, have priority, obtained five votes only.- By 18 votes the Committee declared itself in favour of the discussion of the British amendment in the first place.- By 19 votes against 9 (two absent) the amendment of the United Kingdom was approved.- Statement by the Polish Delegate on the vote.- The Delegate of the USSR declared that, as the Convention and the Plan could be approved by quasi-unanimity only, the decision of the Committee could not, in the present case, be considered valid.- The Chairman pointed out that the matter was one for the Plenary Assembly to settle.

16th Meeting Saturday 28 August 1948 RD 314: Amended in RD 391
: See RD 402 (Nº 9) (8th Sess.Pl.Ass.)

Summary of RD 314.— Appointment of Delegates to represent Committee 3 on the Joint Group 5A - 3B on the question of the date of implementation of the Convention. The following countries will be represented: France, Italy, United Kingdom, Switzerland, Czechoslovakia, USSR, and the Committee 3 Group would be headed by Mr. Busak (Czechoslovakia). The participation of the IFRB and ICAO Observers was considered indispensable. Mr. Busak, with the agreement of the Chairman of Committee 4 MAR, would invite one of the Delegates of the Maritime Conference to participate in the work of the Joint Group.— The Chairman of the Conference considered that the Plan and the Convention could be signed separately, but nevertheless the Plan was an annex to the Convention and the one could not be approved without the other.— The reservations would be inserted in a Final Protocol, after the Convention and the Plan.— Committee 3 would refer to Committee 6 all texts approved at the first reading. Committee 3 would have to complete certain texts after certain additional decisions had been taken on: the place of depositing of the Convention, the qualified authority to receive notifications of accession and ratification, etc.— The provision for application of the Convention to non-autonomous territories was not maintained by the small Working Group appointed for its consideration.— Resolution adopted by Working Group 3B concerning notification by the Secretariat of the Conference of the Plan for the distribution of frequencies. The Committee postponed examination of this text.— Agenda for the next Meeting: Second reading of the texts submitted by Committee 6. Possible examination of the Article dealing with the expert.

Referring texts to Committee 6 (Drafting)

RD 292 + RD 293:
See RD 294, RD 320

Chairmen of Committee 3 and of Working Group
3B to the Joint Group 5A - 3B:
Statement on the date of coming into force
of the Convention and of the Plan

RD 299/MAR 143:
See RD 282. Approved and
amended in RD 316.
See RD 315/MAR 153.
See RD 400 (13th Meet.Co.5)

Chairman of Committee 3: Questions referred to Sub-Committee 5A "Application of the International Telecommunication Convention" (to delete) and "Frequencies assigned to non-signatory countries" (to insert in the Preamble to the Plan)

RD 300: See RD 282.
Approved in RD 316

Chairmen of Committee 3 and of Working Group 3B to the Joint Group 5A - 3B:
Statement on the date of notification and on the draft resolution relating to the notification of the Frequency Allocation Plan as a whole.

RD 319: See RD 245, RD 263,
: RD 314. See RD 400
(13th Meet.Co.5)

17th Meeting Monday 30 August 1948 RD 316: Approved and amended in RD 367

Summary of RD 316.- Consideration of the observations made to Committee 3 by Committee 6 (RD 294).- Corrections introduced at second reading (RD 293).- The Delegate of the United Kingdom drew attention to the wish of the Maritime Conference for certain provisions in the Atlantic City texts which particularly concerned it to be incorporated in the Convention.- The Chairman replied that this could not be considered by Committee 3 until it had been officially informed of it by the Maritime Conference.- The IFRB Observer made a statement on the respective powers of the IFRB and of the Secretary General in regard to the notification of frequencies. He pointed out that Paragraph 2 of the Article "Modification of the Plan" overlapped the Atlantic City Regulations governing the IFRB, in particular with respect to Paragraph 6 of Article 11 of the Radio Regulations (p. 74). The proposed Article called for the negotiations to be carried out through the Secretary General, while the Radio Regulations assigned the IFRB similar duties, whence probable confusion and waste of time.- The Committee decided that Paragraph 2 would be reserved until the IFRB Observer had proposed a new one.- Was the IFRB's role to be mentioned in the Article "Notification of Frequencies"? The first paragraph could be revised in a Plenary Meeting.- The Committee postponed consideration of the substance of the three Articles on accession, ratification and the expert.- The Delegate of Czechoslovakia declared that he had submitted to Committee 3 Documents RD 299/MAR 143 and RD 300, which constituted the documentation of the Joint Group 5A - 3B, which was to study certain reserved questions of the Convention. Approval of RD 299/MAR 143 and RD 300.- Agenda for the next Meeting: Approval of Minutes. Report by the IFRB Observer on the reserved texts. Report by Mr. Busak on the Joint Group's work (5A - 3B). Articles on "Ratification" and "Accession". Expert.

IFRB. Draft amendment to Paragraph 2 of Article "Modification of the Plan":
RD 308: Considered in RD 339.

18th Meeting Wednesday 1st September 1948 RD 339: See RD 402 (N° 6)
: 68th Sess.Pl.Ass.).

Summary of RD 339.- Approval of RD 263, RD 285, RD 282, RD 283.- Amendment to Paragraph 2 of Article "Modification of the Plan" suggested by the IFRB's Observer (RD 308 amending the corresponding text in RD 293), who does not believe however that there would be any serious objections on the part of the IFRB if the former text were maintained.- The Delegate of Czechoslovakia thought that the Administrations concerned should have the right to consult one another on the modifications they contemplated without having to notify the IFRB. Notification became necessary only when Administrations agreed to apply the modifications. He proposed retaining the text of RD 293, subject to the use of the words "notify" and "notification" in the sense which is given to them in Article 11 of the Radio Regulations. In all other cases the words "communicate" and "communication" could be used.- The Delegate of the United Kingdom thought it superfluous to introduce the intervention of the Secretary General into the relations of the Administrations with the IFRB, but considered it desirable to mention the rôle of the IFRB in the Convention.- The Soviet Delegate thought such a mention would be out of place. *) - The Committee decided to retain the text in RD 293 subject to the proposed

*) Note of the General Secretariat: RD 339, English text, page 3, third paragraph, second sentence, should read: "It was expedient - he thought - to elude mentioning in the Convention the requirements..." instead of: "It was expedient - he thought - to allude in the Convention to the requirements..."

modifications of terminology.- A resolution would be drafted on the rôle of the Secretary General in the routing of communications to Administrations.- Mr. Busák's oral Report on the meeting of Joint Group 5A - 3B bearing upon the date of entry into force of the Convention and of the Plan (RD 299/MAR 143). The Joint Group considered that the Plan might come into force before the International Frequency List.- The Article was reserved.- Discussion began on the place of deposit of the Acts and on the qualified authority to receive instruments of ratification, accession, and denunciation. The formulae contemplated provided for the deposit of the Acts in the Archives of the Danish Government, which would send to each signatory Government a certified copy. The Committee thought a copy should also be sent to the Secretary General.- The Delegate of the United Kingdom advocated the new formula applied at Atlantic City and consistent with the procedure followed by the United Nations, which empowers the Secretary General to receive ratifications and accessions through the intermediary of the Government of the Country where the Union has its headquarters.- The Soviet Delegate thought the whole procedure should be entrusted to the Danish Government.- Discussion on the possible motives which had induced the Atlantic City Conference to seek a solution affording the Union more security: the Madrid precedent and the breaking off of diplomatic relations with the Franco Government.- The two theses would be submitted to the Plenary Assembly for a final decision.- As to the expert, the Committee agreed that a special Working Group should be invested with the necessary authority for the difficult task of conducting negotiations which had already been pursued unofficially.

19th Meeting Wednesday 8 September 1948 RD 367: See RD 402 (Nº 9) (8th Sess. Pl.Ass.)

Summary of RD 367.- Approval of RD 316.- Consideration of RD 353, draft text, worked out by the special Working Group, of the Article relating to the International Expert Organisation.- Approval of the two first paragraphs.- Lengthy discussion of third paragraph.- The Delegate of the United Kingdom proposed adding at the beginning the words: "In principle, this organisation..." This proposal was rejected by 11 votes against 11. The Delegate of the United Kingdom reserved the right to submit the amendment at a Plenary Meeting.- Paragraph 3 was adopted.- During discussion of paragraph 4, the Delegate of Greece said that the statutes of the expert should be known when Governments were asked to give their approval to his designation.- The French Delegation could only agree to the text if another document stipulated, as a result of quasi-unanimous decision, basic principles of the proposed organisation as well as the necessary procedure for the agreement to be reached. Conversations were at present being held and their results would be brought to the notice of all participating countries in a manner yet to be settled upon.- Statement by the IFRB's Observer, welcoming the creation of an International Expert Organisation.- By show of hands, 14 Delegations voted in favour of 28 as the number of countries which must approve the nomination of the expert. 13 Delegations voted for the number of 27.- The British Delegate reserved the right to revert to the subject in Plenary Meeting.- The Committee approved the 4th and last paragraph of the Article.- The Chairman announced that another Document pertaining to the expert was being prepared.- As regards the date of entry into force, Mr. Busak declared that this would be fixed in Plenary Meeting.- Mr. Faulkner, Chairman of Committee 5, called attention to a difficulty of a practical nature resulting from the impossibility, before the new Frequency List came into force, of placing in the European broadcasting frequency bands stations whose frequencies were at present used by other services.- Mr. Busak said that a solution had been proposed in paragraph 7 of RD 299, and it was for Committee 5 to decide.- The British Delegate considered that the text

on the entry into force should be followed by explicit reference to ratification.-- He withdrew his proposal after a long debate and asked that the essential points of the debate be inserted in the Minutes.-- Resolution on the notification of the Plan as a whole (RD 245). It was decided that the last sentence of the Resolution could be revised at the final Plenary Meeting.-- Opinion of the Committee on a better routing of communications sent to Administrations by the Secretary General.-- Mr. Corteil voiced his appreciation of the able manner in which the Chairman had carried out his task and extended his complements to the Vice-Chairman, the Rapporteurs, the Chairmen and Members of Working Groups.-- The Chairman thanked Mr. Corteil and in his turn paid tribute to all those who participated in the work of the Committee and to the interpreters.-- The work of Committee 3 was completed.

WORKING GROUPS OF COMMITTEE 3

Working Group (designated as "former Working Group" in RD 285)
Working Group (designated as "former Working Group" in RD 285)

Draft texts of certain Articles of the Convention.

Set up on 8 July 1948 - 4th Meeting of Committee 3 - RD 60

Terms of reference : Drawing up of draft texts for the following Articles of the new Convention :

<u>Corresponding Articles :</u>	<u>Lucerne</u>	<u>Montreux</u>
"Revision of the Convention and of the Plan"	4, par. 1 to 3	: 6 to 9
"Modification of the Plan"	5	: 8
"Abrogation of the Convention and of the Plan"	4, par. 4	: 7

Membership : France, Italy, Rep. of Poland, FPR of Yugoslavia, United Kingdom, Czechoslovakia.

Chairman : A. Pennetta (Italy)

Report by the Working Group RD 147

		<u>Discussion</u>	
	<u>in Com. 3</u>	:	<u>in Plen. Assembly</u>
	<u>Docs. RD</u>	:	<u>Docs. RD</u>
Article "Revision of the Convention and Plan"	60,184,187,270,	:	360,402
<u>See also under "Small Group"</u>	263,285,280,	:	
	282	:	
Article "Modification of the Plan"	60,184,187,316	:	360,402
	339	:	
Article "Abrogation of the Convention and Plan"		:	
<u>RD 161</u>	60,187	:	360,402
Yugoslavia. Draft text for Article "Revision of the Convention and Plan"		:	
<u>RD 246</u>	263,285,280,	:	
	282	:	
<u>See also under "Small Drafting Group"</u>		:	

Working Group 3A (designated as "1st Group" in RD 305 - see also under
"Working Group, called 2nd Group")

Organisation and structure.

Set up on 28 July 1948 - 6th Meeting of Committee 3 - RD 187 (See also RD 206)
Terms of reference : Drawing up of draft texts for the following Articles of
the new Convention :

Corresponding Articles

	<u>Lucerne</u>	<u>Montreux</u>
	Preamble	Preamble
	(Convention)	(Convention)
"Preamble"		
"Execution of the Convention and of the Plan"	1, par.1 to 3:	2
"Definitions"	1, " 4 & 5:	1
"Ratification of the Convention"	2 :	3
"Accession to the Convention"	3 :	4
<u>See also under "Small Working Group" and</u>	:	
<u>"Working Group, called 2nd Group"</u>	:	
"Revision of the Convention and Plan"	4, par.1 to 3:	6 and 9
"denunciation of the Convention"	6 :	5
"Application of the International Telecommu-	:	
nication Convention"	12 :	14
"Frequencies for stations of non-signatory	:	
Countries"	par. 9 (Plan):	Chapter II,
	:	par.2 (Plan)

Opinion on the conditions of the Secretary General's interventions in the
application of the Convention and in related questions. RD 283.

Membership : Belgium, Egypt, France, Italy, Morocco and Tunisia, Rep. of Poland,
Portugal, FPR of Yugoslavia, United Kingdom, Switzerland, Czechos-
lovakia, USSR. (for the participation of observers in this Working
Group, see RD 206).

Chairman A. Pennetta (Italy)

Oral Report by the Chairman of Working Group 3A, in RD 270
Report of Working Group 3A. Texts in the 3 languages RD 251

	<u>Discussion</u>	
	<u>in Com.3.</u>	<u>in Plen.Ass.</u>
	<u>Docs.RD</u>	<u>Docs.RD</u>
"Preamble"	270,263	: 360,402
"Implementation of the Convention and Plan"	270,263	: 360,402
"Definitions"	270,263,282	: 360,402
"Ratification of the Convention and Plan"	270,282,283,	: 362,402
	297,339	:
"Accession to the Convention and Plan"	270,283,297,	: 362,402
	305,339	:
"Denunciation of " " "	270,282,339	: 362,402
"Application of the International Telecommuni-	:	
cation Convention"	270,282(See :	
	<u>RD 300)</u>	: Article de-
		: leted

For "Frequencies for stations of non-signatory countries", see RD 282.

Working Group 3B

Technical questions

Set up on 28 July 1948 - 6th Meeting of Committee 3 - RD 187 : See also
RD 206

Terms of reference : Drafting of the following Articles for the new Convention:

<u>Corresponding Articles of:</u>	<u>Lucerne</u>	<u>Montreux</u>
"Notification of frequencies"	7	7 (Plan)
"Quality of emissions"	8	11
"Harmful interference"	10.par.1	12

Membership : Austria, Belgium, France, Hungary, Italy, Netherlands, Rep. of Poland, Portugal, FPR of Yugoslavia, Roumanian PR, United Kingdom, Switzerland, Czechoslovakia, USSR. Besides, Delegates, technicians, representing the same countries on the Technical Committees RD and MAR. Observer : ICAO.
Chairman J. Busak (Czechoslovakia)

Oral Report by the Chairman of Working Group 3B, in RD 270, RD 263
 Agenda and membership of Working Group 3B RD 190
 ICAO. Proposal to modify Article 12, par.2
 (Montreux) RD 209/MAR 107

Texts : RD 245/MAR 119:Corrected in RD 253 See RD 319

	Discussion	
	in Com. 3	: in Plen. Assembly
	Docs. <u>RD</u>	: Docs <u>RD</u>
"Notification of frequencies"	206,270,263, 283,316	: 360,402
"Resolution"	270,263,314, 367	: 402
Annex A : Statement by IFRB Observer (Entry into force of the Convention and Plan, effec- tive date for the new Inter- national Frequency List, notification of frequencies)	283	:
Annex B : Statement by ICAO Observer (Anxiety over a belated entry into force of the Plan)	283	:
Text :	<u>RD 247</u>	:
"General Technical Provisions"	270,263	: 360,362,370,402

Working Group 3C

Financial questions

Set up on 28 July 1948 - 6th Meeting of Committee 3 - RD 187 (See also RD 206)

Terms of reference : Preparation of the draft text for the following Article of the new Convention :

<u>Corresponding Article</u> :	<u>Lucerne</u>	<u>Montreux</u>
"Conference Expenses"	-	10

Membership : Pr of Albania, Denmark, Italy, United Kingdom, USSR,
Chairman : G.R. Parsons (United Kingdom)

Report of Working Group 3C

RD 217 : Adopted at first reading in RD 270

Reproduced in an annex to RD 362

: Considered in RD 362 (6th.Pl. Ass.)

"Conference Expenses"

<u>Discussion</u>		
in Com. 3	:	in Plen. Assembly
Doc. RD	:	Doc. RD
270	:	362, 402

Mixed Working Group 5A - 3B

Date of entry into force of the Convention and of the Plan

Set up on 27 August 1948 - 9th Meeting of Committee 1 - RD 307/MAR 149 (See RD 314)

Terms of reference : Date of entry into force of the Convention, and of the Plan.

Membership : Belgium, Bielorussian SSR, Egypt, France, Italy, Ukrainian SSR, United Kingdom, Switzerland, Czechoslovakia, USSR. Besides, a representative of Committee 4 MAR (See RD 314).
Observers : IFRB, ICAO.

Having considered Doc. RD 299/MAR 143, the Mixed Working Group 5A - 3B published its

Report

RD 315/MAR 153

with 1 annex : Statement by the IFRB Observer : Considered in RD 339, RD 400 (13th Meet.Co.5)

"Entry into force of the Convention"

<u>Discussion</u>		
in Com. 3	:	in Plen. Assemb.
Docs. RD	:	Docs. RD
206, 283, 314,	:	370, 402
339, 367	:	

More detailed information will be found under : Committee 5. "Mixed Working Group 5A-3B"

Small Drafting Group

Set up on 20 August 1948 - 10th Meeting of Committee 3 - RD 285

Terms of reference : Drawing up of a text taking into account suggestion for completing the first paragraph of the Yugoslav Delegate's proposal RD 246 concerning the Article "Revision of the Convention and of the Plan".

Membership : R. Corteil (Belgium), J. Meyer (France), A. Pennetta (Italy),
D. Nikolic (FPR of Yugoslavia), E. Metzler (Switzerland),
J. Busak (Czechoslovakia).

Discussion at 11th Meeting of Committee RD 280

Small Group

Set up on 20 August 1948 - 11th Meeting of Committee 3 - RD 280

Terms of reference : Consideration of the relations of the I.T.U. with countries not Members of the Union and draft text for Paragraph 2 of the Article "Revision of the Convention and of the Plan"

Membership : Egypt, France, Italy, United Kingdom, Czechoslovakia.
Chairman : A. Pennetta (Italy)

Discussion at 12th Meeting of Committee RD 282

Small Working Group

Set up on 23 August 1948 - 12th Meeting of Committee 3 - RD 282

Terms of reference : Consideration of indirect formula of accession through the intermediary of a contracting country. Application of the Convention to non-autonomous territories (RD 282) (The last proposal was dropped by the Small Working Group. See RD 314, 16th Meeting of Committee 3).

Membership : Denmark, Egypt, France Italy, Rep. of Poland, FPR of Yugoslavia, United Kingdom, Switzerland, Czechoslovakia.
Chairman A. Pennetta (Italy)

See also "Working Group, called 2nd Group".

Working Group

(called "2nd Group" in RD 305, Working Group 3A being the 1st Group)

Set up on 25 August 1948 - 14th Meeting of Committee 3 - RD 297

Terms of reference : To reconcile divergent opinions on the draft text of Article "Accession to the Convention".

Membership : Italy, Rep. of Poland, Portugal, Protectorates of Morocco and Tunisia, Switzerland.
Chairman A. Pennetta (Italy)

Oral Report and discussion in RD 305.

Special Working Group (the expert)

Set up on 1st September 1948 - 18th Meeting of Committee 3 - RD 339

Terms of reference : This Group was officially entrusted with negotiations relating to the expert, which had already been pursued unofficially. See RD 187, RD 206.

Membership : G. Pedersen (Denmark), J. Meyer (France), H.W.A. Freese-Pennefather (United Kingdom), M. Arbuzov (USSR).

"International expert organisation"

RD 353 : adopted in RD367

<u>Discussion</u>	
<u>in Com. 3</u>	<u>:: in Plen. Assembly</u>
<u>Doc.RD</u>	<u>: Doc.RD</u>
187,206,339,367:	370,402

XI

COMMITTEE 4 (Technical)

Set up on 28 June 1948 - 1st Session, 1st meeting of Plenary Assembly - RD 26

Terms of reference : Determine the technical bases for the preparation of the Plan. A detailed enumeration of the Committee's duties is given in RD 48, approved and amended in RD 192.

Membership : The 32 countries represented at the European Broadcasting Conference. Observers : United States of America, IFRB, ICAO, International Chamber of Shipping, CIRM, OIR.

Chairman : W. Kessenikh (USSR), until 13 August 1948, then O. Makarov (USSR), (RD 250/MAR 120).

Vice-Chairman : E. Esping (Sweden).

Rapporteurs : B. Shamsha (Ukrainian SSR), H. Anglès d'Auriac (OIR).

Meetings	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th
Doc. <u>RD</u>	148	91	192	193	194	255	311	327	345	405

Programme of Work and Organization

RD 36 : Replaced by RD 48. See
: W.Group "drafting of a
: programme of work".

1st Meeting Monday 5 July 1948

RD 148 : Approved in RD 255. Amended
: RD 153.

2nd Meeting Tuesday 6 July 1948

RD 91 : Approved and amended in
: RD 255

Summary of RD 148 and RD 91.- Consideration of RD 36. Setting up of a Working Group entrusted with the drafting of a new version of RD 36.

Programme of Work and Organization

RD 48 : Replacing RD 36. Amended
: in RD 192. Modified in RD 130.

Proposal submitted by Chairman: Sub-Committee 4 B to give a technical expert opinion on the Plan

RD 49 :

3rd Meeting Wednesday 7 and Thursday 8 July 1948

RD 192 : Approved in RD 327. Consider-
: ed and amended in RD 311
: and RD 27 (Annex I)

Summary of RD 192.- Consideration and approval of RD 48 with two amendments to replace those proposed in RD 130. Nomination of Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Sub-Committees.

Agenda for the 4th Meeting

RD 99 : Supplemented by RD 193

1. Statements by the Chairmen of Sub-Committees 4A, 4B, 4C
2. Consideration of RD 49
3. Consideration of Brussels' Doc. 284.

4th Meeting Thursday 15 and Monday 19 July 1948

RD 193: Approved in RD 311

Summary of RD 193, Thursday 15 July : Request for adding to the Agenda consideration of RD 48 in its completed form, and of RD 100. Statements by Chairmen of Sub-Committees 4A and 4B; divergent opinion on consideration of RD 93 and RD 100.

Monday 19 July : Setting up of working groups : to revise RD 93 for technical analysis of frequency allocations for Germany, as provided for in Brussels' Docs. 279 and 281. Consideration of RD 49 postponed. Study of Annexes 1, 2, 3, 5 & 6 to Brussels' Doc. 284. Setting up of a Working Group to study the propagation curves to be used.

5th Meeting, Thursday 22 July 1948

RD 194: Approved in RD 327.
: Amended in RD 327 (Annex
: II) and in RD 229.

Summary of RD 194.- Consideration and approval of the Sub-Committee 4B Report (RD 137, summing up RD 131 and RD 132). Consideration and approval of Draft Report N° 1 from Committee 4 to the Plenary Assembly. Report N° 1 from Committee 4; Technical analysis of the Final Report of the Committee of Eight countries, RD 143 + RD 137.

Agenda for the 6th Meeting

RD 191: Approved in RD 255

1. Approval of Minutes (RD 148 + RD 153, RD 91)
2. Report by the Chairman of Sub-Committee 4A. Approval of documents submitted by Working Groups of Sub-Committee 4A (RD 163 and Annex to RD 189)
3. Report of Working Group on Propagation (RD 140 replaced by RD 185, later amended in RD 215)

6th Meeting, Saturday 7 August 1948

RD 255: Approved in RD 327

Summary of RD 255 : Items 1 & 2 on the Agenda. Submission of Item 3. Discussion adjourned. Annex : Recommendations approved by Sub-Committee 4A on Field Values at Reception.

Agenda for the 7th Meeting

RD 233: Approved in RD 311

1. Report by the Working Group on Propagation (RD 185 and RD 215; United Kingdom's proposal: RD 238, RD 242 and RD 243).
2. Report by the Chairman of Sub-Committee 4A (RD 204, RD 226, RD 227, RD 228, RD 231)
3. Approval of Minutes (RD 192, RD 193).

7th Meeting, Friday 13 and Wednesday 18 August 1948

RD 311 : Approved and amended
: in RD 405 (pp 1 and 4).
: Amended in RD 336.

Summary of RD 311 : Friday 13 August : Item 1 on Agenda .- Divergent opinion on the F.C.C. and Cairo curves and proposal by the British Delegate to establish a new curve.- Adjournment of discussion.

Wednesday 18 August : Item 3. Confirmation of RD 192 postponed.
Item 2 : Confirmation of RD 204, RD 226, RD 228. Item 1 : Resumption of discussion on methods of field intensity calculation in accordance with Docs. RD 185 and RD 215. Consideration of RD 238 and RD 242 in the absence of the curve the Delegate of the United Kingdom had offered to submit; proposal by the Belgian Delegation for a single mean curve for Europe; proposal of a practical nature by the Delegate of Switzerland; adoption of Docs. RD 185 + RD 197 and RD 215 with the addition to RD 185 proposed by the British Delegate.

Agenda for the 8th Meeting

RD 274 : Approved and amended in
RD 327

1. Approval of Minutes (RD 192, RD 194, RD 255)
2. Approval of Reports from Working Groups of Sub-Committee 4A: RD 266 (Wor.Gr.2: frequency tolerances), RD 265 (Wor.Gr.2: protection of stations working on adjacent channels), RD 227 (Wor.Gr.1: utilization of the secondary zone), RD 231 (Wor.Gr.5: power of transmitting stations).

8th Meeting, Thursday 26 August 1948

RD 327 : Approved and amended
: in RD 405

(with 2 annexes)

Summary of RD 327 : Item 1 on Agenda. Item 2. Oral statement by Chairman of Sub-Committee 4A. New questions addressed by Committee 5 to Committee 4. Adoption of RD 266 and RD 231. Consideration of RD 227 postponed. RD 265 is left to be dealt with by Sub-Committee 4A. Setting up of a Working Group to define the technical role of the expert.

Agenda for the 9th Meeting

RD 318 :

1. Approval of RD 265 and RD 303 (Wor.Gr.2: adjacent channels), including the amendments in RD 296 and taking into account the amendments in RD 344. (RD 303 to be replaced by RD 352 and later by RD 398).
2. Approval of RD 223 (Wor.Gr.4: directional aerials), with amendments in RD 275.
3. Approval of RD 261 (Wor.Gr.3: synchronised network), with amendments in RD 324.
4. Approval of RD 309 (Wor.Gr.: technical role of the expert).

9th Meeting, Thursday 2 September 1948

RD 345 : Approved in RD 405

Summary of RD 345 : Item 4 on Agenda. Item 1. Item 2. Item 3. Setting up of a Working Group to present conclusions regarding the date of the entry into force of the Plan.

Agenda for the 10th Meeting

RD 350 : Approved in

RD 405

1. Confirmation of Minutes (RD 311, RD 327, RD 345)
2. Approval of RD 310 (Wor.Gr.2: separation between adjacent channels), including the amendments in RD 366.
3. Approval of RD 306 (Wor.Gr.5: common national waves and facsimiles), including amendment and observations in RD 366.
4. Approval of RD 351 (Wor.Gr.: date of entry into force of the Plan).
5. Approval of RD 346 (Committee 4 : Final Report).

10th Meeting, Wednesday 8 Sept. 1948 RD 405: See RD 402 (par.9) (8th Sess.Pl.Ass.)

Summary of RD 405: Item 1 on Agenda (amendments to RD 311, pages 5 to 19). Items 2,3,4. Approval of RD 352 (W.Gr.2: adjacent channels) to replace RD 303 and to be replaced by RD 398. Item 5. Adoption of a recommendation on the use of metric waves (see RD 404). (See also RD 199, 3rd Meeting of Sub-Committee 4A)

Documents prepared by the OIR and distributed to delegations.

Accompanying letter RD 45 (6 July 1948)

OIR technical notes :

- CT 186b - Foreword to document CT 186
- CT 186 - Study of certain technical bases usable for drawing up a European frequency allocation plan
- CT 187 - Aerials with increased horizontal radiation so-called anti-fading
- CT 188 - Note on derogations
- CT 189 - Maximum admissible power for broadcasting stations
- CT 190 - Use of synchronised networks
- CT 191 - Frequency tolerances
- CT 192 - Other transmission characteristics
- CT 195 - Experiments relating to interference caused by a transmitter operating on an adjacent channel
- CT 196 - The OIR measurement campaigns
- CT 197 - Direction aerials
- CT 198 - Wave propagation

Continuation of RD 45 RD 113 (19 July 1948)

- CT 181 - Draft plan by the USSR Delegation (Séparation entre canaux: 10 kc/s) +)
- CT 181a - Draft plan by the USSR Delegation (séparation entre canaux: 10 kc/s)
Distance entre stations travaillant sur une fréquence partagée en 2. +)
- CT 181b - Draft plan by the USSR Delegation (Séparation entre canaux: 10 kc/s)
Distance entre stations travaillant sur une fréquence partagée en 3. +)
- CT 182 - Draft plan by the Netherlands Delegation (Séparation entre canaux : 9 kc/s) +)
- CT 182a - Draft plan by the Netherlands Delegation (Séparation entre canaux : 9 kc/s)
Distance entre stations travaillant sur une fréquence partagée en 2. +)
- CT 182b - Draft plan by the Netherlands Delegation (Séparation entre canaux : 9 kc/s)
Distance entre stations travaillant sur une fréquence partagée en 3. +)
- CT 185² - Draft plan by the USSR Delegation - Draft plan by the Netherlands Delegation
- CT 199 - Répartition des fréquences (ondes longues et moyennes) entre les pays de la région européenne. Tableau comparatif +)

+) These documents have not been translated as they are in the form of tables, with indications of proper names and figures.

WORKING GROUPS OF COMMITTEE 4

Working Group

Programme of Work

Set up on 6 July 1948 - 2nd Meeting of Committee 4 - RD 91

Terms of reference : Drafting of a new version of RD 36

Membership: Chairman : W. Kessenikh, Vice-Chairman : E. Esping
Rapporteurs : B. Shamsha ((Ukrainian SSR) and H. Anglès
d'Auriac (OIR) of Committee 4.

Programme of work and organization RD 48 (replacing RD 36) : Approved and
: amended in
: RD 192 .
: RD 130 is
: cancelled.

Working Group

Revision of RD 93.

(see also Sub-Committee 4B)

Set up on 19 July 1948 - 4th Meeting of Committee 4 - RD 193

Terms of reference : Revision of RD 93 (Recommendations to Committee 5)

Membership : R. Henderick (Belgium), V. Popovic (FRP of Yugoslavia),
B. Shamsha (Ukrainian SSR), P.W. Fryer (United Kingdom),
Tashin Armay (Turkey).

Chairman : V. Popovic (FPR of Yugoslavia).

Draft recommendations to Committee 5 (proposal made to Sub-Committee 4B)
RD 131 (amending RD 93) :
Reconsidered in RD 137.
Amended in RD 138 : Considered in
RD 194 .

Working Group on

"Frequency allocations for Germany "

Set up on 19 July 1948 4th Meeting of Committee 4 - RD 193

Terms of reference : Technical analysis of frequency allocations for Germany,
as specified in Variants 279 and 281 of Brussels.

Membership : C. Mercier (France), M. Flissak (Rep. of Poland), W.J.Chalk
(United Kingdom), Mrs Gelesova (USSR).

Chairman : C. Mercier (France).

Report

RD 132 : Reconsidered in RD 137 considered
in RD 194. Considered RD 167,
RD 182 (3rd Sess.Pl.Ass.)

Working Group on
"Propagation"

Set up on 19 July 1948 4th Meeting of Committee 4 - RD 193

Terms of Reference : Study of data on propagation.

Membership : Chairman W. Kessenikh (USSR), Vice-Chairman E. Esping (Sweden)
of Committee 4, G. Pedersen (Denmark), L. Sponzilli (Italy),
N.H. Roberts (IFRB), H. Angled d'Auriac (OIR).

United Kingdom Statement
Recommendations from the W. Group on
wave propagation

RD 79

RD185 (cancels RD 140): Considered in
: RD 355, RD311.
: Amended in
: RD197, RD 215.

United Kingdom Proposal

RD195 : Considered in RD 311

United Kingdom Proposal

RD238 + RD 242 + RD 243: Considered
in RD 311

Working Group on
"Technical role of expert"

Set up on 26 August 1948 - 8th Meeting of Committee 4 - RD 327

Terms of reference : Drawing up of a list of technical tasks to be entrusted
to the expert.

Membership : I.P. Likouchine (Bielorussian SSR), C. Mercier (France),
G. Kodolanyi (Hungary), L. Sponzilli (Italy), E. Gross (Roumanian PR), Sir Noel Ashbridge (United Kingdom), R. Junod (Switzerland). The OIR was authorized to attend the Meetings of this Working Group.
Chairman : C. Mercier (France)

Report

RD 309 : Approved and amended in
: RD 345

Working Group on

"Date of entry into force of the Plan"

(This question was likewise considered by Committees 3 & 5)

Set up on 2 September 1948 - 9th Meeting of Committee 4 - RD 345

Terms of reference : Proposal concerning the date of entry into force of the
Plan taking into account technical possibilities.

Membership : P. Kito (PR of Albania), G. Hansen (Belgium) I.P. Likouchine (Bielorussian SSR), C. Mercier (France), P.W. Fryer (United Kingdom), E. Esping (Sweden), M. Kokonine (USSR).
Chairman : I.P. Likouchine (Bielorussian SSR)

Report

RD 351 : Considered and amended in
RD 405

SUB-COMMITTEE 4 A (Supplementary Technical Standards)

Set up on 8 July 1948 following the adoption of RD 48 revised - 3rd Meeting of Committee 4 RD 192.

Terms of reference : The working out of supplementary technical standards, in accordance with RD 48, Section I, para.c).

Membership : The 32 countries represented at the European Broadcasting Conference, except Monaco, Morocco and Tunisia and the Ukrainian SSR.

Chairman : L.Sponzilli (Italy), Vice-Chairman : E.Gross (Roumanian PR)

Observer : OIR.

Rapporteur : C.Mercier (France).

1st Meeting Thursday 22 July 1948 RD 155 : Approved in RD 199

Summary of RD 155 : Roll call. Discussion on the "Minimum field for satisfactory reception". Appointment of a Working Group to study field values to be protected.

2nd Meeting Thursday 29 July 1948 RD 189

(with 1 annex) : Approved and amended in RD 240

Summary of RD 189. - Agreement reached on the general standards proposed by the Working Group "Field Values on Reception" in RD 163. Discussion of special cases. Setting up of Working Group 2. Annex : Recommendations approved by Sub-Committee 4 A on Field Values at Reception. (The wording of the paragraph "special cases" to be revised in RD 199).

3rd Meeting Thursday 5 August 1948 RD 199 : Approved in RD 240

Summary of RD 199 : Approval of RD 155. Discussion of paragraph on "special cases" in Annex to RD 189; the Chairman to prepare new text in consultation with Mr. van der Pol as regards para 14 of RD 48 (metric waves). Maximum power for synchronised networks. Appointment of five Working Groups under Sub-Committee 4 A (See RD 196).

4th Meeting Thursday 12 August 1948 RD 240 : Approved in RD 275

Summary of RD 240 : Approval of RD 189 & RD 199. Discussion and approval (except by the Delegate of Egypt) of RD 204 (Wor.Gr.2 : Shared Frequencies). Exchange of opinion on RD 226 (Wor.Gr.1 : Calculation of Field Strength of Interference from Radiostations working on a Shared Channel).

5th Meeting Friday 13 August 1948 RD 241 : Approved and amended in RD 275

Summary of RD 241 : Approval of RD 226. Consideration of RD 227 (Wor.Gr.1 : Utilization of the secondary zone), and RD 231 (Wor.Gr.5 : power of transmitters). Approval of RD 228 (Wor.Gr.1 : Day and Night time conditions).

84. Committee 4

Agenda for the 6th Meeting prepared at the end of the 5th Meeting RD 241

Study of Reports of Working Groups 4, 5, and possibly 2.

6th Meeting Friday 20 August 1948 RD 275 : Approved in RD 344

Summary of RD 275: Approval of RD 240 and RD 241. Discussion and approval of RD 231. Discussion and approval of RD 223 (Wor.Gr.4 : Directional aeriels).

Agenda for the 7th Meeting prepared at the end of the 6th Meeting RD 275

Study of Reports of Working Groups 2 and 3.

7th Meeting Wednesday 25 August 1948 RD 296 : Approved in RD 344. RD 296 has
: 1 Annex : RD 303
: replaced by RD 352 (protection
: of adjacent channels), RD 352
: was approved in RD 405.

Summary of RD 296 : Discussion on RD 261, then postponement of its consideration (Wor.Gr.3 : synchronisation). Approval of RD 266 (Wor.Gr.2 : frequency tolerance). Consideration of RD 265 (Wor.Gr.2 : protection of adjacent channels).

8th Meeting Wednesday 1st September 1948 RD 344 : Approved in RD 366

Summary of RD 344. - Consideration of RD 261. Working Group 3 enlarged to re-examine its recommendation on RD 261. Consideration of RD 265 and RD 303. Brief discussion on RD 227 (Wor.Gr.1 : utilization of the secondary zone).

9th Meeting Wednesday 8 September 1948 RD 366 : See RD 402 (para.9)
: (8th Sess.Pl.Ass.)

Summary of RD 366. - Approval of RD 310 (Wor.Gr.2 : separation between adjacent channels). Approval of RD 306 (Wor.Gr.5 : transmission of facsimiles). RD 227 being no more of interest to the Conference, was not examined; the question moreover had already been partly dealt with in RD 163.

WORKING GROUPS OF SUB-COMMITTEE 4 A

Working Group on "Field Values on Reception"

Set up on 22 July 1948 - 1st Meeting of Sub-Committee 4 A - RD 155

Terms of reference : Drawing up a conspectus of recommendations relating to the use of values lower than 3 mv/m in rural areas or in areas where the noise level is lower. (Sub-Committee 4 A recommended the acceptance of a value of 3 mv/m as the upper limit of the field to be protected in the Direct Zone).

Membership : I.P.Likoushine (Bielorussian SSR), S.Andersen (Denmark), C.Mercier (France), T.J.Monaghan (Ireland), G.Briem (Iceland), E.Gross (Roumanian P.R.), H.Anglès d'Auriac (OIR).

Chairman : E.Gross (Roumanian P.R.)

Note. - This Group, after extension of its terms of reference (see below) became Group 2.

Report

Supplement to RD 163, Documentation

RD 163 : Considered and amended in RD 189,

RD 222 : The annex to RD 189 contains recommendations adopted by Sub-Committee 4 A. This annex has been considered in RD 199.

Membership and terms of reference of Working Groups 1 to 5 (Sub-Committee 4A) RD 196 :
: Approved in RD 199. Amended in
: RD 240 (addition of Czechoslovakia
: to W.Gr.4), and in RD 344 (addition of Bielorussian SSR to W.Gr.3).

Working Group 1 (Sub-Com.4A)

Set up on 5 August 1948 - 3rd Meeting of Sub-Committee 4A - RD 199

Terms of reference : I. Utilization of the Secondary zone RD 48 1 c) Item 11
II. Distinction between frequencies in use for day and night time RD 48 1 c) Item 12
III. Calculation of the field strength of interference from radiostations working on a shared channel.

Membership : L.Sponzilli (Italy), E.Esping (Sweden), W.Kessenikh (USSR), N.H.Roberts (IFRB), H.Anglès d'Auriac (OIR).

Chairman : W.Kessenikh (URSS)

- I. Utilization of the secondary zone RD 227 : Considered in RD 241,
and RD 366 (Sub-Co 4 A)
- II. Daytime conditions of radio reception
on medium and long waves RD 228 : Approved in RD 241 (Sub-Co 4A)
: Approved and amended in
: RD 311 (Com.4)
- III. Calculation of the field strength of
interference from radio-stations
working on a shared channel RD 226 : Considered in RD 240.
: Approved in RD 241 (Sub-Co.4A)
: Considered and approved in
: RD 311 (Com.4)

Working Group 2 (Sub.Com.4A)

(See also above : Working Group on "Field values on Reception")

Set up on 29 July 1948 - 2nd Meeting of Sub-Committee 4A - RD 189

- Terms of reference :
- I. Protection ratios in the case of shared frequencies
 - II. Separation between adjacent channels RD 48 1 c) Item 4
 - III. Frequency tolerances for exclusive and shared frequencies RD 48 1 c) Item 5
- Possible difference in frequencies for transmitters using
the same channel

Membership : C. Marcier (France), E. Gross (Roumanian FR), P.W. Fryer (United Kingdom), E. Esping (Sweden), W. Kessenikh (USSR), I.P. Likoushine (Bielo-russian SSR) acting as substitute for W. Kessenikh at the 3rd and 4th Meetings, H. Anglès d'Auriac (OIR).

Chairman : E. Gross (Roumanian FR)

I. Protection ratios:

- Case of shared frequencies: RD 204 : Considered and approved in
: RD 240 (Sub-Committee 4 A)
: Approved in RD 311 (Com.4)

Supplement to RD 204 : Documentation examined
by Wor. Gr. RD 313

Belgium : Attempts to combine programmes RD 181;

Case of adjacent channels:

France, Observation

Report of Working Group 2

Basic text submitted by the O.I.R.
and amended by the Delegations of
France and the United Kingdom

- RD 142:
RD 265(+RD 277): Considered and amended in
: RD 296 and RD 344 (Sub-Com.4A),
RD 327, RD 345 (Com.4)
- RD 303 : Considered and amended in
: RD 344 (Sub-Committee 4A),
: RD 345 (Com.4)
: Approved in RD 405

RD 303 amended

RD 352 amended

RD 352 : (Co.4)

RD 398 :

II. Separation between adjacent channels :

United Kingdom. Statement RD 85 :
 Report of Working Group 2 RD 310: Considered and amended in
 : RD 366 (Sub-Committee 4A)
 : Approved in RD 405

III. Frequency tolerance :

Report of Working Group 2 RD 266: Approved in RD 296
 : (Sub-Co.4A), RD 327 (Com.4)

Working Group 3 (Sub-Co.4A)

Set up on 5 August 1948 - 3rd Meeting of Sub-Committee 4A - RD 199

Terms of reference : Directives and recommendations concerning technical principles with regard to the synchronisation of groups of national broadcasting stations. RD 48 I c) para 3.

Membership : France, Italy, United Kingdom, Czechoslovakia, OIR.
 The Delegate of the Bielorussian SSR was joined the members of the Working Group (8th Meeting of Sub-Committee 4A - RD 344) entrusted with the re-consideration of RD 261.
Chairman : T. Ehrlich (Czechoslovakia)

United Kingdom. Synchronisation of transmitters RD 73
 Report of Working Group 3 RD 261 : Considered and amended in
 : RD 296 and RD 344
 : (Sub-Com.4A).
 : Approved in
 : RD 345 (Com.4)
 : Approved, with
 : RD 261,
 : in RD 345 (Com.4)

Amendment to RD 261

RD 324

Working Group 4 (Sub-Com.4A)

Set up on 5 August 1948 - 3rd Meeting of Sub-Committee 4A - RD 199

Terms of reference : Directives and recommendations concerning directional aerials RD 48 I c) Item 2

Membership : Finland, Switzerland, Czechoslovakia, OIR.

Chairman : J. Rissanen (Finland)

United Kingdom. Utilization of directional aerials RD 110
 Report of Working Group 4 RD 223 : Amended and
 : approved in
 : RD 275 (Sub-Com.4A)
 : Approved in
 : RD-345 (Com.4)
 Two amendments to RD 223 RD 239 : The first amendment
 : was cancelled
 : in RD 275
 : (Sub-Co.4A)

Observations by Working Group 4 on the calculation of a directional aerial diagram, submitted by the French Delegation

RD 230 :

Working Group 5 (Sub-Com.4A)

Set up on 5 August 1948 - 3rd Meeting of Sub-Committee 4A - RD 199

Terms of reference : I. Power limitation in the long wave band RD 48 I c)
Item 7

II. Characteristics of International
common frequencies RD 48 I c)
Item 9

III. Possible limitation of power in the
utilization of shared medium waves RD 48 I c)
Item 10

IV. Possible differentiation of the maximum
power when utilizing medium frequencies RD 48 I c)
Item 13

Membership : G. Hansen (Belgium), A. Marinov (Bulgarian PR), J. Dahlin
(Norway), B. Shamsha (Ukrainian SSR), A. Badalov (USSR).
Chairman : B. Shamsha (Ukrainian SSR)

Report of Working Group 5 RD 231 : Considered in RD 241
: Approved in RD 275 (Sub-Co.4A).
: Approved in RD 327 (Co.4)

Supplementary terms of reference (see RD 327 - Com.4)

V. Possibility of utilising in the Plan common national
waves and limitation of power of stations using these
waves.

VI. Possibility of using frequencies, allotted in the
Plan to broadcasting stations, for transmission of
facsimiles.

Report of Working Group 5 RD 306 : Approved and amended in RD 366
: (Sub-Co.4A).
: Approved in RD 405 (Co.4)

SUB-COMMITTEE 4 B (Technical Analysis)

Set up on 8 July 1948 upon approval of RD 48 revised - 3rd Meeting of
Committee 4 RD 192

Terms of reference : Technical analysis of the documentation submitted by
the Committee of eight countries, in Brussels.

Membership : I.P. Likoushine (Bielorussian SSR), A. Marinov (PR of Bulgarian)
P.W. Fryer (United Kingdom), R. Junod (Switzerland)
Chairman : I.P. Likoushine (Bielorussian SSR)
Vice-Chairman : R. Junod (Switzerland)

Comment on the two preliminary drafts of the Plan
and on the Report of the Committee of Eight countries,
Brussels (Documents 279, 281 and 284 of Brussels).

Request from the Chairman of the European Broadcasting
Conference RD 33
Analytical Table of contents of the Brussels
documents RD 53

Answers given by countries :

	<u>Doc.RD</u>		<u>Doc.RD</u>
1. PR of Albania	136,214	21. Rep.of Poland	123, 162
2. Austria	115	22. Portugal	164
3. Belgium	108,181	23. Morocco and Tunisia	69
4. Bielorussian SSR	160	24. FPR of Yugoslavia	133, 175
5. PR of Bulgaria	98	25. Roumanian PR	121
6. Vatican City	96	26. Ukrainian SSR	159
7. Denmark	89	27. United Kingdom	38,65,208, 365
8. Egypt	78	28. Sweden	107,298
9. Finland	88	29. Switzerland	72
10. France	51	30. Syria (with Egypt 78)	
11. Greece	97,326	31. Czechoslovakia	106,114
12. Hungary	105	32. Turkey	32,83
13. Ireland	80	33. (USSR) - RSFSR	158
14. Iceland	59,66	- Estonian SSR	125
15. Italy	82,120	- Latvian SSR	126
16. Lebanon	67	- Lithuanian SSR	127
17. Luxemburg	90	- Finno-Karelian SSR	128
18. Monaco	74	- Moldavian SSR	129
19. Norway	81		
20. Netherlands	71	34. United States of America	87

Table N° 1. Number of frequencies according to Docs. 279 and 281
(Brussels) RD 64

Table N° 2. Exclusive frequencies according to Docs. 279 and 281
(Brussels) RD 68 ; Amend. RD 77

Table N° 3. Quality of exclusive channels within
the reach of medium waves RD 84

Recommendations to Committee 5 RD 93 : Discussed in RD 193 & RD 194
: See below RD 131 .

Belgium, Morocco & Tunisia,
Switzerland Draft resolution RD 100 : Discussed in RD 193 & RD 194
: See below RD 131.

Working Group "To revise RD 93"

(see : Working Groups of
Committee 4). Draft recommendations
to Committee 5 (proposal made to
Sub-Committee 4B)

RD 131 : Amended in RD 138. Resumed
: in RD 137, considered
: in RD 194.

United Kingdom. Analysis of Medium Wave Allocation according to Docs.279 & 281 (Brussels)

RD 94 :

RD 94 replaced by

RD 116:

United Kingdom. Analysis of Long Wave Allocation according to Docs.279 & 281 (Brussels)

RD 103:

Report of Sub-Committee 4B

RD 137: Amended and
: approved in
: RD 194
: RD 137 is
: annexed to
: RD 143, and,
: together, they
: constitute Re-
: port N°1 of
: Committee 4
: (See RD 194)

SUB-COMMITTEE 4 C "Technical drafting"

Set up on 8 July 1948 upon approval of RD 48 revised - 3rd Meeting of Committee 4 RD 192

Terms of reference : Technical drafting of documents after their contents have been confirmed at a Plenary Meeting of Committee 4

Membership : H. Kalita (Rep. of Poland), P. Schaeffer (French Protectorates of Morocco and Tunisia), assisted (RD 193) by J.P. Likoushine (Bielorussian SSR) and R. Junod (Switzerland), Chairman and Vice-Chairman of Sub-Committee 4B, and by P.W. Fryer (United Kingdom).

Chairman : H. Kalita (Rep. of Poland)

Vice-Chairman : P. Schaeffer (French Protectorates of Morocco and Tunisia)

Report N° 1

RD 143 + RD 137 (See : Sub-Committee B)

Final Report

RD 346 : Approved in RD 405.

1948 - 1st Session of Plenary Assembly - RD 26
: Draw up a Frequency Plan and discuss the date of its entry into force.

Membership : The 32 countries represented at the European Broadcasting Conference. Observers : United States of America, IFRB, ICAO, International Chamber of Shipping, CIRM, OIR.
Chairman : H. Faulkner (United Kingdom), Vice-Chairman : V. Popovic (FPR of Yugoslavia).
Reporters : W.J. Chalk (United Kingdom), E. Gross (Roumanian PR).

<u>Meetings :</u>	<u>1st</u>	<u>2nd</u>	<u>3rd</u>	<u>4th</u>	<u>5th</u>	<u>6th</u>	<u>7th</u>	<u>8th</u>	<u>9th</u>	<u>10th</u>	<u>11th</u>
<u>Docs. RD</u>	92	141	252	257	258	328	329	340	358	359	384
	<u>12th</u>	<u>13th</u>	<u>14th</u>	<u>15th</u>							
	399	400	406	407							

Agenda for the 2nd Meeting

1. Approval of the Minutes of the First Meeting (RD 92)
2. Organisation of the work of the Committee.
3. Other business.

2nd Meeting Friday 16 July 1948 RD 141 : Approved and amended in
RD 252

Summary of RD 141 : Item 1 on Agenda. Item 2 : Setting up of groups 1 and 2.
Proposal to give directives to groups 1 and 2.

Greece, Morocco and Tunisia (draft recommendation)	<u>RD 111</u>
Draft directives for W. Groups 1 and 2	<u>RD 171</u> : Considered and amended in RD 252.

Draft Agenda for the week commencing 2nd August 1948 RD 172

1. Report by Chairman : a) on the work of Groups 1 and 2, b) calling attention to the acceptance of the Record of Committee 4 by the Plenary Assembly.
2. To report request given on behalf of the Committee by the Chairman to the Joint Working Group RD/MAR, (RD 165/MAR 85).
3. Study of the frequency band 225-285 kc/s (paras.120-124 of Atl.City Radio Reg. and RD 168).
4. Proposed alphabetical list of countries (RD 166).
5. Allocation of frequencies to the Zones of Germany (RD 182 and RD 179).
6. Directives to Groups 1 and 2 (RD 171).

3rd Meeting Tuesday 3 August 1948 RD 252 : Approved in RD 328

Summary of RD 252 : Approval of RD 141. Specification of the tasks of Groups 1 and 2. Items 1 and 2 on the Agenda. Item 3: Setting up of Group 3; Item 4 : Postponement until a later meeting. Item 5 : Referred to Group 1. Item 6 : Discussion on RD 171.

Draft amendment to RD 171 Unnumbered Doc.: Considered and amended in RD 257.

4th Meeting Thursday 5 August 1948 RD 257 : Approved in RD 358

Summary of RD 257 : Item 4 : To be referred to the Plenary Assembly. Item 6 : Discussion on Draft Amendment to RD 171 (Unnumbered Doc.) distributed at the Meeting.

Greece (draft amendment to <u>RD 171</u>)	<u>RD 200</u> : Considered in <u>RD 258</u>
Lucerne and Montreux texts	<u>RD 211</u> :
Draft directives (to replace <u>RD 171</u>)	<u>RD 221</u> : Considered and amended in <u>RD 258</u> , <u>RD 328</u> .

Agenda for the 5th Meeting RD 225

1. Chairman's opening remarks
2. Approval of Minutes (RD 252, RD 257)
3. Directives to Groups 1 and 2 (RD 171, RD 221, RD 200)
4. Preparation of the Preamble to the Plan.
5. Allocation of frequencies above 1500 kc/s (Annexes 8 and 9 to Doc.284, Brussels).

5th Meeting Thursday 12 August 1948 RD 258 : Approved in RD 358

Summary of RD 258 : Item 1 on the Agenda. Item 2 postponed. Work accomplished by Groups 1 and 2. Item 4 : Setting up of a Sub-Committee; Item 3: Discussion. New texts suggested. Special case of Vatican City. Statement by the Chairman of Group 3. Decision to call a joint meeting of Groups 2 and 3, to find a solution to the problem of allocation of 272 kc/s to Oslo or Kalundborg.

Agenda for the 6th Meeting RD 272 : with a supplementary Item 6 decided at the Meeting (No. 12 of Minutes);

1. Confirmation of Minutes (RD 252, RD 257, RD 258)
2. Chairman's report on the working to date of Groups 1 and 2.
3. Derogations in the maritime service bands 405-490 and 510-525 kc/s (Para. 138 of Atlantic City Docs. and RD 264).
4. Derogations in the mixed band 255-285 kc/s, RD 267 by the joint meeting of Groups 2 and 3.
5. Directives to Groups 1 and 2, approval of RD 221.

Note: It is proposed from the Chair to complete RD 221 by the following paragraph (amended at the Meeting):

"The other factors for assessing the relative needs of the different countries, which can only be taken into account in a general way,

have been discussed in the meetings of Committee 5. Since it has not been found possible to reach agreement on these questions, reference should be made to the Minutes of Committee 5 in order to deal with each particular case on its merits."

6. Derogations in the Aeronautical Bands 325-365 and 395-405 kc/s, RD 269 of the joint meeting of Groups 2 and 3.

6th Meeting Tuesday and Wednesday 25 August 1948 RD 328 : See RD 402 (par.9)
: (8th Meet.Pl.Ass.)
: Amended in RD 407

Summary of RD 328 : Item 1 on Agenda, postponed. Item 2. The Chairman stated that a small "Planning Group" had started work on the 19th of August. Item 3. Short discussion. Item 4. Discussion. Item 1. RD 252 confirmed. RD 257 and RD 258 - confirmation postponed on account of the Russian text having been published too late. Item 3. Statement by Chairman of Mixed Group RD/MAR and discussion. Search of a compromise. Item 4. Statements by countries concerned and discussion. Item 5. The addition in RD 272 was adopted. Statement by Mr. Holmblad on the duration of the Conference. - Item 4. Search of a solution. Setting up of a small working group to investigate the possibility of derogations for aeronautical services below 250 kc/s, should Oslo stay in the aeronautical band 255-285 kc/s. Item 6. Discussion on RD 269.

7th Meeting Friday 27 August 1948 RD 329 : Approved and amended in RD 407

Summary of RD 329 : Discussion on RD 288 (possible derogations for aeronautical services on condition retaining Oslo on 272 kc/s). What are the means of protecting stations in derogation? Was a special agreement possible? The case of National Defence Services. No solution found. - Discussion on RD 269 (derogations in the aeronautical bands 325-365 and 395-405 kc/s).

8th Meeting Monday 30 August 1948 RD 340 : Confirmed and amended in RD 407

Summary of RD 340 : Chairman's statement on the work accomplished by the Planning Group and discussion. Request to countries able to do so, to reduce their requirements. Other solutions suggested. Next Thursday fixed for Planning Group to produce a Plan. Delegations interviewed on the subject of cuts in allocations and reduced protection standards for some stations.

Agenda for the 9th Meeting RD 325

1. Approval of Minutes (RD 257, RD 258)
2. Statement by the Chairman.
3. Introduction by the Chairman of the Planning Group of Variant No.1.
4. Observations by Delegates on Variant No.1.

[illegible]

Summary of RD 358 : Items 1, 2 & 3 on the Agenda. Item 4. Reading of state-
ments by the nine delegations signatory to RD 330. Statements by delegates of:
Italy (appendix 1), Ireland (app.2), Portugal, Switzerland, Egypt and Syria
(app.3), Norway (app.4), Iceland (app.5), Denmark, Austria, Sweden, the Rep.
of Poland, Belgium (app.6), the Roumanian PR (app.7), and Finland. The Chairman
requested each Delegation to submit their statements to the Planning Group in
writing. Discussion on RD 330. The Delegate of the USSR proposed that Variant
No1 should be accepted as a basis for discussion. Statement by the Delegate
of the PR of Albania (appendix 8). Disadvantages of the Conference continuing
after the 10th of September.

Agenda for the 10th Meeting RD 337

1. Approval of Minutes (RD 328)
2. Derogations in the maritime bands 415-485 and 515-525 kc/s.

10th Meeting Saturday 4 September 1948 RD 359 : Approved in RD 407

Summary of RD 359 : Item 1 on the Agenda, postponed.- Item 2. Letter from the Chairman of Committee 4 of the Maritime Conference on derogations not encountered by the Planning Group whose recommendations on maintaining Ostersund (Sweden), Ulu (Finland) and Hamar (Norway) in derogation were adopted on certain conditions. Attention called to standards accepted by the Joint Committee RD/MAR, Doc. RD 342/MAR 168 and to the request of the USSR Delegation for two frequencies each in the bands 150-160 kc/s, and 255-285 kc/s.

[illegible]

Summary of RD 384 : Submission of Variant No.2 (RD 347) and Delegates' observations. Point of view of each member of the Planning Group. The Long Wave Plan would be produced at the same time as Variant 3. The Delegates of Portugal, Italy, the Roumanian PR, Austria and Turkey to be heard by the Planning Group.

[illegible]

Summary of RD 399 : Consideration of Preamble to the Plan (RD 349) prepared by Sub-Committee 5A.

Agenda for the 13th Meeting RD 368

1. Variant No. 3 (RD 369)
2. Date of entry into force of the Plan (RD 299, RD 315, RD 319).

13th Meeting Thursday 9 September 1948

RD 400 : See RD 402 (Para.9)
(8th Sess.Pl.Ass.)

Summary of RD 400 : Item 2 on the Agenda and discussion. The Delegate of the Netherlands was requested to draft a resolution asking the P.F.B. to take whatever action they could to promote early implementation of the Plan. It was decided by 15 votes to 11 that the Plan would come into force on 15 March 1950. Item 1. Variant 3 submitted. Observation by Delegates.

Draft Resolution for the P.F.B. (date of entry into force of the Plan)

RD 373 : Considered in RD 407
(see RD 390)

14th Meeting Friday 10 1948 RD 406 : See RD 402 (Para.9)
(with annexes) (8th Sess.Pl.Ass.)

Summary of RD 406 : Consideration of the 8 kc/s annex to Variant N° 3 (RD 369) and remarks by the Delegates. - Consideration of the three Variants for the Long Wave Plan (RD 374) and remarks by the Delegates. By 13 votes to 10, it was decided that the 272 kc/s frequency would not be allotted to Oslo. 272 kc/s to Prague ? 12 for, 9 against, 10 abstentions, 1 absent, Prague was assigned the 272 kc/s frequency, and Braslov 155 kc/s. Consideration of medium, wave band in Variant N° 3 (RD 369) and discussion. By a show of hands it was agreed by 12 votes to 1 to enable the Planning Group, if necessary, to use an 8 kc/s spacing in a small section of the band.

32 Annexes : 1. Belgium, 2. PR of Albania, 3. Austria, 4 & 5. Belgium, 6. Bielorussian SSR, 7. PR of Bulgaria, 8. Vatican City, 9. Denmark, 10. Egypt and Syria, 11. Finland, 12. Greece, 13. Hungary, 14. Iceland, 15. Ireland, 16. Italy, 17 and 18. Luxemburg, 19. Monaco, 20. Norway, 21. Netherlands, 22. R. of Poland, 23. Portugal, 24. PR of Roumania, 25. Ukrainian SSR, 26. Sweden, 27. Switzerland, 28. Turkey, 29. S. Marino, 30. United States of America, 31. ICAO. 32. Notes on interviews with Delegates as a result of the recommendations made at Committee 5 : Ireland, Netherlands, Switzerland, Italy, Egypt, Norway, Turkey and Roumanian PR.

Time Table for 11, 12 and 13 September 1948

RD 376 : Amended in RD 407

Agenda for the 15th Meeting RD 377

1. Approval of Minutes (RD 328, RD 329, RD 340, RD 358, RD 359)
2. Date of entry into force of the Plan and the Frequency List. (Draft resolution for the P.F.B. RD 373).
3. Derogations in aeronautical bands (Draft resolution RD 334)
4. The Copenhagen Plan (RD 379). - Proposal on the Swiss low power transmitters (RD 380*) - Proposal concerning the zones of occupation (RD 381*)).
5. Draft Amendment to the Plan (RD 385).

*) Tractandum added at Meeting.

15th Meeting Monday 13/Tuesday 14 September 1948 RD 407 + RD 395 : See RD 402
RD 395 is the statement of the Delegate : (Para.9)
 of Turkey, made at the Meeting. : (8th Sess.
 Pl.Ass.)

Summary of RD 407. - Item 1 on Agenda. Several amendments to RD 328, RD 358,
Confirmation of RD 329, RD 340, RD 359. Item 2. Discussion of and amend-
 ments to RD 373 (see RD 390) for submission to Plenary Assembly. - Item 3.
RD 334 to be considered a recommendation; the exact text should be prepared
 by the Drafting Committee in collaboration with the Delegates of the Nether-
 lands and of the USSR (see RD 390). - Item 4. The Chairman introduced the
 Copenhagen Plan (RD 379); he mentioned the technical difficulties over the
 band 1500-1600 kc/s, as very few receivers could be tuned over that band; he
 suggested calling a conference of technicians to solve this difficulty, if
 possible before the Plan came into force. - Discussion on RD 380. The Dele-
 gate of Switzerland accepted the limitation of power to 150 watts to be
 inserted in the text. - RD 381 to be discussed by the Plenary Assembly. -
Item 5. The Chairman of the Planning Group gave statistical data on RD 379.
 Statement by the Delegate of Egypt and Syria on the disappearance of Group
 Nol, set up to study the requirements of the countries, and on the methods
 used by the Planning Group in taking up both tasks - the study of requirements
 and the preparation of the Plan. Statement by the Delegate of Turkey (RD 395).
 Statement by the Delegates of Iceland, Vatican City, Italy and Czechoslovakia.
 Discussion on RD 385. Statements by the Delegates of Belgium, Sweden, Aus-
 tria, Portugal, the USSR, by the United States Observer, by the Delegates
 of Switzerland, the Netherlands and Italy. It was specified that the utili-
 sation of channels by day, not referred to in the Plan, could be decided by
 bilateral agreements. Discussion on : channels 40 and 57, the note on the
 low power Swiss Groups, the stations in the French Synchronised Groups, the
 station of Ostrava, the Vatican City Delegate's request for "daytime" fre-
 quencies. It was decided that there would be no objection to any arrange-
 ment that Delegate could make.

Texts : Recommendation on derogations.
 Resolution on the date of entry into force
 of the Plan (Request addressed to the P.F.B.)

RD 390 : Considered and amended
 in : RD 403
 (9th Sess.Pl.Ass.)

WORKING GROUPS OF COMMITTEE 5

This summary has been drawn up in the order of setting up of the Groups, to facilitate the following of discussions.

Working Group 1

Set up on 16 July 1948 - 2nd Meeting of Committee 5 - RD 141

Terms of reference : An assessment of the approximate number and quality of the frequencies needed to meet the broadcasting requirements of each country.

Membership : G. Pedersen (Denmark), E. Esping (Sweden), substitute for G. Pedersen, V. Popovic (FPR of Yugoslavia), H. Faulkner (United Kingdom), O. Makarov (USSR).

Chairman : H. Faulkner (United Kingdom)

Allocation of frequencies to Germany

Proposals : France, USSR, Rep. of Poland, Morocco and Tunisia RD 150 :

Proposal : France - United Kingdom RD 179 :
Considered in
RD 252

Directives

Directive n°1

RD 145

Directive n°2

RD 146

Working Group 2

Set up on 16 July 1948 - 2nd Meeting of Committee 5 - RD 141

Terms of reference : Preparation of a Plan to meet the broadcasting requirements of each country.

Membership : L. Daumard (France), L.W. Hayes (United Kingdom), M. Zahradnicek (Czechoslovakia), Mrs Gelesova (USSR).

Chairman : L.W. Hayes (United Kingdom)

Report on the List of countries

RD 166 : Considered in

RD 252

Note on 263, 281 and 272 kc/s

RD 168 : Considered and

amended in :

RD 252

United Kingdom . List of countries

RD 207 : Considered in

RD 257

Planning Group

See 6th and 8th Meetings of Committee 5 - RD 328 and RD 340

Membership : L. Daumard (France), V. Popovic (FPR of Yugoslavia), L.W. Hayes (United Kingdom), Mrs Gelesova (USSR).

Chairman : L.W. Hayes (United Kingdom)

Frequency requirements of the mobile maritime services

Italy. 150-160 kc/s 255-285 kc/s 415-425 kc/s RD 232/MAR 112 : Nos 35-37 of
RD 403 (9th Sess.
Pl. Ass.)

Egypt. 150-160 kc/s 415-425 kc/s RD 248 : Same in MAR 115

United Kingdom. 150-160 kc/s 255-285 kc/s RD 365/MAR 387

Variant N°1 of the Plan RD 322 : Amended in RD 323, RD 333
F & E : Considered in RD 358

Proposal : Austria, Egypt, Greece, Ireland,
Italy, Portugal, Switzerland,
Syria, and Turkey RD 330 : Considered in RD 358

Statement by the ICAO RD 332 :

Variant N° 2 of the Plan * RD 347 : Considered in RD 384

Variant N° 3 of the Plan * RD 369 : Amended in RD 375
Considered in RD 400 RD 406

Long Wave Band (variants 1, 2 & 3) * RD 374 : Considered in RD 406

The Plan (text for 1st reading) RD 379 : Amended in RD 383
Considered in RD 407

Proposal : Swiss low power transmitters RD 380 : Considered in RD 407

Proposal by the United Kingdom (zones ~~in Germany~~
in Germany) RD 381 : Considered in RD 407 RD 403
(9th Sess.
Pl. Ass.)

Proposal by Italy and Vatican City on the
modification of RD 379 RD 385 : Considered in RD 407

The Plan (text for 2nd reading) * RD 392 : Considered and
amended in RD 403
(9th Sess. Pl. As)

* Published in French only

Mixed Working Group RD/MAR

Derogations in the Maritime bands 415-485 and 515-525 kc/s

Set up on 23 July 1948 - 4th Meeting of Committee 1 - RD 154/MAR 78

Terms of reference : Study of derogations in the maritime bands 415-485 and
515-525 kc/s

Membership : Denmark, France, Rep. of Poland, Roumanian PR, United Kingdom,
USSR. Other countries also took part in the work of the Group.

Chairman : A. Chitchétinine (USSR)

Question addressed by Committee 5 RD 165/MAR 85 : Considered in RD 252

Statement by the CIRM RD 170/MAR 92 :

Austria : Innsbruck, Dornbirn on 519 kc/s RD 180/MAR 95 :

Switzerland : Geneva on 442 kc/s RD 224/MAR 111 : Amended in RD 234/MAR 113

Report RD 264/MAR 124 : Considered in RD 272/R 328

Working Group 3

The shared frequency band 255-285 kc/s.

Set up on 3 August 1948 - 3rd Meeting of Committee 5 - RD 252. (See also RD 186/MAR 96 - 5th Meeting C. 1).

Terms of reference : Study of frequency band 255-285 kc/s shared by Broadcasting, Aeronautical Radionavigation and the Mobile Maritime Services (RD 168 - see Group 2 - has been used as a basis for the work of Group 3). (See also RD 186/MAR 96 - 5th Meeting Com. 5).

Membership: For Broadcasting interests: Finland, Republic of Poland, Sweden; for Aeronautical interests: Belgium, France, United Kingdom; for Marine interests: United Kingdom, USSR. Observer: ICAO.
Chairman: T. J. Monaghan (Ireland).

Following a statement made at its Meeting by the Chairman of Group 3 (RD 258), Committee 5, in order to find a solution to the problem of allocating the 272 kc/s frequency to Oslo or Kalundborg, decided to call a joint meeting of Groups 2 and 3.

Joint Meeting of Groups 2 & 3

Frequency 272 kc/s. Derogations in the aeronautical bands 325-365 and 395-405 kc/s.

Called on 12 August 1948 - 5th Meeting of Committee 5 - RD 258

Terms of reference: Allocation of the frequency, 272 kc/s. Derogations in aeronautical bands 325-365 and 395-405 kc/s.

Membership: Countries members of Groups 2 & 3. Other countries also took part in the work of the Meeting.

Chairman: J.D.H. van der Toorn (Netherlands).

Report on the frequency 272 kc/s RD 267/MAR 126: Considered in RD 328
(to replace RD 260) :

Report on the frequencies 325-365 and
395-405 kc/s RD 269/MAR 128: Considered in
: RD 328, RD 329
: Amended in
: RD 328

Draft resolution (to abolish derogations in
the aeronautical bands) RD 334/MAR 160: Considered and
: Amended in
: RD 407

SUB-COMMITTEE 5 A (Preamble to the Plan)

Set up on 12 August 1948 - 5th Meeting of Committee 5 - RD 258

Terms of reference: To work out the Preamble to the Plan.

Membership: PR of Bulgaria, Egypt, Italy, Ukrainian SSR, United Kingdom, Switzerland, Czechoslovakia, USSR Observer: ICAO.

Chairman: O. Makarov (USSR).

Questions referred by Committee 3

RD to Sub-Committee 5A

RD 300

:

The Chairman of Committee 4 - MAR

to the Chairman of Sub-Committee 5A - RD

RD 304/MAR 163

:

:

:

Draft Preamble to the Plan

RD 349

: Amended in RD 356

: (3rd M., Com. 6)

: Considered in

: RD 399, RD 356

: (3rd M., Com. 6)

Working Group

Possible derogations in aeronautical bands below 250 kc/s, should Oslo stay on 272 kc/s.

Set up on 25 August 1948 - 6th Meeting of Committee 5 - RD 328.

Terms of reference: Possible derogations in aeronautical bands below 250 kc/s, should Oslo stay on 272 kc/s.

Membership: Belgium, Finland, France, Norway, United Kingdom, Turkey, USSR. Observers: IFRB, ICAO.

Chairman: P.W. Fryer (United Kingdom).

Report (with annex: ICAO statement)

RD 288: Considered in RD 329

Mixed Working Group RD/MAR

Protection of coast stations in the bands 415-490 and 510-525 kc/s.

Set up on 27 August 1948 - 9th Meeting of Committee 1 - RD 307/MAR 149.

Terms of reference: Study of the protection of coast stations against harmful interference by broadcasting stations in derogation in the maritime bands 415-490 and 510-525 kc/s.

Membership: The exact composition of this Group is not given anywhere, but 10 countries approximately participated in the work of the Group.

Chairman: M.T. Sinitzyn (USSR)

Minutes of the 1st Meeting (1st September 1948)

RD 342/MAR 168:

Considered in RD 359

Mixed Working Group 5A - 3B

Date of entry into force of the Convention and of the Plan,

Set up on 27 August 1948 - 9th Meeting of Committee 1 - RD 307/MAR 149

Terms of reference: Date of entry into force of the Convention and Plan.

(Question likewise considered by Committees 3 & 4).

Membership: Bielorussian SSR, Belgium, Egypt, Italy, Ukrainian SSR, United Kingdom, Switzerland, Czechoslovakia, USSR. Besides, a representative of Committee 4 MAR. Observers: IFRB, ICAO.

Chairman: M. Kokonin (USSR).

Statement by Chairman of Committee 3 and
Working Group 3B

RD 299/MAR 143 : Considered in
: RD 400

Report

RD 315/MAR 153 : Considered in
: RD 400

Questions transmitted by Committee 3

RD 319 : Considered in
: RD 400

(see also Committee 5: RD 373 and RD 390,
and Committee 4: RD 351)

: Considered in
: RD 400

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

XIII

COMMITTEE 6 (Drafting)

Set up on 28 June 1948 - 1st Session of Plenary Assembly - RD26

Terms of reference: Perfecting the form, without altering their sense, of the texts of the frequency allotment Plan worded by the various committees, to be submitted for first reading to the Plenary Assembly, and combining them with those parts of the former texts which have not been altered. Final numbering of the chapters, articles and paragraphs, for second reading. (Rule 22 of the Rules of Procedure, RD76).

Membership: Vatican City, France, Italy, Luxemburg, Monaco, Morocco and Tunisia, FPR of Yugoslavia, Roumanian PR, United Kingdom, Switzerland, Czechoslovakia, USSR. Observers : IFRB, OIR.

Chairman : Dr. E. Metzler (Switzerland)

Vice-Chairman : G. R. Parsons (United Kingdom)

Rapporteur : A. Wolf (Switzerland)

<u>Meetings</u>	<u>1st</u>	<u>2nd</u>	<u>3rd</u>	<u>4th</u>	<u>5th</u>
<u>Docs. RD</u>	294	320	356	371	386

1st Meeting Sunday 29 August 1948 RD294 : Approved in RD320. See
(with 1 annex) RD295 : RD 316 (17th Co3)

Summary of RD 294.- Consideration of texts adopted at first reading by Committee 3, RD 293. Committee 6 drew the attention of Committee 3 to the following : an addition to be made to the definition of the term "Plan"; the drafting of the articles on Ratification, Accession and Denunciation depending on the decision to be taken as to the authority receiving these instruments ; Para. 1 of the Article on Revision; Para. 2 of the Article on Abrogation which seems incomplete. RD 295, which is an annex to RD 294, contains the corrections and amendments to RD 293.

Series No 1 for first reading (blue leaflets) RD 295 : Considered and amended in RD 320 (5th Sess. Pl. As.)

Texts : Ratifications, Accessions, Denunciations RD 312 : Considered and amended in RD 320

2nd Meeting Wednesday 1st September 1948 RD 320 : Approved in RD 356
(with 1 annex) RD 321

Summary of RD 320.- Approval of RD 294. Final consideration of RD 293 Consideration of RD 312. RD 321, which is an annex to RD 320, includes corrections and modifications to RD 293 and RD 312. Some slight further modifications made in Series No 1 (RD 295).-- Concerning the article on implementation the Committee, contrary to the proposal made by the Delegate of Vatican City to Committee 3, considered that the words "... except under the conditions provided for in Article ..." applied only to the second sentence of Para. 2.

Series No2 for first reading (blue leaflets)

RD 321 : Considered and
: amended in RD 362
: (6th Sess. Pl. As.)

3rd Meeting Wednesday 8 September 1948

RD 356 : Approved in
RD 386

Summary of RD 356.- Approval of RD 320. Consideration of RD 349 (Preamble to the Plan) and of RD 353 (the expert). On the basis of Documents still to be published, the Committee reshaped the following texts : Article on the entry into force of the Convention ; resolution on the notification of the Plan, by the Chairman of the Conference ; recommendation for speedy routing of communications from the Secretary General. The five texts revised appeared in Document RD 357 an annex to RD 356.

Series No3 for first reading (blue leaflets)

RD 357 : Considered and
: amended in RD 370
: (7th Sess. Pl. Ass.)
: Numbering in RD 371

4th Meeting Thursday 9 September 1948

RD 371 : Approved in RD 386

Summary of RD 371 : Revision of RD 357 on the basis of decisions taken by the Plenary Assembly. The revised articles in their final numbering are contained in RD 372, an annex to RD 371.

Texts for 2nd reading (pink Series)

RD 372 : Considered and
: amended in RD 409
: (8th Sess. Pl. Ass.)
: Final revision in
: RD 386

5th Meeting Sunday 12 September 1948

RD 386 : See RD 402
: (Para. 9)
: (8th Sess. Pl. Ass.)

Summary of RD 382.- Approval of RD 356 and RD 371. Revision of RD 372 in accordance with Plenary Assembly decisions.

XIV

JOINT COMMITTEE RD/MAR (Apportionment of expenditure)

Set up on 30 July 1948 - 5th Meeting of Committee 1 - RD 186/MAR 96.

Terms of reference : To consider the problem of apportionment of expenditure resulting from the Broadcasting and Maritime Conferences and the Committee of Eight countries.

Membership : PR of Albania, Belgium, Denmark, Egypt, France, Rep. of Poland, PR of Roumania, United Kingdom, Switzerland, USSR.

Chairman : E. Metzler (Switzerland).

Reporters: H. Voutaz (ITU), later A. Wolf (Switzerland).

Denmark : Memorandum concerning the expenses resulting from the Broadcasting and Maritime Conferences of Copenhagen and the Committee of Eight countries, Brussels, and their apportionment. RD 157/MAR 81 :
Considered in
RD 205/MAR 103.

Denmark : Proposal for the article on "Expenses of Conferences"

RD 174 :
Considered in
RD 205/MAR 103

1st Meeting Thursday 5 August 1948

RD 205/MAR 103
Approved in
RD 360 (5th Ses.Pl.A.)

Summary of RD 205/MAR 103.- Since the Joint Committee received its terms of reference from the Executive Committee the results achieved, should be communicated to the latter Committee. Committee 1 would decide to whom the Report should be referred. Discussion on the publication of decisions taken : to be included in Final Protocol as an opinion or recommendation. Discussion postponed.- Section A of RD 157/MAR 81 : Accounts of the Committee of Eight countries.- Statement by Mr. Corteil.- The Belgian Government, being the inviting government to audit the accounts; the Swiss Government to audit them for the Bureau of the Union which must reimburse the expenses of the Brussels Conference.- Discussion on : lumping together the Brussels and Copenhagen expenses ; treating them separately since Observers participated in the Copenhagen Conferences only ; commitments of a country not represented at Copenhagen and of another country which was not a Member at the time of signing of the Atlantic City Convention. The Committee agreed that the expenses should be pooled and that Mr. Gnome should be asked to approach the Administrative Council with a view to speeding up payment of expenses to the Belgian Government.- Agenda for the next Meeting.

Supplementary information : Expenses of the Committee of Eight countries
RD 302/MAR 144.

Agenda for the 2nd Meeting (Fixed at the end of the 1st Meeting)

1. Apportionment of expenses between the participants in the two Copenhagen Conferences.
2. Apportionment of expenses between the two Conferences and final consideration of RD 157/MAR 81 .

2nd Meeting Tuesday 10 August 1948RD 244/MAR 117 : Amended in RD 360
: (5th Sess. Pl.A.)

Summary of RD 244/MAR 117.- Item 1 on Agenda. Statement by the Chairman on the scope of the term "participants" and on the provisions of para. 11 of the "Directives" applying to financial matters.- The Chairman of Working Group 3 C, working on similar issues for future conferences, gave the text of the recommendations to be submitted by his Group : expenses to be charged to participating Governments and international organisations admitted to the Conferences; apportionment of expenses and payment in conformity with Article 14 of the Atlantic City Convention, 1947. The term "participating Governments" includes Governments represented by Observers. The Italian Delegate pointed out that some private operating agencies were represented within Delegations. The Belgian Delegate had heard unofficially that the OIR would request an exemption. Should the request be submitted, the CER was empowered to decide. There was no precedent for the time being. Previous exemptions had been made as a consequence of the appointment of an expert.- The British Delegate objected to exempting the OIR which was not participating as an official Observer. If the OIR were to be exempted from payment of expenses, the member States of the OIR would be in a privileged position. The Soviet Delegate advocated exemption because the OIR had greatly contributed to the work of the Conference, it was not a private operating agency and there was the precedent at the C.C.I.R. with the International Time Committee. In deciding on the unconditional admission of the OIR, the Plenary Assembly had settled the question of principle.- The question : was the CER competent to take a decision on exemption, was answered in the affirmative by all the Members of the Joint Committee by the Delegate of the United Kingdom who did not think it wise for the Conference to take a decision of principle on this matter without referring it to the ITU, at least for the organisations mentioned in Doc. RD 157/MAR 81, letter B/d.- The French Delegate explained the status of the ICAO.- The case of each country and organisation participating as Observer was examined separately. Countries would be called upon to contribute to the expenses. It appeared logical that the IFRB be exempted. UN not being represented, the question of contribution did not arise.- UNESCO was not yet represented. Discussion on UNESCO and ICAO, which are specialized agencies on which the Administrative Council had to take a decision. For practical reasons the question would be referred to the Plenary Assembly. Discussion on exemption for the OIR : the Delegations of the USSR, PR of Albania, France, Rep. of Poland and Roumanian PR favoured exemption; the Delegation of the United Kingdom opposed it ; the Danish, Egyptian and Italian Delegations were in favour of referring the question to the Plenary Assembly ; the Swiss Delegation would consider the request favourably when this was submitted officially by the OIR.- Item 2 .

Apportionment of expenditure between the two Conferences. Statistical data on the amount of work entailed in each Conference according to the number of stencils used. Proportion proposed : 3/4 for the CER and 1/4 for MAR. The Soviet Delegate wondered why the language question was raised again since the three languages had been admitted on an equal footing.- The British Delegate recalled that nothing had been decided in the matter of expenses. Article 15 of the Atlantic City Convention provided for the use of French, English and Spanish. No one could say that Spanish would not be used at some future CER. The use of the Russian language at Copenhagen should not create a precedent and the expenses involved should be apportioned strictly on the lines laid down in para. 5 of Article 15 of the Atlantic City Convention.- The Soviet Delegate would not admit any discrimination. No reservation had been made when the use of French, English and Russian on an equal footing was decided upon. He refused to discuss the question of languages.- Discussion on the classes of contribution.

Report of the Joint Committee RD 249/MAR 118 : Considered and amended in
RD 360 (5th Sess.Pl.A.)

OIR. Request for exemption RD 235 : Considered in RD 360
(5th Sess.of Pl.A.)

UIR. Request for exemption RD 256 : Considered in RD 360
(5th Sess.of Pl.A.)

Text adopted by the Plenary Assembly on September 4, 1948. RD 363/MAR 203

XV

Committee on Reservations

Set up on 11 September 1948 - 8th Session of Plenary Assembly - RD 402
Terms of reference : Examination of reservations and statements.

Membership : R. Corteil (Belgium) G. Pedersen (Denmark), J. Meyer (France)
A. Pennetta (Italy), H. Faulkner (United Kingdom), E. Metzler
(Switzerland), O. Makarov (USSR)
Chairman : G. Pedersen (Denmark)

This Committee submitted its report at the 9th Session of the Plenary
Assembly - RD 403.

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS
in the
EUROPEAN BROADCASTING CONFERENCE
COPENHAGEN, 1948

Note from the General Secretariat of the I.T.U. :

The following is based on information published by the Conference
Reception Committee.

A. List in alphabetical order

ABAZA, Bey Shoudry, inspecteur général des télégraphes et des téléphones.
Egypte

MM. ARMAY, Tahsin, ingénieur, membre du conseil technique de la direction
générale de la presse.
Turquie.

ANDERSEN, K.N., inspecteur de la navigation.
Ministère du commerce, de l'industrie et de la navigation.
Danemark.

ANDREASSI, Silvio, chef de service, Ministère des postes et des
télécommunications.
Italie.

ANDRESEN, H.B., secrétaire, Norges Rederforbund, Oslo.
Norvège.

ANGLES D'AURIAC, H., directeur du centre technique.
Observateur de l'O.I.R.

ARBUZOV, Michail, vice-président.
Union des Républiques Socialistes Soviétiques.

ASHBRIDGE, Sir Noel, directeur des services techniques de la B.B.C.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande
du Nord.

ASLANIDES, Basile, ingénieur en chef de l'Institut national de radio-
diffusion.
Grèce.

BADALOV, Ashot,
Union des Républiques Socialistes Soviétiques.

BARDAI (EL), Anis, directeur du bureau technique et inspecteur général
des télégraphes et des téléphones.
Egypte, Syrie.

110 Annex 1
List Part.

- MM. BASTO, Alberto Lima, vice-président de la Direction de Radio Club
Portugues, Expert.
Portugal.
- Mme BENSBY, H., traducteur et interprète juré, secrétaire du chef de
la délégation.
Danemark.
- MM. BERG, Paul, chef de la section Presse de la radiodiffusion d'Etat.
Attaché de Presse de la CER.
Danemark.
- BEST, R.S.B., conseiller juridique, Ministère des affaires étrangères.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord.
- BIANSAN, Jean-Marie, administrateur, Ministère des postes, télégraphes
et téléphones.
France.
- BILLIG, Wilhelm, directeur général de la "Polskie Radio", chef de la
délégation.
Pologne (République de)
- BILLINGTON, R.M., inspecteur-délégué du service de l'exploitation des
radiocommunications, Ministère des postes.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande
du Nord.
- BIRJUKOV, Osip, interprète.
Union des Républiques Socialistes Soviétiques.
- BOMHOLT, J., Conseil de la radiodiffusion du Danemark et vice-président
de l'U.I.R.
Danemark.
- BOUSSARD, Léon, chef des services linguistiques.
Secrétariat.
- BOZOVIC, Bozidar, comité de radiodiffusion.
Yougoslavie (République Fédérative Populaire de)
- BRAMSLEV, G., ingénieur des télégraphes.
Danemark.
- BRIEM, Gunnlaugur, ingénieur en chef de l'administration des postes,
télégraphes et téléphones, chef de la délégation.
Islande.
- BRIZZI, Capitaine de corvette.
Italie.
- Melle BURFORD, J.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord.
- MM. de BURLET, Gérard, ingénieur civil, directeur technique de la Société
anonyme internationale de télégraphie sans fil.
C.I.R.M.

- MM. BURTON, Robert R., chef de la délégation.
Observateur des Etats-Unis d'Amérique.
- BUSAK, Jan, Dr. chef du département juridique au Ministère des postes.
Tchécoslovaquie.
- CAHA, Vladimir, ingénieur, chef du département des radiocommunications
au Ministère des postes.
Tchécoslovaquie.
- CARMAN, Fritz, directeur, AB. Broströms Linjeagentur, Göteborg. Suède.
- CETNER, Wladyslaw, directeur technique-adjoint de la "Polskie Radio".
Pologne (République de)
- CHALK, W.J., Lt. Col., ingénieur section de radiofusion.
Service des informations, Hambourg.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande
du Nord.
- CHAMCHA, Boris,
Ukraine (République Socialiste Soviétique de l')
- CHRISTENSEN, T.C., armateur.
Ministère du commerce, de l'industrie et de la
navigation.
Danemark.
- CHRISTOFARO, Edoardo, ingénieur directeur technique-adjoint de la
Société de radiodiffusion italienne.
Italie.
- CHTCHETININE, O.P., président.
Union des Républiques Socialistes Soviétiques.
- CLARKE, A.W., chef de division, Ministère des transports.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord.
- CONDON, Richard J.
Observateur des Etats-Unis d'Amérique.
- CONUS, G., président de l'U.I.R.
Observateur de l'U.I.R.
- CORTEIL, René, ingénieur civil, inspecteur de la régie des
télégraphes et des téléphones, président du conseil du
centre de contrôle des radiocommunications des services
mobiles C.C.R.M., chef de la délégation.
Belgique.
- CRIVETTO, Arthur, conseiller de légation.
Monaco.
- CUNHA DE EÇA, Fernando, ingénieur, chef de la division des émetteurs de
l'Emissora Nacional de Radiodiffusao, chef de la
délégation.
Portugal.

112 Annex 1
List Part.

MM. DAHL, Helmer, ingénieur civil.
Norvège.

DAHL, Jens, ingénieur.
Norvège.

DAUMARD, Léon, inspecteur général de la radiodiffusion française.
France.

Mlle DEVOLDER, Marguerite, chancellerie.

MM. DJATCHENKO, F.E.
Ukraine (République Socialiste Soviétique de l')

DUARTE, Raul Lopes Coelho, ingénieur des services d'essais et recherches
de l'Emissora Nacional de Radiodifusso.
Portugal.

Mlle. DUMONT, Hélène, sténographie, chancellerie.

Mme DUNAJEVA, Galina, interprète.
Union des Républiques Socialistes
Soviétiques.

MM. DUNCAN, W.A., directeur adjoint des télécommunications au Ministère de
l'aviation civile.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord.

Mme DUROYON DE BRAZ, Marcelle, secrétaire de la délégation.
France.

Mlle EAST, M.G.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord.

MM. EBERT, Walther, ingénieur.
Confédération suisse.

EHRLICH, Josef, ingénieur, chef de la délégation.
Tchécoslovaquie.

EKSTROM, Karl, ingénieur de bureau, Kungl. Telegrafstyrelsen, Radiobyran.
Suède.

ELEFTHERIOU, Stefanos, directeur du service radioélectrique,
chef de la délégation.
Grèce.

Mme ELLIS, B.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord.

MM. ERIKSEN, P.F., sous-chef de bureau, Direction générale des postes et des
télégraphes du Danemark.
Comité de Réception.
Danemark.

- MM. ESPING, Erik, directeur de bureau, Kungl. Telegrafstyrelsen, Radiobyran,
Suède.
- FALMES Paul, ingénieur de division.
Norvège.
- FAULKNER H., ingénieur-délégué en chef du Ministère des postes,
chef de la délégation.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord.
- FELTEN, Mathias, ingénieur en chef de la Compagnie luxembourgeoise de
radiodiffusion.
Luxembourg.
- FLEISCHMAN, Théo, administrateur suppléant.
Observateur de l'O.I.R.
- FLISAK, Mieczyslaw, ingénieur, inspecteur, instructeur "Polskie Radio",
Pologne (République de)
- FRESE-PENNEFATHER, H.W.A., conseiller, Ministère des affaires étrangères.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande Bretagne et de
l'Irlande du Nord.
- FRICKER, H.A., secrétaire de la délégation, Ministère des postes.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord.
- FRYER, P.W.F., ingénieur, chef de section, Ministère des postes.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord.
- FUCHSL, Wilhelm, directeur de la division technique.
Autriche.
- GARZA Y DE GARATE, J. Observateur de l'U.N.E.S.C.O.
- van GEEL, C., inspecteur-adjoint des postes, télégraphes et téléphones.
Pays-Bas.
- GILLIOZ, Clovis, chef de service.
Confédération suisse.
- GNEME, Giuseppe, Gr. Off., chef de service, Ministère des postes et des
télécommunications, chef de la délégation MAR, premier
délégué.
Italie.
- GOLOVENSCHENKO, interprète.
Ukraine (République Socialiste Soviétique de l')
- Mme. GOLUBJEVA, Nina, interprète.
Union des Républiques Socialistes Soviétiques.

114 Annex 1
List Part.

MM. GOROSHKIN, Peter, secrétaire.
Union des Républiques Socialistes Soviétiques.

GREGGERSEN, Aage, Dr. jur. chef de section.
Ministère des affaires étrangères.
Danemark.

GRIGOROV, Al. Athanas, chef de division télégraphique administrative
Bulgarie (République Populaire de)

GROSS, Ernest, ingénieur, directeur technique de la radiodiffusion.
(République Populaire Roumanie).

GROSS, Gerald C, secrétaire général adjoint de l'Union Internationale
des Télécommunications.

HANSEN, E.A., ingénieur des télégraphes.
Danemark.

HANSEN, Georges, ingénieur civil, ingénieur en chef, directeur général
adjoint du département technique de l'I.N.R.
Belgique.

HAYES, L.W., chef du service technique d'outre-mer de la B.B.C.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord.

Melle HAYOZ, Berthe, chancellerie
Union internationale des télécommunications.

MM. HEDORF, Frode, W., consul général.
Observateur de la République de Saint-Marin.

HEEGAARD, F., chef du service technique de la radiodiffusion.
Danemark.

HEINO, Erkki, ingénieur, chef du bureau radioélectrique à la Direction
générale des postes et des télégraphes.
Finlande.

HENDERICKX, Raymond, ingénieur civil, ingénieur principal à l'I.N.R.
Belgique.

HENNEBERG, Ferdinand, conseiller de section des postes, télégraphes et
téléphones.

HOLMBLAD, N.E., ingénieur en chef, chef de la division technique de la
Direction générale des postes et des télégraphes,
chef de la délégation.
Ministère des travaux publics
Danemark.

HOUTSMULLER, Jacques, directeur-adjoint du Centre technique
Observateur de l'O.I.R.

ILSLEV, A.B., sous-chef de bureau, direction générale des postes et
des télégraphes du Danemark,
Comité de réception.

- MM. JANNIK, J.V., chef de section de la radiodiffusion d'Etat du Danemark.
Comité de réception.
- JENSEN, F.E., directeur de la radiodiffusion d'Etat.
Ministère du commerce, de l'industrie et de la navigation.
Danemark.
- JUNOD, Raymond, ingénieur.
Confédération suisse.
- KALDOR, G., chef de section.
Hongrie.
- KALITA, Henryk, ingénieur, chef de bureau "Polskie Radio".
Pologne (République de)
- KESSENIKH, Wladimir,
Union des Républiques Socialistes Soviétiques.
- KITO, Petro, directeur de la radiodiffusion.
Albanie (République Populaire d')
- KODOLANYI, Gyula, conseiller technique des postes.
Hongrie.
- KOKONIN, Michail, Union des Républiques Socialistes Soviétiques.
- KOLESSOV, Pierre, directeur-adjoint du Centre technique.
Observateur de l'O.I.R.
- KORAC, Veljko, vice-président au Comité de radiodiffusion,
président de la délégation.
Yougoslavie (République Fédérative Populaire de)
- KOVATCHEV, Gueorgui Nikolov, chef de Division télégraphique technique.
Bulgarie (République Populaire de)
- KROMANN, Axel, directeur-adjoint régional des postes, région Copenhague,
président du Comité de réception.
Danemark.
- KUYPER, J., inspecteur P.T.T., chef du Service radio maritime.
Pays-Bas.
- KUYPERS, Julien, vice-président.
Observateur de l'O.I.R.
- LAHURE, Louis, sous-directeur technique de la Compagnie Radio-maritime.
Observateur du C.I.R.M.
- LAHOITIER, Louis-Arnold, ingénieur en chef de la radiodiffusion française.
France.
- LECOMTE, Raymond, ingénieur civil, ingénieur en chef, directeur à la
régie des voies aériennes.
Belgique.

MM. LEPROUX, Jean, consul général.
France.

LEWIS, Charles S., conseiller, Bureau du Gouvernement militaire en
Allemagne.
Observateur des Etats-Unis d'Amérique.

LHERMITE, Maurice, directeur des services radioélectriques.
France.

LIEBERT, W., directeur de la "Radio-Holland".
Observateur de la Chambre internationale de navigation.

LIKHOUCHE, Jurij,
Biélorussie (République Socialiste Soviétique de)

LORANCHET, Jean, adjoint au directeur du matériel naval, secrétariat
général de la marine marchande.
France.

LOW, D.A., président, comité technique sans-fil.
Observateur de la Chambre internationale de navigation.

MADSEN, J.M., ingénieur en chef des télégraphes et téléphones.
Pays-Bas.

MAKAROV, Oleg, président.
Union des Républiques Socialistes Soviétiques.

MANCIULESCU, Milan, chef de service technique à la Direction générale
des postes, télégraphes et téléphones.
République Populaire Roumaine.

MARINOV, A. Jordanov, ingénieur en chef de la radiodiffusion.
Bulgarie (République Populaire de)

MARTIN, Edgar T., conseiller, Bureau du Gouvernement militaire en
Allemagne.
Observateur des Etats-Unis d'Amérique.

MERCIER, Claude, ingénieur en chef de la radiodiffusion française.
France.

METZLER, Ernst, Dr, chef des services radio et télégraphique.
Confédération suisse.

MEYER, Jacques, administrateur général délégué de la radiodiffusion
française, chef de la délégation.
France.

MEYER, Victor, Dr, secrétaire du Bureau de l'Union internationale des
télécommunications.

MINAJEV, O.V.
Union des Républiques Socialistes Soviétiques.

MM. MINNERS, W.F.

Observateur des Etats-Unis d'Amérique.

MOE, Olaf, ingénieur en chef, chef de la délégation.
Norvège.

MOECKLI, A., directeur de la division des télégraphes et des téléphones.
Suisse.

MONAGHAN, T.J., ingénieur en chef de l'administration des postes et des
télégraphes.
Irlande.

MONTEIRO, Ferreira, A.B., Lieutenant de vaisseau.
Portugal.

MORTIAUX, Fernand, ingénieur civil, administrateur-directeur général
du département technique de l'I.N.R.
Belgique.

Melles NASH, V.

Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord.

NICHOLS, O.

Royaume-Uni de la Grande Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord.

M. NIKOLIC, Djordje, Ministère des affaires étrangères.
Yougoslavie (République Fédérative Populaire de).

Melle NOBS, Käthi, chancellerie.

Union internationale des télécommunications.

MM. NOEL, Emmanuel,

Observateur de la République de Saint-Marin.

NORGAARD, Peder, membre du conseil de la radiodiffusion.
Danemark.

OATES, P.G., chef de division, Ministère des transports.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord.

O'BROIN, Léon, secrétaire de l'administration des postes et des
télégraphes.
Irlande.

OKSNEVAD, T., chef des relations étrangères de la radio.
Norvège.

Mme OSBORN, F.B.

Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord.

MM. OVERGAARD, Thomas, directeur de bureau, Kungl. Telegrafstyrelsen.
Suède.

PANSKI, Jerzy, directeur général adjoint de la "Polskie Radio".
Pologne (République de)

MM. PARKER, J.D., Col., chef de la section de radiocommunication,
service des P.T.T., Francfort /Main.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande Bretagne et de l'Irlande
du Nord.

PARSONS, G.R., secrétaire-adjoint, Ministère des postes.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord.

PEDERSEN, Gunnar, chef de la section technique de radio, Direction
générale des postes et des télégraphes, sous-chef de
la délégation.
Danemark.

PENNETTA, Antonio, Son Excellence, conseiller juridique, Ministère des
Affaires étrangères, chef de la délégation.
Italie.

PEREIRA, Jorge Maia Ramos, Capitaine de corvette, directeur des services
de communications du Ministère de la Marine.
Portugal.

PLAKIAS, John N., premier secrétaire à l'Ambassade des Etats-Unis à
Paris.
Observateur des Etats-Unis d'Amérique.

van der POL, B., Dr. professeur à l'Université technique de Delft.
Pays-Bas.

POUTIAINEN, Väinö, observateur de Finnish Shipping.
Finlande .

POPOVIC, Vojin, ingénieur-conseil au comité de radiodiffusion,
vice-président de la délégation.
Yougoslavie (République Fédérative Populaire de)

PUJADE, Henry,
Observateur de l'O.A.C.I.

RABECKI, Ladislav, directeur-adjoint et ingénieur en chef de "Polskie
Radio".
Pologne (République de)

RAUS, Emile, directeur général de l'administration des postes, télégraphes
et téléphones, chef de la délégation.
Luxembourg.

RENNIE, J.O., premier secrétaire, Ministère des affaires étrangères.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord.

REVOY, Jean, ingénieur du Bureau de l'Union internationale des
télécommunications.

RISSANEN, Juho, ingénieur en chef.
Finlande.

ROBERTS, Noel Hamilton,
Observateur de l'I.F.R.B.

MM SAINIO, K.S., ingénieur
Finlande

SALEH, Ibrahim Hamed, ingénieur en chef de la radiodiffusion.
Egypte. Syrie.

SCHAEFFER, Pierre.
Protectorats Français du Maroc et de la Tunisie.

SCHOTEL, F.H.P., ingénieur des télégraphes et téléphones.
Pays-Bas.

SERNESI, Salvino, directeur général de la société de radiodiffusion.
"Radio Italia".
Italie.

SEYDEL, Victor, ingénieur civil, directeur du service des télécommuni-
cations à la régie des voies aériennes.
Belgique.

SHEPHERD, E.W., chef de division, Ministère des postes.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord.

da SILVA DIAS, José Luis, ingénieur, chef de la division des services
des programmes de l'Emissora Nacional de
Radiodifusao.
Portugal.

SINITZYN, M.T., vice-président.
Union des Républiques Socialistes
Soviétiques.

SKALAR, Georg, Dr, vice-directeur de la division technique.
Autriche.

SKIBBYHOLM, K.S., contrôleur, traducteur et interprète juré de l'Admi-
nistration danoise.
Comité de réception.

SKOLEM, Svein, ingénieur en chef.
Norvège.

Melle SMITH, H.M.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord.

SOCCORSI, Filippo, Révérend Père, directeur de Radio Vatican
Cité du Vatican (Etat de la)

MM. SOCOR, Matei, directeur de la radiodiffusion, chef de la délégation.
République Populaire Roumaine.

SPONZILLI, Luigi, ingénieur.
Italie.

STANKOVIC, Rudolf, chef du service des télécommunications maritimes.
Yougoslavie (République Fédérative Populaire de)

- MM. STEEN, Oluf, membre du conseil de la radiodiffusion.
Danemark.
- STEEN-ANDERSEN, K., ingénieur des télégraphes.
Danemark.
- STELLMANN, Lucien, ingénieur du service des télécommunications.
France.
- Melle STRACHAN, S.M., secrétaire d'administration, Ministère des affaires
étrangères.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande du
Nord.
- MM. STUDER, William F., conseiller du Bureau de l'Union internationale des
télécommunications.
- SVENNINGSSEN, K., ingénieur des télégraphes.
Ministère des travaux publics.
Danemark.
- SVISTOUNOV, Valentin, interprète.
Union des Républiques Socialistes
Soviétiques.
- SWANSON, J.W.M., ingénieur, B.B.O.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande du
Nord.
- TAKACS, Kallan, Dr, directeur des postes.
Hongrie.
- THEM, Astor, vice-consul de Monaco à Copenhague.
Monaco.
- THEM, Thorwald, consul général de Monaco à Copenhague.
Monaco.
- Melle THOMPSON, D.
Royaume-Uni de la Grande-Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord.
- MM. THORBERGSSON, Jonas, directeur général de la radiodiffusion.
Islande.
- van der TOORN, directeur en chef des télécommunications,
chef de la délégation.
Pays-Bas.
- URBAN, Josef, chef de la division pour les relations étrangères de la
radiodiffusion tchécoslovaque.
Tchécoslovaquie.
- VASILIEV, S.F.
Biélorussie (République Socialiste Soviétique de)
- VOGT, W., directeur technique de la société générale de radiodiffusion.
Pays-Bas.

MM. VOUTAZ, Henri, secrétaire du Bureau de l'Union internationale des télécommunications.

WALLENBORN, Léo, directeur de l'office administratif et secrétaire général. Observateur de l'O.I.R.

WAMBERG, F.C., chef du service technique des radiocommunications, vice-président du conseil de la radiodiffusion. Danemark.

WASKIEWICS, Stanislas, directeur-adjoint de "Polskie Radio". Pologne (République de)

WOLF, Armand, chef de bureau, secrétaire de la délégation. Confédération suisse.

WOLOWSKI, Karol, ingénieur, Ministère des postes et des télégraphes. Pologne (République de)

Mme WUOLIJOKI, Hella, directrice de l'organisation de la radiodiffusion de Finlande O/Y Yleisradio A/B. Finlande.

MM. ZAFFRANI, Gian Franco, Dr, secrétaire général de la société de radio-diffusion "Radio Italia". Italie.

ZAHRADNICEK, Milan, ingénieur, chef du département de hautes fréquences de la radiodiffusion tchécoslovaque. Tchécoslovaquie.

Mme ZJELEZNOVA, Valentine. Union des Républiques Socialistes Soviétiques.

B. List by delegations

1. Delegates from Governments in the European Broadcasting Area

Note from the General Secretariat of the I.T.U.

Except for the Roumanian P.R. whose name was changed after the Atlantic City Conference, the order of countries follows that of the signatures in the Additional Protocol to the International Telecommunication Convention, Atlantic City (1947)

ALBANIE (République Populaire d')

M. Petro KITO, directeur de la radiodiffusion

AUTRICHE

M. Ferdinand HENNEBERG, conseiller de section des postes, télégraphes et téléphones

M. Wilhelm FUCHSL, directeur de la division technique

M. Georg SKALAR, Dr, vice-directeur de la division technique

BELGIQUE

M. René CORTEIL, ingénieur civil, inspecteur général de la régie des télégraphes et des téléphones, président du conseil du centre de contrôle des radiocommunications des services mobiles C.C.R.M., chef de la délégation

M. Fernand MORTIAUX, ingénieur civil, administrateur-directeur général du département technique de l'I.N.R.

M. Georges HANSEN, ingénieur civil, ingénieur en chef, directeur général adjoint du département technique de l'I.N.R.

M. Raymond HENDERICKX, ingénieur civil, ingénieur principal à l'I.N.R.

M. Raymond LECOMTE, ingénieur civil, ingénieur en chef, directeur à la régie des voies aériennes

M. Victor SEYDEL, ingénieur civil, directeur du service des télécommunications à la régie des voies aériennes

BIELORUSSIE (République Socialiste
Soviétique de)

M. S.F. VASILIEV
M. Jurij LIKHOUCHE

BULGARIE (République Populaire de)

M. Athanas Al. GRIGOROV, chef de division télégraphique administrative
M. Gueorgui Nikolov KOVATCHEV, chef de division télégraphique technique
M. A. Jordanov MARINOV, ingénieur en chef de la radiodiffusion

CITE DU VATICAN (Etat de la)

Le Révérend Père Filippo SOCCORSI, directeur de Radio Vatican

DANEMARK

Ministère des travaux publics :

M. N.E. HOLMBLAD, ingénieur en chef, chef de la division technique
de la Direction générale des postes et des télégraphes,
chef de la délégation
M. Gunnar PEDERSEN, chef de la section technique de radio, Direction
générale des postes et des télégraphes, sous-chef
de la délégation
M. F. HEEGAARD, chef du service technique de la radiodiffusion
M. K. SVENNINGSEN, ingénieur des télégraphes
M. E.A. HANSEN, ingénieur des télégraphes
M. G. BRAMSLEV, ingénieur des télégraphes
M. K. STEEN-ANDERSEN, ingénieur des télégraphes
Mme H. BENSBY, traducteur et interprète juré, secrétaire du chef
de la délégation

Ministère des affaires étrangères :

Dr. jur. Aage GREGERSEN, chef de section

Ministère du commerce, de l'industrie
et de la navigation :

M. K.N. ANDERSEN, inspecteur de la navigation
M. T.C. CHRISTENSEN, armateur

Conseil de la radiodiffusion :

- M. Julius BOMHOLT, président du conseil de la radiodiffusion
- M. F.C. WAMBERG, chef du service technique des radiocommunications,
vice-président du conseil de la radiodiffusion
- M. Oluf STEEN, membre du conseil de la radiodiffusion
- M. Peder NORGAARD, membre du conseil de la radiodiffusion
- M. F.E. JENSEN, directeur de la radiodiffusion d'Etat

EGYPTE

- M. Ibrahim Hamed SALEH, ingénieur en chef de la radiodiffusion
- M. Anis EL BARDAI, directeur du Bureau technique et inspecteur général
des télégraphes et des téléphones
- M. Shoukry ABAZA Bey, inspecteur général des télégraphes et des téléphones

FINLANDE

- Mme Hella WUOLIJOKI, directrice de l'organisation de la radiodiffusion
de Finlande O/Y Yleisradio A/B
- M. Juho RISSANEN, ingénieur en chef
- M. Erkki HEINO, ingénieur, chef du bureau radioélectrique à la Direction
générale des postes et des télégraphes
- M. K.S. SAINIO, ingénieur
- M. Väinö POUTIAINEN, observateur de Finnish Shipping

FRANCE

- M. Jacques MEYER, administrateur général délégué de la radiodiffusion
française, chef de la délégation
- M. Léon DAUMARD, inspecteur général de la radiodiffusion française
- M. Louis-Arnold LAMOITIER, ingénieur en chef de la radiodiffusion française
- M. Claude MERCIER, ingénieur en chef de la radiodiffusion française
- M. Maurice LHERMITE, directeur des services radioélectriques
- M. Jean-Marie BIANSEN, administrateur, Ministère des postes, télégraphes
et téléphones
- M. Jean LEPROUX, consul général
- M. Jean LORANCHET, adjoint au directeur du matériel naval, secrétariat
général de la marine marchande
- M. Lucien STELMANN, ingénieur du service des télécommunications
- Mme Marcelle DUROYON DE BRAZ, secrétaire de la délégation

GRECE

- M. Stefanos ELEFThERIOU, directeur du service radioélectrique,
chef de la délégation
- M. Basile ASLANIDES, ingénieur en chef de l'institut national
de radiodiffusion

HONGRIE

- Dr Kalman TAKACS, directeur des postes
- M. Gyula KODOLANYI, conseiller technique des postes
- M. G. KALDOR, chef de section

IRLANDE

- M. Leon O'BROIN, secrétaire de l'administration des postes et des
télégraphes
- M. T.J. MONAGHAN, ingénieur en chef de l'administration des postes
et des télégraphes

ISLANDE

- M. Gunnlaugur BRIEM, ingénieur en chef de l'administration des postes,
télégraphes et téléphones, chef de la délégation
- M. Jonas THORBERGSSON, directeur général de la radiodiffusion

ITALIE

- Son Excellence Antonio PENNETTA, conseiller juridique, Ministère des
affaires étrangères, chef de la
délégation
- Gr. Off. Giuseppe GNEME, chef de service, Ministère des postes et des
télécommunications, chef de la délégation MAR,
premier délégué
- M. Silvio ANDREASSI, chef de service, Ministère des postes et des
télécommunications
- M. Luigi SPONZILLI, ingénieur
- M. Salvino SERNESI, directeur général de la société de radiodiffusion
"Radio Italia"

Italie (suite)

Dr. Gian Franco ZAFFRANI, secrétaire général de la société de radiodiffusion "Radio Italia"

M. BRIZZI, Capitaine de corvette

M. Edoardo CHRISTOFARO, ingénieur directeur technique-adjoint de la société de radiodiffusion italienne

LIBAN

N'a pas participé à la CER

LUXEMBOURG

M. Emile RAUS, directeur général de l'administration des postes, télégraphes et téléphones, chef de la délégation

M. Mathias FELTEN, ingénieur en chef de la compagnie luxembourgeoise de radiodiffusion

MONACO

M. Arthur CROVETTO, conseiller de légation

M. Thorvald THEM, consul général de Monaco à Copenhague

M. Astor THEM, vice-consul de Monaco à Copenhague

NORVEGE

M. Olaf MOE, ingénieur en chef, chef de la délégation

M. Svein SKOLEM, ingénieur en chef

M. T. OKSNEVAD, chef des relations étrangères de la radio

M. Helmer DAHL, ingénieur civil

M. Jens DAHLIN, ingénieur

M. Paul FALNES, ingénieur de division

M. H.B. ANDRESEN, secrétaire, Norges Rederforbund, Oslo

PAYS-BAS

- M. J.D.H. van der TOORN, directeur en chef des télécommunications,
chef de la délégation
- Dr. B. van der POL, professeur à l'Université technique à Delft
- M. W. VOGT, directeur technique de la société générale de radiodiffusion
- M. F.H.P. SCHOTEL, ingénieur des télégraphes et téléphones
- M. J.M. MADSEN, ingénieur en chef des télégraphes et téléphones
- M. J. KUYPER, inspecteur P.T.T., chef de service radio maritime
- M. C. van GEEL, inspecteur-adjoint des postes, télégraphes et téléphones

POLOGNE (République de)

- M. Wilhelm BILLIG, directeur général de la "Polskie Radio",
chef de la délégation
- M. Jerzy PANSKI, directeur général-adjoint, "Polskie Radio"
- M. Stanislas WASKIEWICS, directeur-adjoint, "Polskie Radio"
- M. Henryk KALITA, ingénieur, chef de bureau, "Polskie Radio"
- M. Karol WOLOWSKI, ingénieur, Ministère des postes et des télégraphes
- M. Wladyslaw CETNER, directeur technique-adjoint de la "Polskie Radio"
- M. Mieczyslaw FLISAK, ingénieur, inspecteur, instructeur "Polskie Radio"
- M. Ladislas RABECKI, directeur-adjoint et ingénieur en chef "Polskie
Radio"

PORTUGAL

- M. Fernando CUNHA DE EÇA, ingénieur, chef de la division des émetteurs
de l'Emissora Nacional de Radiodifusao, chef
de la délégation
- M. Jorge Maia Ramos PEREIRA, Capitaine de corvette, directeur des
services de communications du Ministère de
la Marine
- M. A.B. Ferreira MONTEIRO, Lieutenant de vaisseau
- M. José Luiz da SILVA DIAS, ingénieur, chef de la division des services
des programmes de l'Emissora Nacional de
Radiodifusao

PORTUGAL (suite)

- M. Raul Lopes Coelho DUARTE, ingénieur des services d'essais et recherches
de l'Emissora Nacional de Radiodifusao
- M. Alberto Lima BASTO, vice-président de la direction de Radio Club
Portugues, expert

PROTECTORATS FRANCAIS DU MAROC
ET DE LA TUNISIE

- M. Pierre SCHAEFFER

REPUBLIQUE FEDERATIVE POPULAIRE DE YOUGOSLAVIE

- M. Voljke KORAC, vice-président au Comité de radiodiffusion,
président de la délégation
- M. Vojin POPOVIC, ingénieur-conseil au comité de radiodiffusion,
vice-président de la délégation
- M. Djordje NIKOLIC, Ministère des affaires étrangères
- M. Bozidar BOZOVIC, comité de radiodiffusion
- M. Rudolf STANKOVIC, chef du service des télécommunications maritimes

REPUBLIQUE POPULAIRE ROUMAINE

- M. Matei SOCOR, directeur de la radiodiffusion, chef de la délégation
- M. Ernest GROSS, ingénieur, directeur technique de la radiodiffusion
- M. Milan MANCIULESCU, chef des services techniques à la Direction générale
des postes, télégraphes et téléphones

REPUBLIQUE SOCIALISTE SOVIETIQUE DE L'UKRAINE

- M. F.E. DJATCHENKO
- M. Boris CHAMCHA
- M. A.F. GOLOVENSCHENKO, interprète

ROYAUME-UNI DE LA GRANDE-BRETAGNE ET
DE L'IRLANDE DU NORD

M. H. FAULKNER, ingénieur-délégué en chef du Ministère des postes,
chef de la délégation

Sir Noel ASHBURIDGE, directeur des services techniques du B.B.C.

M. R.S.B. BEST, conseiller juridique, Ministère des affaires étrangères

M. R.M. BILLINGTON, inspecteur-délégué du service de l'exploitation des
radiocommunications, Ministère des postes

M. A.W. CLARKE, chef de division, Ministère des transports

M. W.A. DUNOAN, directeur-adjoint des télécommunications au Ministère
de l'Aviation civile

M. P.W.F. FRYER, ingénieur, chef de section, Ministère des postes

M. H.W.A. FREESE-PENNEFATHER, conseiller, Ministère des affaires
étrangères

M. L.W. HAYES, chef du service technique d'outre-mer du B.B.C.

M. P.G. OATES, chef de division, Ministère des transports

M. G.R. PARSONS, secrétaire-adjoint, Ministère des postes

M. J.O. RENNIE, premier secrétaire, Ministère des affaires étrangères

M. J.W.M. SWANSON, ingénieur, B.B.C.

Lt. Col. W.J. CHALK, ingénieur, section de radiodiffusion, service
des informations, Hambourg

Col. J.D. PARKER, chef de la section de radiocommunication, service
des P.T.T., Francfort/Main

M. E.W. SHEPHERD, chef de division, Ministère des postes

M. H.A. FRICKER, secrétaire de la délégation, Ministère des postes

Mlle S.M. STRACHAN, secrétaire d'administration, Ministère des affaires
étrangères

Mlle H.M. SMITH

Mme F.B. OSBORN

Mlle M.C. EAST

Mlle D. THOMPSON

Mlle O. NICHOLS

Mlle V. NASH

Mme ELLIS

Mlle T. DIBBARD

SUEDE

- M. Erik ESPING, directeur de bureau, Kungl. Telegrafstyrelsen,
Radiobyran, chef de la délégation
- M. Thomas OVERGAARD, directeur de bureau, Kungl. Telegrafstyrelsen
- M. Karl EKSTROM, ingénieur de bureau, Kungl. Telegrafstyrelsen,
Radiobyran
- M. Fritz CARMAN, directeur, AB. Broströms Linjeagentur, Göteborg

SUISSE (Confédération)

- M. A. MOCKLI, directeur de la division des télégraphes et des téléphones
- Dr Ernst METZLER, chef des services radio et télégraphiques
- M. Clovis GILLIOZ, chef de service
- M. Walther EBERT, ingénieur
- M. Raymond JUNOD, ingénieur
- M. Armand WOLF, chef de bureau, secrétaire de la délégation

SYRIE

- M. Ibrahim Hamed SALEH, ingénieur en chef de la radiodiffusion
- M. Anis EL BARDAI, directeur du bureau technique et inspecteur général
des télégraphes et des téléphones

TCHECOSLOVAQUIE.

- M. Josef EHRLICH, ingénieur, chef de la délégation
- Dr Jan BUSAK, chef du département juridique au Ministère des postes
- M. Vladimir CAHA, ingénieur, chef du département des radiocommunications
au Ministère des postes
- M. Milan ZAHRADNICEK, ingénieur, chef du département de hautes fréquences
de la radiodiffusion tchécoslovaque
- Dr Josef URBAN, chef de la division pour les relations étrangères de la
radiodiffusion tchécoslovaque

TURQUIE

M. Tahsin ARMAY, ingénieur, membre du conseil technique de la direction générale de la presse

UNION DES REPUBLIQUES
SOCIALISTES SOVIETIQUES

M. Olog MAKAROV, président

M. Michail ARBUZOV, vice-président

M. Wladimir KESSENIKH

M. Michail KOKONIN

Mme Valentine ZJELEZNOVA

M. Ashot BADALOV

M. Peter GOROSHKIN

M. Valentin SVISTOUNOV, interprète

M. Osip BIRJUKOV, interprète

M. O.P. CHTCHETININE, président

M. M.T. SINITZYN, vice-président

M. O.V. MINAJEV

Mme Nina GOLUBJEVA, interprète

Mme Galina DUNAJEVA, interprète

II. Observers

a) Envoyés des pays

1^o République de Saint-Marin

M. Emmanuel NOEL

M. Frode W. HEDORF, consul général

2^o Etats-Unis d'Amérique

M. Robert R. BURTON, International Broadcasting, Department of State, chef de la délégation,

M. Richard J. CONDON, Civil Affairs Division, Department of Army,

M. John N. PLAKIAS, premier secrétaire à l'Ambassade des Etats-Unis à Paris

M. Charles S. LEWIS, conseiller, Bureau du Gouvernement militaire en Allemagne

M. Edgar T. MARTIN, conseiller, Bureau du Gouvernement militaire en Allemagne

M. W.F. MINNERS, observateur

b) Envoyés des organismes

U.I.T.

M. Gerald C. GROSS, Secrétaire général-adjoint, représentant le Secrétaire général de l'Union

Comité international d'enregistrement des fréquences (I.F.R.B.)

M. Noel Hamilton ROBERTS

Institutions spécialisées

U.N.E.S.C.O.

M. J. GARZA Y DE GARATE

O.A.C.I.

M. Henry PUJADE

Organisations internationales

Chambre internationale de navigation (International Chamber of Shipping)

M. D.A. LOW, Chairman of the Wireless Technical Sub-Committee,

M. W. LIEBERT, Directeur de la "Radio-Holland".

Organisations internationales (Suite)

C.I.R.M.

M. Gérard de BURLET, ingénieur civil, directeur technique de
la Société anonyme internationale de
télégraphie sans fil,

M. Louis LAHURE, sous-directeur technique de la Compagnie Radio-
Maritime.

O.I.R.

M. Julien KUYPERS, vice-président,

M. Théo FLEISCHMAN, administrateur suppléant,

M. Léo WALLENBORN, directeur de l'office administratif et
Secrétaire général,

M. H. ANGLES d'AURIAC, directeur du Centre technique,

M. Jacques HOUTSMULLER, directeur-adjoint du Centre technique,

M. Pierre KOLESSOV, directeur-adjoint du Centre technique,

U.I.R.

M. G. CONUS, président de l'U.I.R.

M. J. BOMHOLT, vice-président de l'U.I.R.

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

BUREAU OF THE CONFERENCE

Président : M. HOLMBLAD, N.E., ingénieur en chef, chef de la division technique de la Direction générale des postes et des télégraphes du Danemark, Ministère des travaux publics.

Vice-président : M. PEDERSEN, Gunnar, chef de la section technique de radio de la direction générale des postes et des télégraphes du Danemark.

Secrétariat.

Secrétaire en chef : M. William F. STUDER, conseiller du Bureau de l'Union.

Secrétaires : Dr Victor MEYER, secrétaire du Bureau de l'Union,

M. Henri VOUTAZ, secrétaire du Bureau de l'Union,

M. Jean REVOY, ingénieur en chef,

M. Léon BOUSSARD, chef des services linguistiques.

Chancellerie : Melle Käthi NOBS, du Bureau de l'Union,

Melle Berthe HAYOZ, du Bureau de l'Union,

Melle Hélène DUMONT, sténographe,

Melle Marguerite DEVOLDER,

Attaché de presse de la CER : M. Paul BERG, chef de la section presse de la radiodiffusion d'Etat.
Attaché de presse de la CER.

Comité de réception du Danemark :

M. Axel KROMANN, directeur-adjoint régional des postes, région de Copenhague, président du Comité de réception,

M. A.B. ILSLEV, sous-chef de bureau, direction générale des postes et des télégraphes du Danemark,

M. J.V. JANNIK, chef de section de la radiodiffusion d'Etat du Danemark,

M. P.F. ERIKSEN, sous-chef de bureau, direction générale des postes et des télégraphes du Danemark,

M. K.S. SKIBBYHOLM, contrôleur, traducteur et interprète juré de l'administration danoise.

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PAGE LAISSEE EN BLANC INTENTIONNELLEMENT

LIST OF DOCUMENTS OF THE CER

A. List in numerical order

Abbreviations used: Heads - Meetings of Heads of Delegations. Pl.A. - Sessions of Plenary Assembly. Co1, Co2, Co3, Co4, Co5, Co6, Joint Co. - Meetings of these Committees. W.Gr. - Working Group. M.Gr. - Mixed Group. R. of Pr. - Rules of Procedure. RD - Document of the CER. MAR - Document of the Maritime Regional Radio Conference.

The date of publication makes it possible in some cases to trace a discussion which followed the publication.

Docs. Section/page Abstract of Documents' contents and				Date
RD/MAR in this set of Nos. of Docs. amending, replacing,				of publication
Documentation. etc.				
				1948
				June
1	Heads	27	Agenda. Heads of Delegations	8
2	Pl.A.	27	Denmark. Setting up of Committees	
3	Pl.A.	29	Agenda. Inaugural Meeting.	9
4	Heads	9	Draft Rules of Procedure	12,76
5	Co 1	49	Agenda. Committee 1	
6/8	Heads	19	Draft Working Methods	144
7/9	Heads	4	Denmark. Report on action taken	
8			Replaces 1	
9			Replaces 3	
10/13	Heads	27	<u>1st Meeting 24 June</u>	11,109
11/14			Supplementing 10/13	
12			Amending 4	
13	Pl. A.	29	Agenda. 1st Session	
14/16	Pl. A.	29	<u>Inaugural Meeting 25 June</u>	
15/17	Heads	27	<u>2nd Meeting 25 June</u>	109
16	Pl. A.	31	Egypt. Against admission State of Israel	34
17	Pl. A.	31	Syria. " " " " "	35
18/18	Heads	27	<u>3rd Meeting 26 June</u>	25,109
19	Heads-W.			
	Gr.	9	Voting Procedure art.17 R of Pr.	27,28
)
)
)
)
				July
				1
				8
				June
20	Pl. A.	31	Latvian SSR. Request for admission	
21	Pl. A.	31	Moldavian SSR " " "	
22	Pl. A.	31	Estonian SSR " " "	
23	Pl. A.	31	Lithuanian SSR " " "	
24	Pl. A.	31	Finno-Karelian SSR " "	
25/19			Amending 18	
26	Pl. A.	30	<u>1st Session, 1st Meeting 28 June</u>	109
27	Pl. A.	9	France. Amendment to Art. 17 R. of Pro.	

138 Annex 3

List of Docs. RD 28 - 75

Docs. RD/MAR	Section/page in this set of Document- ation.	Abstract of Documents' contents and Nos. of Docs. amending, replacing, etc.	Date of publication
			<u>1948</u>
			<u>July</u>
28	Pl. A. 9	United Kingdom. Amendment to Art.17 R of Pr.	2
29	Pl. A. 30	<u>1st Session, 2nd Meeting 29 June</u> 109	2
30	Pl. A. 30	<u>1st Session, 3rd Meeting 30 June</u> 109	3
31	Pl. A. 31	<u>1st Session, 4th Meeting 1 July</u> 109	3
32	Co 4 B 89	Turkey. Statement on its requirements	5
33	Co 4 B 89	Countries invited to make comments on second variants	5
34		Amending 16	5
35		Amending 17	5
36	Co 4 77	Programme of work 48	5
37	Co 2 55	<u>1st Meeting 5 July</u>	5
38	Co 4 B 89	Comment by United Kingdom 65,208	5
39/24	Co 1 49	<u>1st Meeting 3 July</u>	6
40/25	Pl. A. 31	International Chamber of Shipping - Request for admission	6
41/26	Pl. A. 31	C.I.R.M. Request for admission	6
42	Co 3 59	<u>1st Meeting 5 July</u>	6
43	Co 3 59	Atlantic City texts	6
44	Pl. A. 31	OIR. Request for admission. Documentation	6
45	Co 4 80	OIR. Documentation 113	6
46	Pl. A. 31	State of Israel. Request for admission	6
47	Pl. A. 31	Agenda for 2nd Session	6
48		Replacing 36 130	6
49	Co 4 77	Technical analysis of Draft Plan by Co 4 B	6
50	Co 3 59	<u>2nd Meeting 6 July</u>	6
51	Co 4 B 89	France. Comments	7
52	Pl. A. 31	Rep. of San Marino. Request for admission 75	7
53 F	Co 4 B 89	Analytical table of the Brussels documents	7
54/32	Pl. A. 31	ICAO. Request for admission	8
55	Co 3 60	United Kingdom. Draft Convention (4 columns) 124	8
56/33	Pl. A. 31	UIR. Request for admission	8
57	Co 2 55	<u>2nd Meeting 8 July</u>	8
58	Co 3 60	<u>3rd Meeting 7 July</u>	8
59	Co 4 B 89	Iceland. Comments 66	9
60	Co 3 60	<u>4th Meeting 8 July</u>	9
61/37		Amending 54/32	9
62	Pl. A. 31	UNESCO. Request for admission	12
63		Completing 47	9
64	Co 4 B 89	Table No. 1	9
65		Amending 38	9
66		Amending 59	11
67	Co 4 B 89	Lebanon. Comments	11
68	Co 4 B 89	Table No. 2 77	12
69	Co 4 B 89	Morocco and Tunisia. Comments	12
70		Amending 55 E	12
71	Co 4 B 89	Netherlands. Comments	12
72	Co 4 B 89	Switzerland. Comments	12
73	Co 4 A-W.		
	Gr. 87	United Kingdom. Synchronization	12
74	Co 4 B 89	Monaco. Comments	12
75	Pl. A.	52 continued	12

Docs. RD/MAR	Section/page in this set of Documentation.	Abstract of Documents' contents and Nos. of Docs. amending, replacing, etc.	Date of publication
			1948
			July
76	Pl. A. 10	Rules of Procedure (except Art.17)	198 12
77		Amending 68	13
78	Co 4 B 89	Egypt and Syria. Comments	13
79	Co 4-W.Gr. 82	United Kingdom. Propagation	13
80	Co 4 B 89	Ireland. Comments	13
81	Co 4 B 89	Norway. Comments	13
82	Co 4 B 89	Italy. Comments	120 13
83	Co 4 B 89	Turkey. Comments	13
84	Co 4 B 89	Table No 3	13
85	Co4 A-W.Gr.1 87	United Kingdom. Separation	13
86		Cancelled by 102	
87	Co 4 B 89	United States of America. Comments	13
88	Co 4 B 89	Finland. Comments	13
89	Co 4 B 89	Denmark. Comments	13
90	Co 4 B 89	Luxemburg. Comments	13
91	Co 4 77	<u>2nd Meeting 6 July</u>	13
92	Co 5 91	<u>1st Meeting 5 July</u>	13
93	Co 4 B 89	Recommendations	131 13
94	Co 4 B 90	United Kingdom. Medium wave allocation provided for in Brussels variants	116 14
95/41	Co 1 49	<u>2nd Meeting 9 July</u>	139 9
96	Co 4 B 89	Vatican City. Comments	14
97	Co 4 B 89	Greece. Comments	326 14
98	Co 4 B 89	PR of Bulgaria. Comments	14
99	Co 4 78	Agenda for 4th Meeting	14
100	Co 4 78	Belgium-Morocco and Tunisia-Switzerland. Against 93	14
101	Secret.	List of Documents RD 1 to 100	20
102		Cancels 86	15
103	Co 4 B 90	United Kingdom. Long wave allocation provided for in Brussels variants	15
104	Pl. A. 31	<u>2nd Session, 1st Meeting 9 July</u>	109,291 15
105	Co 4 B 89	Hungary. Comments	15
106	Co 4 B 89	Czechoslovakia. Comments	114 15
107	Co 4 B 89	Sweden. Comments	298 15
108	Co 4 B 89	Belgium. Comments	15
109		Amending 10, 15, 18, 26, 29, 30, 31	19
110	Co4A-W.Gr.4 87	United Kingdom. Directional aerals	16
111	Co 5 91	Greece. Morocco and Tunisia. Opinion	16
112	Pl. A. 32	<u>2nd Session, 2nd Meeting 12 July</u>	291 17
113	Co 4 80	OIR. Documentation	45 19
114		Amending 106	19
115	Co 4 B 89	Austria. Comments	19
116		Replaces 94	19
117	Pl. A. 32	<u>2nd Session, 3rd Meeting 13 July</u>	287,331,361 20
118	Pl. A. 33	<u>2nd Session, 4th Meeting 14 July</u>	287,361 21
119	Pl. A. 33	<u>2nd Session, 5th Meeting 15 July</u>	287,331,361 22
120		82 continued	20
121	Co 4 B 89	Roumanian PR	20
122	Pl. A. 34	Agenda for 3rd Session	20
123	Co 4 B 89	Rep. of Poland. Comments	162 20
124		Replaces 55	20

Annex 3 140

List of Docs. RD 125-168

Docs. RD/MAR	Section/page in this set of Documentation.	Abstract of Documents' contents and Nos. of Docs. amending, replacing, etc.	Date of publication
			<u>1946</u>
			<u>July</u>
125	Co 4 B 89	Estonian SSR. Comments	20
126	Co 4 B 89	Latvian SSR. Comments	20
127	Co 4 B 89	Lithuanian SSR. Comments	20
128	Co 4 B 89	Finno-Karelian SSR. Comments	20
129	Co 4 B 89	Moldavian SSR. Comments	20
130		Amends 48	20
131		Modified 93	20
132	Co4-W.Gr. 81	Frequencies for Germany	20
133	Co 4 B 89	FPR of Yugoslavia. Comments	21
134/47	Co 1 50	<u>3rd Meeting 16 July</u>	19
135		Amends 115E	21
136	Co 4 B 89	PR of Albania. Comments	21
137	Co 4 B 90	Report to Committee 4 (annexed to 143)	21
138		Amends 131	21
139/69		Amends 95	21
140	Co4-W.Gr. 82	Propagation (Recommendation)	21
141	Co 5 91	<u>2nd Meeting 16 July</u>	22
142	Co4A-W.Gr. 2 86	France. Protection ratios	22
143	Co 4 C 90	Report No. 1 by Co 4	22
144/76	Pl.A. 19	Working Methods. Replaces 6	22
145	Co5-W.Gr. 1 97	Directive No 1	23
146	Co 5-Gr. 1 97	Directives No 2	23
147	Co 3-W.Gr. 71	"Revision", "Modification"	23
148	Co 4 77	<u>1st Meeting 5 July</u>	23
149	Co 2 55	<u>3rd Meeting 21 July</u>	23
150	Pl.A. 35.97	Frequencies for Germany (4 proposals)	23
151	Pl.A. 25	Membership of Committees 236, 286, 289	27
152		Amends 110 E	26
153		Amends 148	26
154/78	Co 1 50	<u>4th Meeting 23 July</u>	26
155	Co 4 A 83	<u>1st Meeting 22 July</u>	26
156		Amends 140R	26
157/81	Col-Joint		
	Co-expenses 105	Denmark. Memorandum (Expenses)	27
158	Co 4 B 89	RSFSR. Comments	27
159	Co 4 B 89	Ukrainian SSR. Comments	27
160	Co 4 B 89	Bielorussian SSR. Comments	27
161	Co3-W.Gr. 71	147 continued. "Abrogations"	27
162		Amends 123	27
163	Co4A-W.Gr. 85	Field values on reception (Report)	28
164	Co 4 B 89	Portugal. Comments	28
165/85	Co5-M.W.Gr. 98	Derogations (Proposal)	28
166	Co5-Gr. 2 97	Report on the List of countries	26
167	Pl. A. 34	<u>3rd Session, 1st Meeting 23 July</u>	28
168	Co5-Gr. 2 97	Note on 263, 281 and 272 kc/s	29

Docs RD/MAR	Section/page in this set of Documentation	Abstract of Documents' contents and Nos. of Docs. amending, replacing, etc.	Date of publication
			<u>1948</u>
			<u>July</u>
169	Pl.A. 37	Denmark. Draft Art. 17 Rules of Procedure 176, 198	29
170/92	Co5-Joint Gr. 98	CIRM. Derogations in maritime bands	30
171	Co5 91	Draft directives 221	30
Unno	Co5 92	Draft amendment to RD 171 (distributed at meeting)	<u>August</u> 5
			<u>July</u>
172	Co5 91	Agenda for the following week	30
173	Pl.A. 38	USSR. List of questions to be adopted by a 2/3 majority	30
174	Col-Joint Co Expenses 105	Denmark. "Conference expenses"	30
175	Co4B 89	FPR of Yugoslavia. Comments 133	30
176	Pl.A. 38	USSR. Proposal Amendment to 169	
177	Pl.A. 37	Agenda for 4th Session	30
178		Amends 167	
179	Pl.A. 35.97	France. United Kingdom. Germany's requirements	31
			<u>August</u>
180/95	Co5-Joint 98	Austria. Derogations	2
181	Co4A-W.Gr. 2 86	Belgium. Attempts to combine programmes	2
182	Pl.A. 34	<u>3rd Session, 2nd Meeting 27 July</u> 219, 262, 271, 331, 361	2
183	Pl.A. 37	<u>3rd Session, 3rd Meeting 30 July</u> 361	2
184	Co3 60	<u>5th Meeting 27 July</u>	2
185		Replaces 140 197, 215	2
			<u>July</u>
186/96	Col 50	<u>5th Meeting 30 July</u> 220/110	31
			<u>August</u>
187	Co3 61	<u>6th Meeting 28 July</u>	3
188	Col 53	<u>Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Cos.</u> <u>3, 4, 5 - 3 August</u>	3
189	Co4A 83	<u>2nd Meeting 29 July</u>	3
190	Co3B 73	Agenda	4
191	Co4 78	Agenda for 6th Meeting	4
192	Co4 77	<u>3rd Meeting 7 and 8 July</u>	4
193	Co4 78	<u>4th Meeting 15 and 19 July</u>	10
194	Co4 78	<u>5th Meeting 22 July</u> 229	5
195	Co4-W.Gr. 82	United Kingdom. Proposal regarding propagation	5
196	Co4A-W.Gr. 85	Organisation of work in Working Groups	5
197		Amends 185	5
198	10	Art. 17 Rules of Procedure. Completes 76	6
199	Co4A 83	<u>3rd Meeting 5 August</u>	6
200	Co5 92	Greece. Draft directives	6
201	Secret. 56	List of documents RD 101 to 200	10
202	Co2 56	<u>4th Meeting 4 August</u>	9
203	Co2 56	Report 389	6
204	Co4-W.Gr. 2 86	Report 313	9
205/103	Col-Joint Co Expenses 105	<u>1st Meeting 5 August</u> 302	7

142 Annex 3
List of Docs. RD 206-250

Docs. RD/MAR	Section/page in this set of Documentation	Abstract of Documents' contents and Nos. of Docs. amending, replacing, etc.	Date of publication
			<u>1948</u> <u>August</u>
206	Co3	62 <u>7th Meeting 4 August</u>	7
207	Co5-Gr.2	97 United Kingdom. List of countries	9
208		Completes 38	9
209/107	Co3-W.Gr.3B	73 ICAO. Amendment to para.2, Art.12 of Montreux Plan	9
210	Pl.A.	38 <u>4th Session 2 August</u>	9
211	Co5	92 Lucerne and Montreux texts	9
212		Amends 179 E	9
213	Pl.A.	38 Agenda for 5th Session	9
214		Amends 136	10
215		Amends 185	10
216	Pl.A.	38 Postponement of 5th Session	10
217	Co3-W.Gr.3C	74 Report "Conference expenses"	10
218/109	Col	50 <u>6th Meeting 6 August</u>	10
219	Pl.A.	38 Annex to 182	11
220/110		Amends 186/96	11
221	Co5	92 Draft directives. Replaces 171	11
222		Supplement to 163	12
223	Co4A-W.Gr.4	81 Directional aeriols	11
224/111	Co5-W.Gr.	98 Switzerland. Geneva on 442 kc/s	11
225	Co5	92 Agenda for 5th Meeting	12
226	Co4A-W.Gr.1	86 Field strength of interference. Shared channel.	12
227	Co4A-W.Gr.1	86 Secondary zone	12
228	Co4A-W.Gr.1	86 Daytime conditions	12
229		Amends 194	12
230	Co4A-W.Gr.4	87 Remarks	12
231	Co4-W.Gr.5	88 Report	12
232/112	Co5.Plan.Gr.	98 Italy. Frequency requirements (Coast stations)	12
233	Co4	78 Agenda for 7th Meeting	12
234/113		Amends 224/111	12
235	Col-Joint Co Expenses	107 OIR. Request for exemption	13
236		25 151 continued	13
237		Amends 203 E	14
238	Co4-W.Gr.	82 United Kingdom. Propagation	16
239		Amends 223	16
240	Co4A	83 <u>4th Meeting 12 August</u>	17
241	Co4A	83 <u>5th Meeting 13 August</u>	17
242		238 continued	17
243		Annexes I,II,IV to 238, III to 242	19
244/117	Col-Joint Co Expenses	106 <u>2nd Meeting 10 August</u>	17
245/119	Co3B	73 Texts of "Notification", "Resolution"252	17
246	Co3	71 FPR of Yugoslavia. Proposal "Revision"	17
247	Co3B	73 Text "General technical provisions"	17
248	Co5-Plan	98 Egypt. Frequency requirements (Coast stations)	16
249/118	Col-JointCo	107 Report (expenses)	18
250/120	Col	51 <u>7th Meeting 13 August</u>	18

Annex 3 143
List of Docs. RD 251-296

Doc. RD/MAR	Section/Page in this set of Documentation	Abstract of Documents' contents & No. of Doc. amending, replacing, etc	Date of publication 1948
251	Co 3 A 72	Report. Texts F-E-R-	18 August
252	Co 5 92	<u>3rd Meeting 3 August</u>	18
253		Amends 245	18
254		Amends 238 R	18
255	Co 4 78	<u>6th Meeting 7 August</u>	18
256	Co 1-Joint Co. Expenses 107	UIR. Request for exemption	18
257	Co 5 92	<u>4th Meeting 5 August</u>	19
258	Co 5 92	<u>5th Meeting 12 August</u>	19
259	Co 3 62	Agenda for 8th Meeting	19
260	Co 5-Joint Meet Groups 2 & 3 99	Report (272 kc/s) 267	19
261	Co 4 A-W Gr. 3 87	Final report 324	20
262		Amends 182	20
263	Co 3 62	<u>9th Meeting 19 August</u>	26
264/124	Co 5-M.W.Gr. 98	Report (Derogations)	22
265	Co 4 A-W.Gr. 2 86	Report (Adjacent channels) 277	23
266	Co 4 A-W.Gr. 2 87	Report (Tolerances)	23
267/126	Co 5-Joint Meet. Groups 2 & 3 99	Report (272 kc/s) 260	23
268/127		Amends 249/118 E	24
269/128	Co 5-Joint Meet. Groups 2 & 3 99	Report (325-365 and 395-405 kc/s) 334	24
270	Co 3 62	<u>8th Meeting 12 August</u>	24
271		Amends 182	24
272	Co 5 92	Agenda for 6th Meeting	24
273/129	Co 1 52	<u>8th Meeting 20 August</u>	23
274	Co 4 79	Agenda for 8th Meeting	25
275	Co 4 A 84	<u>6th Meeting 20 August</u>	24
276	Co 2 55	Signature slips	25
277		Completes 265	25
278	6	Nos. 279, 281, 284 will not be used	26
279		Number not used	
280	Co 3 63	<u>11th Meeting 20 August (afternoon)</u>	26
281		Number not used	
282	Co 3 64	<u>12th Meeting 23 August</u>	26
283	Co 3 65	<u>13th Meeting 24 August</u>	26
284		Number not used	
285	Co 3 63	<u>10th Meeting 20 August (morning)</u>	27
286		151 continued	27
287		Amends 117, 118, 119	27
288	Co 5-W.Gr. 100	Derogations for aeronautical services Report	27
289		151 continued	28
290		Amends 280 E	28
291		Amends 104, 112	28
292	Co 3 67	Texts for committee 6 293	4 <u>september</u>
293		Annex to 292	28 <u>August</u>
294	Co 6 103	<u>1st Meeting 28 August</u> 295	30
295	Co 6 103	Annex to 294. Series No. 1 (blue)	29
296	Co 4 A 84	<u>7th Meeting 25 August</u> 303	30

144 Annex 3
List of Docs. RD 297-334

Doc. RD/MAR	Section/Page in this set of Documentation	Abstract of Documents' contents & No. of Doc. amending, replacing, etc	Date of publication 1948
297	Co 3 65	<u>14th Meeting 25 August</u>	30 August
298		Amends 107	30
299/143	Co 3-M.W.Gr. 5A-3B 67.101	Date of entry into force	30
300	Co3 and 5A 67.100	Questions referred to 5A by Co3	30
301	Secretariat	List of Docs. RD 201 to 300	6 <u>september</u>
302/144	Co1-Joint Co. Expenses 105	Expenses of the Co. of Eight countries	30 August
303	Co 4A-W.Gr. 2 86	Adjacent channels (Basic text) Annex to 296	30
304/163	Co 5 A 100	Letter from Chairman Co4 MAR	3 <u>September</u>
305	Co 3 66	<u>15th Meeting 27 August</u>	31 <u>August</u>
306	Co 4A - W.Gr. 5 88	Report	31
307/149	Co 1 52	<u>9th Meeting 27 August</u>	31
308	Co 3 68	Paragraph 2 of "Modification"	31
309	Co 4 - W.Gr. Expert 82	Report	31
310	Co 4A - W.Gr. 2 87	Separation. Adjacent channels. Report	1 <u>September</u>
311	Co 4 79	<u>7th Meeting 13 August</u>	1
312	Co 6 103	"Ratification", "Accession", "Denunciation"	1
313	Co 4 86	Completes 204	1
314	Co 3 67	<u>16th Meeting 28 August</u>	1
315/153	M.W.Gr. 5A - 3B Co 3 74.101	Report	1
316	Co 3 68	<u>17th Meeting 30 August</u>	1
317	Pl.A. 38	Agenda for 5th Session	1
318	Co 4 79	Agenda for 9th Meeting	1
319	M.W.Gr. 5A - 3B. Co 3 67.101	Questions referred to M.W.Gr. 5A-3B by Co 3	1
320	Co 6 103	<u>2nd Meeting 1st September</u>	2
321	Co 6 104	Annex to 320. Series No. 2 (blue)	2
322	Co 5-Planning Gr. 98	Variant No. 1 (F-E) 323, 333, 335	2
323		Amends 322 F-E	2
324		Amends 261	2
325	Co 5 93	Agenda for 9th Meeting	2
326		Amends 97	2
327	Co 4 79	<u>8th Meeting 26 August</u>	2
328	Co 5 93	<u>6th Meeting 24 August</u>	4
329	Co 5 93	<u>7th Meeting 27 August</u>	2
330	Co5-Planning Gr. 98	Proposal : 9 countries	2
331		Amends 117, 119, 167, 182	1
332	Co5-Planning Gr. 98	ICAO Statement	3
333		Amends 322-F - E	3
334/160	Co5-Joint Meet. Groups 2 & 3 99	Draft resolution	3

Doc. RD/MAR	Section/Page in this set of Documentation	Abstract of Documents' contents & No. of Doc. amending, replacing, etc	Date of publication 1948
335		Amends 322- E-F	3 <u>September</u>
336		Amends 311	3
337	Co 5 94	Agenda for 10th Meeting	3
338		Amends 329 E R (?)	3
339	Co 3 68	<u>18th Meeting 1 September</u>	4
340	Co 5 93	<u>8th Meeting 30 August</u>	4
341		Amends 328 E	4
342/168	Co5-M.W.Gr. 100	Protection Report at Meeting-1st September	4
343	Co 2 55	<u>5th Meeting 3 September</u>	5
344	Co 4 A 84	<u>8th Meeting 1 September</u>	6
345	Co 4 79	<u>9th Meeting 2 September</u>	6
346	Co 4 80	Final report	6
347	Co5-Planning Gr. 98	<u>Variant No.2 (F only)</u>	6
348/173	Co 1 53	<u>10th Meeting 3 September</u>	6
349	Co 5 A 100	Draft Preamble to the Plan	7
350	Co 4 80	Agenda for 10th Meeting	7
351	Co4- W.Gr. 82	Date of entry into force. Report	7
352	Co4- W.Gr.2 86	Adjacent channels 398	7
353	Co3-Special W.Gr. 76	"International expert organisation"	7
354/178	Pl.A. 41	Publication of documents	8
355	Pl.A. 41	Agenda for 7th Session	8
356	Co 6 104	<u>3rd Meeting 8 September</u> 357	9
357	Co 6 104	Annex to 356. <u>Series No.3 (blue)</u>	8
358	Co 5 94	<u>9th Meeting 2 September</u>	8
359	Co 5 94	<u>10th Meeting 4 September</u>	8
360	Pl.A. 39	<u>5th Session 4 September</u> 409	11
361		Amends 117, 118, 119, 167, 182, 183, 210	9
362	Pl.A. 40	<u>6th Session 7 September</u>	14
363/203	Col-Joint Co Expenses 107	Texts adopted by Pl.A.s RD and MAR	11
364		Concerns 175 E	8
365/187	Co5-Planning Gr. 98	United Kingdom, Frequency requirements (Coast stations)	9
366	Co 4 A 84	<u>9th Meeting 8 September</u>	9
367	Co 3 69	<u>19th Meeting 8 September</u>	9
368	Co 5 94	Agenda for 13th Meeting	9
369	Co5-Planning Gr. 98	<u>Variant No.3 (F only)</u> 375	9
370	Pl.A. 41	<u>7th Session 9 September</u> 393	11
371	Co 6 104	<u>4th Meeting 9 September</u> 372	9
372	Co 6 104	Annex to 371. Text (pink leaflets)	10
373	Co 5 95	Draft resolution for the P.F.B. (Date)	10
374	Co5-Planning Gr. 98	<u>Long wave bands</u>	10
375		Amends 369	10
376	Co 5 95	Time-table	12
377	Co 5 95	Agenda for 15th Meeting	12
378		Cancelled	12

146 Annex 3
List of Docs. RD 379-413

Doc. RD/MAR	Section/Page in this set of Documentation	Abstract of Documents' contents & No. of Doc.amending, replacing, etc	Date of publication 1948
379	Co5-Planning		
	Gr.	98	
380	Co 5	98	Copenhagen Plan (for 1st reading) 383, 385 12 <u>September</u>
381	Co 5	98	Proposal for Swiss low power transmitters 12
			United Kingdom. Frequencies for occupied zones of Germany 12
382/206	The Chair	44	Proposed time-table 13
383			Amends 379 13
384	Co 5	94	<u>11th Meeting 6 September</u> 13
385	Co5-Planning		
	Gr.	98	Italy, Vatican City. Modifications to 379 13
386	Co 6	104	<u>5th Meeting 12 September</u> 13
387/208	Pl.A.	44	IFRB. Notification of frequencies 13
388	Co 2	57	<u>6th Meeting 13 September</u> 13
389	Co 2	57	Final report 203 13
390	Co 5	96	"Recommendation". "Resolution" 13
391			Amends 297, 305, 314 13
392	Co5-Planning		
	Gr.	98	Copenhagen Plan (for 2nd reading) 14
393	Pl.A.	44	Agenda for 9th Session + corrections in 370 14
394	Pl.A.	44	Belgium; Statement 14
395	Pl.A.	44.96	Turkey. Statement 14
396	Pl.A.	44	USSR. Reservation 14
397	Pl.A.	44	France. French occupied zone 14
398	Co4-W.Gr.2	86	Adjacent channels 352 14
399	Co 5	94	<u>12th Meeting 7 September</u> 15
400	Co 5	95	<u>13th Meeting 9 September</u> 15
401	Secretariat		List of Docs. RD 301 to 411 29
402	Pl.A.	42	<u>8th Session. 11, 12 & 13 September</u> 16
403	Pl.A.	44	<u>9th Session. 14 September</u> 412, 413 22
404	Co 4	V	Recommendation adopted by Co5 at 10th Meeting 16
405	Co 4	80	<u>10th Meeting. 8 September</u> 16
406	Co 5	95	<u>14th Meeting. 10 September</u> 17
407	Co 5	96	<u>15th Meeting. 13 September</u> 18
408	Pl.A.	44	Press release 18
409			Amends 360 26
410	Pl.A.	47	Telegram from UNESCO and reply 26
411	Pl.A.	47	<u>Closure Meeting. 15 September</u> 26
412			Amends 403 <u>October</u>
413			Amends 403 <u>8 November</u>

LIST OF DOCUMENTS OF THE CER

B. List by Committees, etc.

For particulars, see corresponding Section.

	<u>Documents RD/MAR</u>
<u>Heads of Delegations</u>	1, 2, 4,,6/8, 7/9, 8, 10/13, 11/14, 12, 15/17, 18/18, 19, 25/19, 109, 354/178, 355, 360, 361, 362, 370, 387, 393, 394, 395, P. 27 in this set
<u>Plenary Assembly</u>	3, 9, 13, 14/16, 16, 17, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 34, 35, 40/25, 41/26, 44, 46, 47, 52, 54/32, 56/33, 61/37, 62, 63, 75, 76, 104, 109, 112, 117, 118, 119, 122, 144/76, 150, 151, 167, 169, 173, 176, 177, 178, 179, 182, 183, 198, 210, 212, 213, 216, 219, 236, 262, 271, 286, 287, 289, 291, 317, 331.
<u>Committee 1</u> P. 49	5/7, 39/24, 95/41, 134/47, 139/69, 154/78, 157/81, 174, 186/96, 188, 205/103, 218/109, 220, 235, 244/117, 249/118, 250/120, 256, 268/127, 273/129, 302/144, 307/149, 348/173, 363/203.
<u>Committee 2</u> P. 55	37, 57, 149, 202, 203, 237, 276, 343, 388, 389.
<u>Committee 3</u> P. 59	42, 43, 50, 55, 58, 60, 70, 124, 147, 161, 184, 187, 190, 206, 209/107, 217, 245, 246, 247, 251, 253, 259, 263, 270, 280, 282, 283, 285, 290, 292, 293, 297, 299/143, 300, 305, 308, 314, 315/153, 316, 319, 339, 353, 367, 391.
<u>Committee 4</u> P. 77	32, 33, 36, 38, 45, 48, 49, 51, 53, 59, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 71, 72, 73, 74, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 93, 94, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 103, 105, 106, 107, 108, 110, 113, 114, 115, 116, 120, 121, 123, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 135, 136, 137, 138, 140, 142, 143, 148, 152, 153, 155, 156, 158, 159, 160, 162, 163, 164, 175, 181, 185, 189, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 199, 204, 208, 214, 215, 222, 223, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 233, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 254, 255, 261, 265, 266, 274, 275, 277, 296, 298, 303, 306, 309, 310, 311, 313, 318, 324, 326, 327, 336, 344, 345, 346, 350, 351, 352, 364, 366, 398, 404, 405.
<u>Committee 5</u> P. 91	92, 111, 141, 145, 146, 165/85, 166, 168, 170/92, 171, 172, 180/95, 200, 207, 211, 221, 224/111, 225, 232/112, 234/113, 248, 252, 257, 258, 260, 264/124, 267/126, 269/128, 272, 288, 300, 304/163, 315/153, 319, 322, 323, 325, 328, 329, 330, 332, 333, 334/160, 335, 337, 338, 340, 341, 342/168, 347, 349, 358, 359, 365/187, 368, 369, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 379, 380, 381, 383, 384, 385, 390, 392, 399, 400, 406, 407.

148 Annex 3
List of Doc. CER

Committee 6 294, 295, 312, 320, 321, 356, 357, 371, 372, 386.
p. 103

Joint Committee 157/81, 174, 205/103, 235, 244/117, 249/118, 256,
p. 105 302/144, 363/203.

Committee on Reservations
p. 107

The Chair 382/206

Secretariat 101, 201, 278, 301, 401.

List in brief form of documents of the Committee of Eight
Countries, Brussels

1st Session: 15 January - 20 March 1948 (1-163)

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| 1. Czechoslovakia | 46. Max. power output
provis. adopted. 82 | 85. 5th Pl. Ass. |
| 2. Switzerland | 47. San Marino | 86. Cont. of 47 |
| 3. Turkey | 48. Text prop. Defin. max.
power long waves | 87. Replaces 27 |
| 4. Egypt + 89 | 49. Replaces 46 (82) | 88. <u>6th Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 5. Monaco | 50. Roumanian RP + 66 | 89. Cont. of 4 |
| 6. RP of Bulgaria + 71 | 51. Field intens. synchr.
trans. | 90. Replaces 27 |
| 7. France + 60 | 52. Amends 25 | 91. Agenda |
| 8. Ireland | 53. Agenda | 92. Replaces 26 |
| 9. Luxembourg | 54. Points for exam. by
WG (study of possib.) | 93. Replaces 29 |
| 10. FPR of Yugoslavia | 55. Field Intens. (shared
freq.) | 94. Trieste |
| 11. Denmark | 56. Defin. freq. bands | 95. Germany Fr. Zone |
| 12. Vatican City | 57. Estonian Moldavian SSR | 96. Resol. use ultra SW |
| 13. Iceland | 58. Toler. excl. & shared
waves | 97. <u>7th Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 14. Italy | 59. Recom. use ultra-SW 67 | 98. <u>8th Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 15. Rep. of Poland | 60. Annex to 7. | 99. Credentials |
| 16. Greece | 61. Cont. of 21 | 100. Agenda |
| 17. United Kingdom | 62. USSR (RSFSR, Ukr. Biel.
Let, Lith, Car, Finn, SSR) | 101. Art. 17 R. of Proc. |
| 18. Tunisia | 63. Prog. by countries'
freq. req. | 102. Germany |
| 19. Germany | 64. <u>2nd Pl. Ass.</u> 72 | 103. Doc. adopted by WG |
| 20. Finland | 65. Lebanon | 104. Amend. 78. 107 |
| 21. Netherlands + 61 | 66. Cont. of 50 (130) | 105. Agenda |
| 22. Portugal | 67. Replaces 59 (81) | 106. Power shared freq. |
| 23. Hungary | 68. RP of Albania | 107. Replaces 104. 108 |
| 24. Sweden | 69. Agenda | 108. Replaces 107 |
| 25. Belgium + 52 | 70. <u>3rd Pl. Ass.</u> | 109. Defin. prop. for
"Existing sit" |
| 26. UNO + 92 | 71. Cont. of 6 | 110. Replaces 109 |
| 27. Geog. ethn. demog. data
87 | 72. Replaces 64 | 111. Replaces 110. 112 |
| 28. List stat. in op. 90 | 73. Tel. Catelpost & rep. | 112. Replaces 111. |
| 29. List req. 93 | 74. Max. power synchr.
statt. 77 | 113. Factors prop. for
draft plan |
| 30. Comp. Plans Luc. Mtx. | 75. Agenda | 114. Agenda |
| 31. Norway | 76. <u>4th Pl. Ass.</u> | 115. Lic. per 1000 inhab.
& per sq. Km. |
| 32. Rules of Proc. 163 | 77. Replaces 74 | 116. <u>9th Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 33. Working methods | 78. Channel alloc. 104 | 117. Agenda |
| 34. Agenda | 79. Art. 17 R. of proc. | 118. <u>10th Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 35. Morocco | 80. Art. 17 R. of Proc.
Tel. to Adm. Council | 119. Comp. table results
doc. 90, 93, 78. |
| 36. Syria | 81. Replaces 59 & 67 | 120. <u>11th Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 37. Heads of Del. Meet. | 82. Replaces 46 & 49 | 121. Agenda |
| 38. Austria | 83. Agenda | 122. Sp. Zone Moroc. Prot. |
| 39. Luc. Mtx. Atl. City
(derog & sharing) | 84. Rep. to tel. Admin.
hearings | 123. Tel. from Catelpost |
| 40. Atl. City - freq. for
Europ. area | | 124. <u>12th Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 41. <u>Opening session - 1st
Pl. Ass.</u> | | 125. <u>13th Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 42. Definitions. 43 | | 126. Off. lang. countries
Europ. area |
| 43. Replaces 42 | | 127. Derog. in Aer. & Mar.
bands |
| 44. Add. Prot. Sp. Directives
Atl. City RR | | 128. Agenda |
| 45. Prot. service curves
permiss. interf. signals | | |

- | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 129. Spain | 140. 1st draft plan | 152. Draft 9 Kc separ. |
| 130. Cont. of 66 | 141. Agenda | 153. Letter - 2 variants |
| 131. Stat. in derog. | 142. Note | 154. Amendments to 150 |
| 132. Agenda | 143. 2nd draft - plan | 155. Conven. 2nd Session |
| 133. Synchr. Transm. (Rep. to tel.) | 144. <u>18th Pl. Ass.</u> | 156. <u>20th Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 134. <u>14th Pl. Ass.</u> | 145. <u>19th Pl. Ass.</u> | 157. <u>21st Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 135. Sp. Pl. Session (Czechoslovakia) | 146. Amendments to 139 | 158. <u>22nd Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 136. <u>15th Pl. Ass.</u> | 147. Draft note to Admin. | 159. <u>23rd Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 137. <u>16th Pl. Ass.</u> | 148. Draft for Admin. | 160. <u>24th Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 138. <u>17th Pl. Ass.</u> | 149. Draft for USSR | 161. <u>25th Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 139. 1st draft plan. 146 | 150. Long waves & med. waves. 154 | 162. Comm. docs. to Admin. |
| | 151. Amendments to 143 | 163. Replaces 32 R. of Proc. |

-ooOoo-

2nd Session: 11 May - 9 June 1948 (201-294)

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| 201. Denmark | 236. Rep. of Poland | 267. <u>31st Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 202. Ireland | 237. Bielorussian SSR | 268. <u>32nd Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 203. Czechoslovakia | 238. Portugal | 269. <u>33rd Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 204. Italy | 239. USSR | 270. <u>34th Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 205. Iceland 255 | 240. <u>27th Pl. Ass.</u> | 271. <u>35th Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 206. Norway | 241. Agenda | 272. <u>36th Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 207. Tunisia | 242. Ukrainian SSR | 273. <u>37th Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 208. San Marino | 243. Turkey | 274. <u>38th Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 209. Morocco | 244. Vatican City | 275. Plan for 9 Kc/s 279 |
| 210. Announcement to del. | <u>248 hearing</u> | 276. Plan for 10 Kc/s 281 |
| 211. Luxembourg | 245. Luxembourg | 277. Draft final report |
| 212. Amendment to 156 | 246. Monaco <u>hearing</u> | 278. Amendment to 276 |
| 213. Agenda | 247. Agenda | 279. <u>Variant on separ. 9 kc/s</u> |
| 214. Comments received | 248. Cont. of 244 | 280. France <u>hearing.</u> 294 |
| 215. Egypt | 249. Czechoslovakia <u>hearing</u> | 281. <u>Variant on separ. 10 Kc/s</u> |
| 216. PR of Bulgaria | 250. Italy <u>hearing</u> | 282. Ukrainian SSR <u>hearing</u> |
| 217. Finland | 251. Hungary <u>hearing</u> | 283. USSR <u>hearing</u> |
| 218. Netherlands with errat. | 252. PR of Albania <u>hearing</u> | 284. <u>Final Report work Com. Eight</u> |
| 219. Monaco | 253. Denmark <u>hearing</u> | 285. Cont. of 255 |
| 220. Hungary | 254. Roumanian PR <u>hearing</u> | 286. <u>39th Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 221. United Kingdom | 255. Cont. of 205 (285) | 287. <u>40th Pl. Ass.</u> |
| 222. Sweden | 256. Finland <u>hearing</u> | 288. Correction Doc. 266 |
| 223. Austria 293 | Decision 2 var. | 289. Ireland <u>hearing</u> |
| 224. FPR of Yugoslavia | 257. Iceland <u>hearing</u> | 290. Amendment Doc. 262, 265. |
| 225. Greece | 258. Belgium <u>hearing</u> | 291. Morocco and Tunisia <u>hearing</u> |
| 226. Denmark | 259. Sweden <u>hearing</u> | 292. PR of Bulgaria <u>hearing</u> |
| 227. France | 260. Directives amend. var. 9 Kc/s prel.doc. | 293. Cont. of 223 |
| 228. Time table <u>hearings</u> 232 | 261. <u>28th Pl. Ass.</u> | 294. Replaces 280 |
| 229. Belgium | 262. <u>29th Pl. Ass.</u> | |
| 230. Lebanon | 263. Norway <u>hearing</u> | |
| 231. <u>26th Pl. Ass.</u> | 264. Rep. of Poland <u>hearing</u> | |
| 232. Replaces 228 | 265. 30th Pl. Ass. | |
| 233. Roumanian PR | 266. Biel SSR. 288 <u>hearing</u> | |
| 234. Switzerland | | |
| 235. Syria | | |

DENMARKReport on the calling of the European Broadcasting
Conference and the Regional Maritime Radiocommunication
Conference in Copenhagen.

(Document RD 7/MAR 9 of 22 June 1948)

Under the provisions of section 1 of the Additional Protocol to the Acts of the International Radio Conference of Atlantic City, 1947, signed by the Delegates of the European Region, the Government of Denmark is requested to call the European Broadcasting Conference.

In order to comply with a proposal of the Radio Conference the Government of Denmark has issued invitations for a European Maritime Radiocommunication Conference to be held in Copenhagen at the same time as the Broadcasting Conference.

In order to facilitate the work of the Conferences the Danish Administration has found it appropriate to issue a report on the action taken by the Danish Government and Administration on this same question at the same time as the report on the preparation of these Conferences.

A. Invitations.

In accordance with the provisions of § 1.1 of the Directives for the European Broadcasting Conference the Danish Government has invited through diplomatic channels the following countries to send representatives to the Broadcasting Conference :

People's Republic of Albania
Austria
Belgium
The Bielorussian Soviet Socialist Republic
People's Republic of Bulgaria
State of Vatican City
Egypt
Finland
France
Greece
Hungary
Ireland
Iceland
Italy
Lebanon
Luxemburg
Monaco
Norway
Netherlands

Republic of Poland
Portugal
French Protectorates of Morroco and Tunisia
Federal People's Republic of Yugoslavia
Roumanian People's Republic
The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
Sweden
Swiss Confederation
Syria
Czechoslovakia
Turkey
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

The Danish Government has been informed that Lebanon will not take part in the Conference. On June 18 no definite reply had been received from the following countries :

The Bielorussian Soviet Socialist Republic
The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

The extra-European countries, which pursuant to the provisions of § 1.2 of the Directives have the right to be represented at the Broadcasting Conference by observers, have been informed of the convening of the Conference by the Notification N° 544 from the Bureau of the International Telecommunication Union. The Danish Government has been informed that representatives of the United States of America will attend the Conference as observers.

The United Nations of which the telecommunication operating services are entitled to take part in the Conference in a consultative capacity pursuant to the provisions of § 1.3 of the directives have been informed by the Danish Government, through the permanent delegate of Denmark to the United Nations, of the convening of the Conference. No reply has been received.

In accordance with the provisions in Article 4 § 6 of the Atlantic City Radio Regulations the International Frequency Registration Board (IFRB) has been invited by the Danish Administration to send representatives to participate in the Conferences in advisory capacity. This invitation has been accepted.

International Organizations which pursuant to the provisions of § 1.4 of the Directives may be authorized to participate in the Conference in a consultative capacity have been informed of the convening of the Conference by the Notification N° 544 from the Bureau of the Union. Furthermore, in the invitation from the Danish Government to the countries in the European Area, these countries were asked to make the calling of the Conference known to the international organizations and to inform them that applications for participation should be forwarded through the government of the country in which the organizations are domiciled. Applications for admission to the Conference have been received from "Organisation Internationale de Radiodiffusion" (OIR) and "Comité International Radio-Maritime" (CIRM) through the Belgian Administration and "Union Internationale de Radiodiffusion" (UIR) through the Swiss Administration.

The invitations for the European Maritime Radiocommunication Conference have been issued at the same time and to the same countries as the invitations to the Broadcasting Conference.

The Danish Administration has been informed that the following countries will not take part in the maritime conference:

Swiss Confederation
Lebanon

Credentials for the Conferences.

With regard to the nature of the European Broadcasting Conference the Atlantic City Conferences discussed whether this should be an administrative or a plenipotentiary conference. However the task of deciding the nature of the European Conference was left to the Delegates of that Conference (Documents No.986 R and 522 TR of the Atlantic City Conferences).

Therefore in the invitations to the countries of the European Region the Danish Government has emphasized that it was advisable for the Delegates to be invested with powers to sign all agreements and other documents resulting from the work of the Broadcasting Conference irrespective of the nature of the documents.

Secretariat of the Conferences.

In order to ensure maximum efficiency in the work of the Secretariat of the Conferences the Danish Administration has asked the Bureau of the International Telecommunication Union to assist, and the Director has kindly agreed to this.

Frequency Bands to be dealt with by the Conferences.

The task of the European Broadcasting Conference is defined in the Additional Protocol signed at Atlantic City and in the document annexed thereto. In the main, it is similar to those of the Lucerne and Montreux Conferences.

With regard to the question of what frequency bands should be considered at the Conferences in Copenhagen it seems that the situation differs to some extent from the situation at the previous conferences. The main reason for this is the the "Resolution Relating to the Preparation of the New International Frequency List" adopted by the International Radio Conference in Atlantic City is in fact imposing on the Administrations the task of considering the frequency band 150-2850 kc/s during the regional conferences. The European Broadcasting Conference, and the Regional Maritime Radiocommunication Conference are the only regional conferences scheduled for the European Region for dealing with frequencies in the band 150-1605 kc/s at the present moment, and in the opinion of the Danish Administration it would be natural for the two conferences to decide upon the division of the work between the two conferences and whether other conferences should be convened.

This question has been discussed by the "Provisional Frequency Board" (P.F.B.) which has issued a recommendation (Doc. 66 of 9th February 1948) dealing with some of the frequency bands in question. This recommendation has been approved by the Administrative Council during its second session and should therefore serve as a directive on this question. The pertinent part of this recommendation reads as follows;

"A". The Administrative Council drew the attention of all Administrations concerned to the necessity of convening suitable regional conferences and propose the following action be taken by the Administrations concerned:

1) The Copenhagen Broadcasting Conference to integrate the European aeronautical and maritime mobile requirements (see note 11, page 23 Reg:s) with those of broadcasting within the band 255-285 kc/s.

2) The Copenhagen Maritime Conference integrate the European requirements within the band 405-415 kc/s".

The Danish Administration has been asked by the Italian Administration to state what programme and frequency bands should be considered by the Maritime Conference. The following reply has been published in Notification No. 547 from the Bureau of the Union:

"The Regional Maritime Radiocommunication Conference in Copenhagen will consider the maritime requirements in the bands 255-285 kc/s, 405-415 kc/s, 415-490 kc/s and 510-525 kc/s. Furthermore the Conference will deal mainly with the same questions as the Maritime Radiocommunication Conference in Montreux, 1939, it will especially deal with the allocation of frequencies to coast stations in the European Waters from the North Sea to the Mediterranean (see Doc.No. 980 R, 25.9.1947 of the Radio Conference in Atlantic City)".

The frequency band 150-160 kc/s shared by broadcasting and maritime mobile services has not been included in the above list of frequency bands to be considered by the Maritime Conference as it is not clear to the Danish Administration whether it is indispensable for the Maritime Conference to study this with a view of re-allocating the coast stations of the European Region. A decision on this question will naturally be taken by the Maritime Conference itself.

The Italian Administration has presented the proposal that the Maritime Conference should:

1. deal with allocation of frequencies to coast stations in the maritime mobile bands between 150 and 2850 kc/s,
2. prepare a new plan for medium frequency radio beacons to replace the pre-war Bordeaux-plan.

This proposal has been circulated as a conference document (MAR-Doc. No. 1) by the Bureau of the Union.

In a letter dated 4th June 1948 from the Bureau of the Union the Danish Administration was informed that the following recommendation had been made by the International Administrative Aeronautical Radio Conference in Geneva:

- "1. The International Administrative Aeronautical Radio Conference considers that the whole problem of aeronautical frequency requirements should be settled as expeditiously as possible. The Conference therefore recommends that regional conferences be convened without delay to prepare frequency assignment plans for the bands allotted to the aeronautical mobile service on the regional level. A special Administrative Conference will be convened in 1949 to approve the new frequency list; hence these plans should be ready in good time for the Conference to study them.
2. From a study of the frequency allocation table contained in the Atlantic City Radio Regulation, and by comparing it with the Atlantic City Resolution relative to the PFB, it appears that no ITU agency has been specifically charged with assigning frequencies in:
 - the 315 - 325 kc/s band, allocated in Region 1 to aeronautical radionavigation, and
 - the 325 - 405 kc/s band, shared throughout the world between the aeronautical mobile service and aeronautical radionavigation.
3. As regards Region 1, it is probable that a special aeronautical conference will be convened by the Administrative Council of the ITU, and will meet in September, 1948.

However, it may be noticed that:

- a) The European Zone is the cause of the problem for Region 1.
- b) Expert representatives of aeronautical radio services in the European Zone will be in Copenhagen from the 25 June, 1948, to consider the question of broadcasting stations operating by special arrangement in the bands reserved for aeronautical mobile frequencies. (Frequency allocation table, note 19). In doing so, they would be bound to study the assignment of frequencies to aeronautical stations in the 325-405 kc/s band.
- c) If a special conference were to be held, its scope would be limited in practice to the 315-325 kc/s band, 10 kc/s wide.

In these circumstances, it would seem that the delay and expense occasioned by a special conference would be out of all proportion to the ends to be attained.

Hence the Danish Government might well be requested to profit by the presence of these experts by convening a meeting, in order that they might forward to the PFB any proposals on frequency assignment in the above bands. These proposals, on approval by the special administrative Conference, would then be incorporated in the new frequency list. The Danish Government would of course inform the countries concerned about this meeting.

Should the Danish Government accept this proposal, requests submitted on forms 2 for the corresponding bands would be forwarded to it together with those relative to the mobile maritime service."

On 8th June 1948, the Danish Administration informed the Bureau of the Union that Denmark could accept the recommendation on the assumption that this preparatory meeting would take place within the framework of the Maritime Conference and that reasonable support for this proposal would be found among the countries taking part. On 12th June 1948, 4 countries had seconded the proposal that such a meeting be called and no objection had been received.

Below is given a list summarizing the different directives and recommendations concerning the frequency bands to be considered at the Copenhagen Conferences.

1. Additional Protocol. Atlantic City 1947.

The European Broadcasting Conference shall set up a new plan for a location of frequencies to broadcasting stations (on long and medium waves).

2. Document Annexed to protocol.

The European Broadcasting Conference shall deal with frequencies below 1605 kc/s in broadcasting bands, in shared bands or in derogation.

3. Atlantic City Radio Regulations 131.

The next European Broadcasting Conference shall decide to what extent existing broadcasting stations in Europe may be allowed to operate in the aeronautical bands 325 - 365 kc/s and 395 - 405 kc/s.

4. Atlantic City Radio Regulations 138.

The next European Broadcasting Conference shall decide to what extent existing broadcasting stations in Europe may be allowed to operate in the maritime bands 415 - 490 kc/s and 515 - 525 kc/s.

5. Resolution of the Administrative Council concerning the recommendation presented by PFB in Doc. No. 66.

The Copenhagen Broadcasting Conference shall integrate the European aeronautical and maritime mobile requirements with those of broadcasting in the band 255 - 285 kc/s.

6. Invitation at the Radio Conference in Atlantic City 1947 (Doc. 980 R).

The Regional Maritime Radiocommunication Conference in Copenhagen should make assignments of frequencies in the 500 kc/s band to the coast stations in the region of the European waters from the North Sea to the Mediterranean.

7. Notification No. 547 dated 16.3.48 from the Bureau of the Union.

The European Maritime Radiocommunication Conference in Copenhagen will deal with the maritime requirements in the bands 255 - 285 kd/s, 405 - 415 kc/s, 415 - 490 kc/s and 510 - 525 kc/s.

8. Resolution of the Administrative Council concerning the recommendation presented by the PFB in Doc. No. 66.

The Copenhagen Maritime Conference shall integrate the European requirements within the band 405 - 415 kc/s.

9. Recommendation adopted by the International Administrative Aeronautical Radio Conference 1.6.1948.

The Danish Government might well be requested to profit by the presence of the aeronautical radio experts by convening a meeting, in order that they might forward to the PFB any proposals on frequency assignment in the bands 315 - 325 kc/s and 325 - 405 kc/s.

The following frequency list has been prepared to give a general view of the frequency bands to be considered at the different conferences in Copenhagen in accordance with the above stipulations. The Broadcasting Conference is indicated by RD, the Maritime Conference by MAR and the Aeronautical Meeting by AER. In cases where the same frequency band is being dealt with by more than one conference the listing does not indicate any relative priority.

Frequency Band	Service *)	Conference
150-160 kc/s	B MM	RD, (MAR)
160-255	B	RD
255-285	AeN, B, MM	RD, MAR
285-315	MN	
315-325	AeN	AER
325-405	AeM, AeN	RD, AER
405-415	(AeN, MN, M (excl. Ae	MAR
415-490	MM	RD, MAR
490-510	M	
510-525	MM	RD, MAR
525-1605	B	RD

*) B = Radiodiffusion	Broadcasting
MM = Mobile maritime	Maritime Mobile
AeN = Radionavigation aeronautique	Aeronautical Radionavigation
MN = Radionavigation maritime	Maritime Radionavigation
AeM = Mobile aéronautique	Aeronautical Mobile
M = Mobile	Mobile